STUDY OF ADVANCEMENT OF LINEAR ALGEBRA FOR THE FUTURE ANALYSIS

Mohammed Abdul Azeem, Dept of Mathematics, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan
Dr. Puneet Kumar, Supervisor, Sunrise University, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

Alzebra is a significant space as respects the relationships understudies create with science. For a considerable measure of these, and for most grown-ups in the public eye, variable based math is the space where, unexpectedly, arithmetic turned into a non reasonable world. Confronted with such clear instructing and learning troubles, pedantic exploration has been extremely dynamic amid the last twenty a long time. It firstly attempted to better comprehend learning forms in variable based math and explain the break specified previously. These endeavors were fruitful in recognizing some definitive components, for example, those connected to the discontinuities existing amongst number-crunching and arithmetical intuition modes and the specificity of mathematical semiotic practices. Educational exploration additionally created astute investigation of regular educating practices here, in different nations, and helped us explain their watched wastefulness. All the more as of late, research attempted to investigate the potential offered by PC advances in request to defeat the distinguished learning troubles and to grow more viable educating procedures. Books, for example, (Bednarz, Kieran, Lee, 1996) genuinely well outline the extravagance of the examination work attempted up to now and the cognizance of its outcomes, notwithstanding the apparent assorted qualities of the hypothetical methodologies and settings.

INTRODUCTION

Our examination group, DIDIREM, has been included in instructive research in algebra for almost ten years and we might want to depend on various bits of examination we have done or are doing, keeping in mind the end goal to add to the ICMI Study on Algebra. In the initial segment of this aggregate commitment, we present the way look into built up, the relating "problematiques" and the related hypothetical edges. At that point, we quickly depict three distinctive examination ventures. At long last, in the last part, we talk about what can be offered by such an examination work to the reflection on the eventual fate of learning and educating algebra.

Linear algebra is the branch of science concerning vector spaces and direct mappings between such spaces. It incorporates the investigation of lines, planes, and subspaces, but on the other hand is worried with properties basic to all vector spaces.

The arrangement of focuses with directions that fulfill a straight condition frames a hyperplane in an n-dimensional space. The conditions under which an arrangement of n hyperplanes cross in a single point is an essential center of study in direct algebra.

Explorations in Linear Algebra Using Group Work and Technology.

The center of a significant part of the change in university arithmetic programs has been Calculus. Notwithstanding, a much calmer change development has been in progress for as long as five years in the field of direct algebra. The Linear Algebra Curriculum Study Group (LACSG) was shaped in January 1990 to address the worry that "the direct algebra educational programs at numerous schools does not sufficiently address the necessities of the understudies it endeavors to serve" (Porter, 1993, p. 41). They found that while the interest for the course from "customer trains, for example, designing, software engineering, operations examination, financial aspects, and measurements" (Porter, 1993, p. 41) had expanded significantly, and then way and material displayed had stayed unaltered. Their second thoughts with respect to the accentuation of deliberation of ideas to the detriment of realworld applications, the evident nonappearance of innovation utilized by orders that use the ideas of straight algebra, and the choice of subjects secured have brought about a rebuilding of the run of the mill early on direct algebra course.

As an aftereffect of the suggestions set forth by LACSG the accentuation in straight algebra was moved to a grid situated course focusing on applications and decreasing the time spent on deliberation of ideas (Porter, 1993, p. 42). While this movement in center is significant to both arithmetic and nonmathematics majors, the transfer of deliberation to a "moreover kept running" in contrast with applications is doing arithmetic majors an incredible injury. As indicated by Alan Tucker (1993) "direct algebra was situated to be the principal genuine science course in the undergrad arithmetic educational modules since its hypothesis is so very much organized and complete, yet requires restricted scientific requirements" (p. 3). Straight algebra challenges even those undergrad arithmetic majors who succeeded in the to begin with years of math. It is the top of the line where students are relied upon to

Airo International Research Journal
Volume IV, ISSN: 2320-3714

demonstrate hypotheses and is along these lines an essential course as for their capacity to guess and compose reasonable confirmations.

