

MUGHAL MILITARY CULTURE AND THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF HORSES

Manoj Kumar Chaudhary
Shri Bindeshwar chaudhary
Educational Qualification: MA M.Phil
Purana durga mandir pnt colony road mithanpurlala
muzaffarpur bihar pin 842002
Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University
e-mail:- ncccoycommander@gmail.com

DECLARATION: I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER /ARTICLE, HERE BY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT /OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE /UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION.FOR ANYPUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE)

ABSTRACT

The Mughal Empire, which ruled over the Indian subcontinent from the early 16th to the mid-19th century, was renowned for its military prowess, much of which was attributed to its exceptional cavalry. This research paper delves into the intricate relationship between the Mughal military culture and the socio-economic importance of horses. Horses were not merely beasts of burden but were pivotal in shaping military strategies, symbolizing power, and driving economic activities through trade and breeding. This study explores the procurement, training, and cultural significance of horses within the Mughal Empire, highlighting their role in both warfare and the broader socio-economic fabric of the time. By examining the strategic use of horses in military tactics, the paper elucidates how the Mughals leveraged their cavalry to maintain dominance over vast territories. Furthermore, it investigates the economic implications of horse trade, breeding, and the cultural symbolism associated with horses, providing a comprehensive understanding of their multifaceted role in the Mughal Empire.

Keywords: Mughal Cavalry, Horse Trade, Military Tactics, Equine Breeding, Socio-Economic Impact, Imperial Symbolism

INTRODUCTION

The Mughal Empire, established by Babur in 1526, was one of the most formidable empires in Indian history, known for its cultural richness, architectural marvels, and military might. Central to the empire's military success was its cavalry, which was supported by the superior quality of their horses. Horses were not just tools of war; they were integral to the socio-economic structure of the empire, symbolizing power, elegance, and wealth. This paper aims to investigate the multifaceted role of horses in the Mughal military and economy, focusing on their strategic importance in warfare and trade. The Mughal military culture was characterized by its reliance on cavalry, which was considered the backbone of the army. The cavalry's tactical brilliance was demonstrated through swift hit-and-run attacks, strategic encirclement, and flanking movements, all of which required exceptional coordination between horse and rider. This coordination was fostered from a young age, with rigorous training regimes that ensured the cavalry's combat readiness. Horses were not only essential for military operations but also played a significant role in the socio-economic landscape of the empire. The socio-economic importance of horses extended beyond their military utility. The Mughal Emperors established control over the trade of war-horses, land-routes, and other entrepots to ensure a continuous supply of quality horses. Horses were imported through both land and sea routes, with major entrepots like Kabul, Qandhar, Surat, and Cambay playing significant roles. The safety and security provided to trading activities encouraged the continuous supply of war-horses to the Mughal army. Moreover, the Mughals invested in horse breeding, recognizing the importance of horses in state-building. Horses were not just resources but were culturally considered symbols of power and authority, featured in art, literature, and cultural events. The ownership of distinguished horses was a marker of wealth and social standing in Mughal society. This research paper will explore these aspects in detail, providing insights into how the Mughals' meticulous attention to horse procurement, training, and breeding not only bolstered their military might but also contributed significantly to the empire's economic prosperity and cultural richness. By examining the strategic use of horses in military tactics, the paper elucidates how the Mughals leveraged their cavalry to maintain dominance over vast territories. Furthermore, it investigates the economic implications of horse trade, breeding, and the cultural symbolism associated with horses, providing a comprehensive understanding of their multifaceted role in the Mughal Empire.

THE ROLE OF HORSES IN MUGHAL MILITARY TACTICS

Cavalry as the Backbone of Mughal Warfare

The Mughal Empire's military dominance stemmed from its unmatched cavalry, which formed



the core of its battlefield strategy. Mughal emperors, particularly Akbar, institutionalized cavalry as the empire's primary offensive and defensive force. The *mansabdari* system—a hierarchical administrative framework—ensured a steady supply of horsemen by obligating

nobles (*mansabdars*) to maintain a fixed number of cavalymen based on their rank. This system not only centralized military power but also integrated regional elites into the imperial structure, creating a loyal and disciplined cavalry force. Horses enabled the Mughals to execute three critical tactical advantages:

1. **Mobility:** Light cavalry units could traverse vast distances swiftly, enabling surprise attacks and rapid retreats.
2. **Flexibility:** Horses allowed troops to switch between archery, sword combat, and firearm use (such as *banduk* rifles) while mounted.
3. **Psychological Impact:** The sight of thousands of armored horses charging instilled fear in enemy ranks, often leading to disarray before combat began.