Tucker accentuates: "An authority of limited vector spaces, direct changes, and their augmentations to work spaces is key for an expert or scientist in most territories of immaculate and connected arithmetic" (p. 3).

One point which is being de-underscored is determinants, in particular, the improvement and check of the rudimentary properties of the determinant (Porter, 1993, p. 43).

This shift far from the investigation of determinants is humorous given the verifiable improvement of network hypothesis. Concurring to Tucker (1993), determinants (not frameworks) created out of the investigation of coefficients of frameworks of direct conditions and were utilized by Leibniz 150 years before the term framework was

authored by J. J. Sylvester in 1848 (p. 5). The significant connection between the recently created framework hypothesis and the age old investigation of determinants was set up through the outcome det(AB)=det(A)det(B) (Tucker, 1993, p. 6). This same result

Is one of the rudimentary properties whose advancement and check are being wiped out from the educational modules?

The NCTM Curriculum Standards (1989) have woven all through all levels of training (K-12) the four strands of critical thinking, correspondence, thinking, and associations. These strands are likewise resounded in their objectives for the understudy, to be specific: "(1) that they figure out how to esteem science, (2) that they get to be sure about their capacity to do science, (3) that they get to be numerical issue solvers, (4) that they figure out how to impart numerically, and

(5) that they figure out how to reason numerically" (p. 5). They go ahead to express: "These objectives suggest that understudies ought to be presented to various and shifted interrelated encounters that urge them to esteem the scientific venture, to create numerical propensities of psyche, and to comprehend and welcome the part of science in human issues; that they ought to be urged to investigate, to figure, and even to make and right mistakes with the goal that they pick up trust in their capacity to take care of complex issues; that they ought to peruse, compose, and talk about science; and that they ought to guess, test, also, assemble contentions around a guess' legitimacy" (NCTM, 1989, p. 5). These objectives can be come to through the

investigation of direct algebra. In any case, the substance, rich in scientific investigations, is being removed of the educational programs in support of an applications-based methodology. Investigations of properties which associate ideas in direct algebra can upgrade the scientific experience and development of those enlisted in the course. Shockingly, the utilization of innovation at the university level has been moderate to get on. Be that as it may, research demonstrates it enhances both understudy accomplishment and states of mind. Peck ET. al. (1994) found that understudy accomplishment not just fundamentally enhanced in a course which used innovation, additionally in resulting courses which did not use innovation. They found that the utilization of innovation "permitted the understudies to build up their scientific abilities by liberating them to concentrate on understanding the issues and doing science" (Peck, 1994, p.6). In a study by Quesada and Maxwell (1994), the impacts of utilizing diagramming number crunchers to instruct pre-math were inspected. They inferred that the utilization of diagramming number crunchers enhanced the accomplishment of understudies when contrasted with understudies in a conventional course utilizing experimental number crunchers. The understudies of the test bunch reacted on an overview that they were permitted more investigation, comprehended the ideas better, and spent more time concentrating on. The studies performed by Peck et al. what's more, Quesada and Maxwell, and those by Guckin and Morrison (1991) and Stiff et al. (1992), show plainly that understudies react with a larger amount of accomplishment and an expansion in uplifting states of mind when they are educated utilizing innovation.

These analysts, in any case, perceive that the utilization of innovation is the element that permits them (1) to join genuine applications which give connection to themes and (2) to instruct their understudies in a more calculated, constructivist way.

Direct algebra is a relative newcomer to the undergrad science educational programs when contrasted with the 200 year history of instructing analytics. This doesn't, be that as it may, lessen its importance in an arithmetic project. Indeed the requirement for direct algebra as an administration course - a part every now and again played by Calculus - for other degree projects is expanding at a quick pace. Applications for the systems learned in direct algebra are found in fields as different as designing, physical science, sociology, financial aspects and antiquarianism just to give some examples. The convergence of understudies from other degree programs into direct algebra has provoked numerous educators to focus more on the utility and utilizations of straight algebra to the detriment of expelling vital deliberations of ideas. Additionally, different offices showing their own particular adaptation of straight algebra has brought on extreme weakening in reflection. Because of "turf security," science offices frequently water down their educational programs and give "them what they need," just to keep up understudy enlistment.

Determinants as a capacity

This unit presents understudies to the determinant as a capacity which maps a subset of all grids with genuine number passages to the arrangement of genuine numbers.

The understudies should first portray the subset of frameworks which are the space for the capacity. Given a few cases of grids, they can utilize a diagramming number cruncher (TI-81, 82, or 85) to discover the determinant of every grid. On the off chance that a lattice does not have a determinant, then it is not in the space of the capacity. When they portray the space of the determinant capacity, they will utilize the number cruncher to investigate straightforward illustrations (e.g. 2 x 2 cases). The understudy will find the association between the sections of the framework and the determinant of the network. After they have determined a"equation" for finding the determinant of a 2 x 2 network, the understudies will investigate exceptional sorts of frameworks (e.g. triangular on the other hand inclining) to discover a technique for ascertaining the determinants for uncommon networks.

Scope of study Vector spaces

The principle structures of straight algebra are vector spaces. A vector space over a field F is a set V together with two parallel operations. Components of V are called vectors and components of F are called scalars. The principal operation, vector expansion, takes any two vectors v and w and yields a third vector v + w. The second operation, scalar augmentation, takes any scalar an and any vector v and yields another vector av.

The operations of expansion and duplication in a vector space must fulfill the accompanying adages. In the rundown underneath, let u, v and w be subjective vectors in V, and an and b scalars in F.

Axiom Signification Associativity of addition u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w Commutativity of addition u + v = v + u Identity element of addition There exists an element 0 ∈ V, called the zero vector, such that v + 0 = v for all v ∈ V. Inverse elements of addition For every v ∈ V, there exists an element −v ∈ V, called the additive inverse of v, such that v + (−v) = 0 23 Distributivity of scalar multiplication with respect to vector addition a(u + v) = au + av Distributivity of scalar multiplication with respect to field addition (a + b)v = av + bv Compatibility of scalar multiplication with field multiplication a(bv) = (ab)v [nb 1] Identity element of scalar multiplication 1v = v, where 1 denotes the multiplicative identity in F.

The initial four adages are those of V being an abelian bunch under vector expansion. Vector spaces might be assorted in nature, for instance, containing capacities, polynomials or networks. Direct algebra is worried with properties normal to all vector spaces.

Linear transformations

So also as in the hypothesis of other algebraic structures, direct algebra contemplates mappings between vector spaces that save the vector-space structure. Given two vector spaces V and W over a field F, a straight change (likewise called straight guide, direct mapping or direct administrator) is a guide

$$T: V \to W$$

That is compatible with addition and scalar multiplication:

$$T\,(u+v) = T(u) + T(v)\,, T(av) = aT(v)$$

For any vectors u, v ∈ V and a scalar a ∈ F.

Additionally for any vectors u, v ∈ V and scalars a, b ∈ F:

$$T(au+bv) = T(au) + \ T(bv) = aT(u) + bT(v)$$

At the point when a bijective direct mapping exists between two vector spaces (that is, each vector from the second space is connected with precisely one in the primary), we say that the two spaces are isomorphic. Since an isomorphism jam straight structure, two isomorphic vector spaces are "basically the same" from the straight algebra perspective.

One fundamental inquiry in direct algebra is whether a mapping is an isomorphism or not, and this inquiry can be replied by checking if the determinant is nonzero. In the event that a mapping is not an isomorphism, straight algebra is occupied with finding its reach (or picture) and the arrangement of components that get mapped to zero, called the part of the mapping.

Direct changes have geometric centrality. For illustration, 2 × 2 genuine lattices indicate standard planar mappings that protect the inception. Subspaces, range, and premise Once more, in simple with hypotheses of other algebraic articles, direct algebra is occupied with subsets of vector spaces that are themselves vector spaces; these subsets are called direct subspaces. For instance, both the extent and bit of a direct mapping are subspaces, and are along these lines regularly called the extent space and the invalid space; these are imperative cases of subspaces. Another imperative method for shaping a subspace is to take a direct blend of an arrangement of vectors v1, v2, ..., vk:

$$a_1 v_1 + a_2\ v_2 + \cdots + a_k\ v_k$$

where a_1, a_2, ..., ak are scalars. The set of all linear combinations of vectors v_1, v_2,..., v_k is called their span, which forms a subspace.

A direct blend of any arrangement of vectors with every one of the zero coefficients is the zero vector of V. In the event that this is the best way to express the zero vector as a straight mix of v1, v2,..., v_k at that point these vectors are straightly autonomous. Given a set of vectors that traverse a space, if any vector w is a straight blend of different vectors (thus the set is not directly free), then the range would continue as before on the off chance that we expel w from the set. In this way, an arrangement of straightly reliant vectors is excess as in there will be a straightly autonomous subset which will traverse the same subspace. In this way, we are for the most part keen on a directly autonomous set of vectors that traverses a vector space V, which we call a premise of V. Any arrangement of vectors that traverses V contains a premise, what's more, any straightly free arrangement of vectors in V can be reached out to a premise. Things being what they are whether we acknowledge the saying of decision, each vector space has a premise; by and by, this premise might be unnatural, and surely, may not by any means be constructible. Case in point, there exists a premise for the genuine numbers, considered as a vector space over the rationals, yet no express premise has been built. Any two bases of a vector space V have the same cardinality, which is known as the measurement of V. The measurement of a vector space is very much characterized by the measurement hypothesis for vector spaces. On the off chance that a premise of V has limited number of components, V is known as a limited dimensional vector space.

Matrix theory

A specific premise {v1, v2,..., vn} of V permits one to develop a direction framework in V: the vector with directions (a1, a2, ..., an) is the direct mix.

$$a_1\ v_1\ \ +\ a_2 v_2 + \ldots + a_n\ v_n$$

The condition that v1, v2, ..., vn traverse V ensures that each vector v can be alloted facilitates, though the direct autonomy of v1, v2, ..., vn guarantees that these directions are one of a kind (i.e. there is one and only direct blend of the premise vectors that is equivalent to v). Thusly, once a premise of a vector space V over F has been picked, Vmay be recognized with the direction n-space F n. Under this recognizable proof, expansion and scalar duplication of vectors in V relate to expansion and scalar augmentation of their direction vectors in F n . Besides, if V and W are a n-dimensional what's more, m-dimensional vector space over F, and a premise of V and a premise of W have been settled, then any direct change T: V → W might be encoded by a m × n network A with passages in the field F, called the lattice of T concerning these bases. Two lattices that encode the same direct change in various bases are called comparative. Grid hypothesis replaces the investigation of direct changes, which were characterized proverbially, by the investigation of lattices, which are solid items. This major method recognizes direct algebra from speculations of other algebraic structures, which typically can't be parameterized so solidly.

There is a critical refinement between the direction n space Rn also, a general limited dimensional vector space V. While Rn has a standard premise {e1, e2,..., en}, a vector space V normally does not come outfitted with such a premise furthermore, various bases exist (despite the fact that they all comprise of the same number of components equivalent to the measurement of V).

One noteworthy utilization of the grid hypothesis is count of determinants, a focal idea in direct algebra. While determinants could be characterized in a premise free way, they are generally presented through a particular representation of the mapping; the estimation of the determinant does not rely on upon the particular premise. For reasons unknown a mapping has an backwards if and just if the determinant has a reverse (each non-zero genuine or complex number has a backwards). In the event that the determinant is zero, then the invalid space is nontrivial. Determinants have different applications, including a deliberate method for checking whether an arrangement of vectors is directly autonomous (we compose the vectors as the sections of a grid, and if the determinant of that grid is zero, the vectors are straightly subordinate). Determinants could likewise be utilized to tackle frameworks of direct conditions (see Cramer's principle), yet in genuine applications, Gaussian elimination is a speedier strategy.

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

As a rule, the activity of a direct change might be entirely complex. Regard for low-dimensional illustrations gives an sign of the assortment of their sorts. One technique for a general n-dimensional change T is to discover "trademark lines" that are invariant sets under T. In the event that v is a non-zero vector to such an extent that Tv is a scalar different of v, then the line through 0 and v is an invariant set under T and v is called a trademark vector or eigenvector. The scalar λ with the end goal that Tv = λv is known as a trademark quality or eigenvalue of T.

To discover an eigenvector or an eigenvalue, we take note of that

$$Tv - \lambda v = (T - \lambda I)v = 0,$$

where I is the personality network. For there to be nontrivial answers for that Such a change is known as a diagonalizable network since in the eigen premise, the change is spoken to by a corner to corner network. Since operations like network augmentation, framework reversal, and determinant figuring are straightforward on slanting grids, calculations including grids are much less difficult in the event that we can convey the framework to a corner to corner

condition, det(T − λ I) = 0. The determinant is a polynomial, thus the eigenvalues are not ensured to exist if the field is R. In this manner, we frequently work with an algebraically shut field, for example, the complex numbers when managing eigenvectors and eigenvalues so that an eigenvalue will dependably exist. It would be especially pleasant if given a change T consuming a vector room V into itself we can discover a premise for V comprising of eigenvectors. In the event that such a premise exists, we can without much of a stretch register the activity of the change on any vector: if v1, v2, ..., vn are straightly autonomous eigenvectors of a mapping of n-dimensional spaces T with (not as a matter of course particular) eigenvalues λ1, λ2, ..., λn, and if v = a1v1 + ... + a vn, then,

$$\begin{aligned} T(v) = T(a_1 v_1) + \cdots + T(a_n v_n) \\ = a_1 T(v_1) + \cdots + a_n T(v_n) \\ = a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n \lambda_n v_n. \end{aligned}$$

structure. Not all frameworks are diagonalizable (even over an algebraically closed field).

Inner-product spaces

Other than these essential ideas, direct algebra likewise concentrates on vector spaces with extra structure, for example, an inward item. The internal item is a case of a bilinear structure, what's more, it gives the vector space a geometric structure by taking into consideration the meaning of length and edges.

LINEAR ALGEBRA IN MODELING THE PROBABILITIES OF PREDICTED FUTURE OCCURRENCES

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) and comparative strategies can be utilized to consider frameworks subspaces which portray their conduct. In this paper we audit the SVD and summed up particular quality deterioration (GSVD) and a few of their applications. We give specific thoughtfulness regarding how these devices can be utilized to separate essential examples in a dataset and give expectations of future conduct of these designs. A noteworthy center of this task is the examination of a part looking like strategy depicted by Michael Dettinger which gives assessments of likelihood conveyances for little arrangements of information. We tried the consequences of utilizing both the SVD and the GSVD for Dettinger's technique.

Additionally to Dettinger, we found that the technique had a propensity to give likelihood disseminations a Gaussian shape notwithstanding when this didn't appear to be spoken to in the first information. For a few information sets, in any case, both utilizing the SVD and GSVD gave what have all the earmarks of being sensible likelihood dispersions.

There was not a noteworthy distinction in how well unique likelihood dispersions were evaluated when utilizing Dettinger's unique technique or the alterations with the diminished SVD or the GSVD. Utilizing Dettinger's strategy as opposed to a straightforward histogram dependably gave a higher determination of data, and was a few times prepared to do coordinating the state of the first likelihood dispersions all the more nearly.

Time Series and Matrix Decomposition

The exact displaying and expectation of time arrangement is turning out to be progressively vital in a scope of utilizations, from meteorological figures to financial models.

Alongside the capacity to anticipate an example comes the need to build up the dependability or exactness of an expectation, ideally before the demonstrated occasion happens. This paper addresses the issue of anticipating the probability of an occasion from either an arrangement of reasonable models for the occasion or an arrangement of authentic information. For instance, figure indicates yield from six distinctive climate models which each anticipated the most extreme temperature in every day of a thirty day time frame. On the off chance that every one of the six models which added to the information in this chart are similarly prone to be exact, then whoever is breaking down this data is confronted with the errand of choosing how to depict what the most extreme temperature is liable to be on every day given six unique expectations. Basic measurable instruments, for example, implies, medians, standard deviations, and histograms are unquestionably sensible decisions for portraying temperature probability on any given day.

Applications

Because of the ubiquity of vector spaces, linear algebra is used in many fields of mathematics, natural sciences, computer science, and social science. Below are just some examples of applications of linear algebra.

Solution of linear systems

Linear algebra provides the formal setting for the linear combination of equations used in the Gaussian method. Suppose the goal is to find and describe the solution(s), if any, of the following system of linear equations:

The Gaussian-elimination algorithm is as follows: eliminate x from all equations below L_1, and then eliminate y from all equations below L_2. This will put the system into triangular form. Then, using back-substitution, each unknown can be solved for.

In the example, x is eliminated from L_2 by adding $(3/2)L_1$ to L_2. x is then eliminated from L_3 by adding L_1 to L_3. Formally:

The result is:Now y is eliminated from L_3 by adding $-4L_2$ to L_3: The result is:

This result is a system of linear equations in triangular form, and so the first part of the algorithm is complete.

The last part, back-substitution, consists of solving for the known in reverse order. It can thus be seen that Then, z can be substituted into L_2, which can then be solved to obtain

Next, z and y can be substituted into L_1, which can be solved to obtain

The system is solved. We can, in general, write any system of linear equations as a matrix equation:

The solution of this system is characterized as follows: first, we find a particular solution x_0 of this equation using Gaussian elimination. Then, we compute the solutions of $Ax = 0$; that is, we find the null space N of A. The solution set of this equation is given by . If the number of variables is equal to the number of equations, then we can characterize when the system has a unique solution: since N is trivial if and only if $\det A \neq 0$, the equation has a unique solution if and only if det $A \neq 0$.

Least-squares best fit line

The least squares method is used to determine the best fit line for a set of data. This line will minimize the sum of the squares of the residuals.

Fourier series expansion

Fourier series are a representation of a function f: $[-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbf{R}$ as a trigonometric series:

This series expansion is extremely useful in solving partial differential equations. In this article, we will not be concerned with convergence issues; it is nice to note that all Lipschitz-continuous functions have a converging Fourier series expansion, and nice enough discontinuous functions have a Fourier series that converges to the function value at most points.

The space of all functions that can be represented by a Fourier series form a vector space (technically speaking, we call functions that have the same Fourier series expansion the "same" function, since two different discontinuous functions might have the same Fourier series). Moreover, this space is also an inner product space with the inner product

The functions $g_n(x) = \sin(nx)$ for $n > 0$ and $h_n(x) = \cos(nx)$ for $n \geq 0$ are an orthonormal basis for the space of Fourier-expandable functions. We can thus use the tools of linear algebra to find the expansion of any function in this space in terms of these basis functions. For instance, to find the coefficient a_k, we take the inner product with h_k:

and by orthonormality, ; that is,

Quantum mechanics

Quantum mechanics is highly inspired by notions in linear algebra. In quantum mechanics, the physical state of a particle is represented by a vector, and observables (such as momentum, energy, and angular momentum) are represented by linear operators on the underlying vector space. More concretely, the wave function of a particle describes its physical state and lies in the vector space L^2 (the functions $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^3 \to \mathbf{C}$ such that is finite), and it evolves according to the Schrödinger equation. Energy is represented as the operator , where V is the potential energy. H is also known as the Hamiltonian operator. The eigenvalues of H represents the possible energies that can be observed. Given a particle in some state φ, we can expand φ into a linear combination of eigenstates of H. The component of H ineach eigenstate determines the probability of measuring the corresponding eigenvalue, and the measurement forces the particle to assume that eigenstate (wave function collapse).

References

- L. de Lathauwer. Signal Processing Based on Multilinear Algebra. PhD postulation, Katholieke Univ. Leuven, Belgium, 1997.
- Freeman and J. Tenenbaum. Learning bilinear models for two-variable issues in vision. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Acknowledgment, pages 554-560, 1997.
- Kapteyn, H. Neudecker, and T. Wansbeek. An way to deal with n-mode segment examination. Psychometrika, 51(2):269-275, June 1986.
- T. G. Kolda. Orthogonal tensor deteriorations. SIAM
- Diary on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 23(1):243-255, 2001. J. B. Kruskal. Rank, decay, and uniqueness for 3-way and n-way cluster. In R. Coppi and S. Bolasco, editors, Multiway
- Data Analysis, pages 7-18, Amsterdam, 1989. North Holland.
- J. R. Magnus and H. Neudecker. Grid Differential Math with Applications in Statistics and Econometrics. John Wiley and Sons, New York, New York, 1988.
- D.H. Marimont and B.A. Wandell. Direct models of surface and illuminance spectra. J. Optical Society of America, A., 9:1905-1913, 1992.
- Y. Moses, S. Edelman, and S. Ullman. Speculation to novel pictures in upright and transformed appearances. Discernment, 25:443-461, 1996.
- J.R. Gilbert, C. Moler, and R. Schreiber. "Meager Frameworks in MATLAB: Design and Implementation", SIAM
- D. Goddeke, S.H.M. Buijssen, H. Wobke, and S.
- Turek."GPU Acceleration of an Unmodified Parallel Finite
- Component Navier-Stokes Solver", High Performance Processing and Simulation, 2009.
- G. Golub, and C.F. Van Loan. Framework Computations(Johns Hopkins Studies in Mathematical Sciences). John Hopkins University Press, 1996.
- W.D. Gropp, D.K. Kaushik, D.E. Keyes, and B.F.
- Smith. "Superior Parallel Implicit CFD", Parallel Processing, 27(4): 337-362, 2001.
-

- F.B. Hanson. Alert: Cramser's Rule is Computationally Expensive: Special Notes from MSC 471.
- College of Illinois at Chicago. Fall 2004.
- S.A. Haque, and M.M. Maza. "Determinant Calculation on the GPU utilizing the Condensation Method", Diary of Physics: Conference Series. 341(1): 1-10, 2012.
- W. D. Hart. The Philosophy of Mathematics. Oxford College Press, 1996.
- X. He, and L.- S. Lou. "From the earlier Derivation of the Cross section Boltzmann Equation", Physical Review E 55(6): R6333, June 1997.
- M, Headrick. "Outline of Linear Algebra and Its Applications in Physics", Linear algebra in material science, Brandeis College. A.S.
- Householder. The Theory of Matrices in Numerical Analysis. Messenger Dover Publications, 2013.
- N. Jacobson. Essential Algebra I. Dover Publications, 2012.
- N.L. Johnson. The Legacy of Group T-3. Los Alamos National Laboratory. 26, June 2006
- V.J. Katz. A History of Mathematics. Addison-Wesley, 1993.
- V.J. Katz, and B. Barton. "Stages in the History of Algebra with Implications for Teaching", Educational Concentrates on in Mathematics 66(2): 185-201, 2007.
- V.J. Katz. "Algebra and Its Teaching: A Historical Overview", The Journal of Mathematical Behavior 16(1): 25- 38, 1997.
- G.R. Kaye. Indian Mathematics., JSTOR, 1919.
- D. Kirk. NVIDIA CUDA Software and GPU Parallel Registering Architecture. 2008.