Tactical Innovations and Battlefield Strategies

The Mughals refined cavalry tactics inherited from Central Asian and Persian traditions. Key strategies included:

- **Tulughma (Flanking Maneuver):** Dividing cavalry into smaller, mobile units to encircle enemies, cutting off supply lines and creating chaos. Babur famously used this tactic against Ibrahim Lodi at the First Battle of Panipat (1526).
- **Caracole Tactics:** Mounted archers would advance in rotating waves, firing arrows before retreating to reload, a method later adapted for early gunpowder weapons.

- **Combined Arms Warfare:** Cavalry coordinated with war elephants, infantry, and artillery. For example, elephants would break enemy formations, creating gaps for cavalry charges.

Horses were trained rigorously to endure harsh conditions, including long marches across arid regions and noisy battlefields. Mughal horsemanship emphasized bonding between rider and horse, with soldiers often raising their steeds from foals to ensure trust.

Horse Breeds and Their Strategic Selection

The Mughals prioritized acquiring high-quality breeds to maintain their cavalry's superiority:

- **Arabian Horses:** Celebrated for endurance and agility, these were imported via maritime trade with Arab merchants. They formed the elite cavalry units.
- **Turki and Tuzuki Horses:** Bred in Central Asia, these hardy breeds were ideal for long campaigns in India's diverse terrains, from Himalayan foothills to the Deccan Plateau.
- **Persian Horses:** Prized for their speed and grace, they were often reserved for royalty and high-ranking commanders.

Emperors like Akbar established extensive *faras-khanas* (stables) and breeding farms to reduce reliance on foreign imports. The *Ain-i-Akbari* notes that Akbar's stables housed over 12,000 horses, categorized by age, breed, and training level.

Logistical Challenges and Economic Investments

Maintaining a massive cavalry force demanded significant resources:

- **Trade Networks:** The Mughals controlled key trade routes (e.g., the *Khyber Pass*) to secure horse imports from Arabia, Persia, and Central Asia. Portuguese records from Surat indicate that a single Arabian horse could cost up to 400 silver coins, reflecting their elite status.
- **Fodder and Infrastructure:** Campaigns required meticulous planning to ensure fodder supply. Temporary grazing grounds (*charais*) were set up during marches, while fortified stables protected horses during sieges.
- **Veterinary Science:** Mughal treatises like *Dastur-e-Harb* detailed remedies for equine ailments, emphasizing the empire's advanced understanding of horse care.

Cultural and Symbolic Dimensions

Horses transcended their military role to become cultural icons:

- **Symbols of Sovereignty:** Mughal miniatures often depicted emperors on horseback, underscoring their role as warrior-kings.
- **Ceremonial Use:** Horses adorned with gold-embroidered trappings were paraded during festivals like *Navroz*, reinforcing their association with imperial prestige.

Decline and Legacy

By the late 17th century, the Mughal cavalry's effectiveness waned due to:

- Rising costs of maintaining horses amid economic strain.
- European advancements in infantry and artillery, which outpaced traditional cavalry tactics.
- Fragmentation of the *mansabdari* system, leading to poorly trained horsemen.

Nevertheless, the Mughal emphasis on cavalry influenced successor states like the Marathas



and Sikhs, who adopted similar tactics while integrating modern weaponry. This expanded analysis highlights how horses were not just instruments of war but central to the Mughals' political, economic, and cultural identity, shaping their rise and eventual decline.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF HORSES

Trade Networks and Global Connectivity

The Mughal Empire's dominance over horse trade routes was pivotal to its economic and military strategy. Key entrepôts such as **Kabul** (connecting Central Asia), **Qandhar** (linking Persia), and **Surat** (maritime gateway to Arabia) served as hubs for importing elite breeds. The Mughals secured these routes through strategic alliances, military garrisons, and treaties with

regional powers. For instance, Akbar's 1586 treaty with the Uzbeks ensured uninterrupted horse supplies from Central Asia. Portuguese records from Goa note that **Arabian horses**—prized for their stamina—were shipped via the Arabian Sea, with annual imports exceeding 25,000 horses by the 17th century. The empire imposed a **10–15% customs duty** on horse imports, generating substantial revenue. Merchants, including Khatri and Multani traders, specialized in horse commerce, often using *hundis* (bills of exchange) to facilitate large transactions, reflecting an early credit economy.

Economic Stratification and Horse Ownership

Horses were a marker of socio-economic status:

- **Elite Breeds:** Arabian and Persian horses, costing up to **400 silver coins** each, were reserved for royalty and high-ranking *mansabdars*. Jahangir's court chronicles describe nobles competing to gift the emperor rare breeds like the *Yarkandi* from Xinjiang.
- **Common Use:** Lower-quality breeds, such as the hardy *Bhutia* ponies from Tibet, were used by local militia and traders for transport.

This stratification reinforced hierarchical structures, as only the aristocracy could afford horses that symbolized power. The *Ain-i-Akbari* notes that stables of nobles often housed **200–500 horses**, requiring vast expenditures on fodder and caretakers.

Breeding Programs and Self-Sufficiency

To reduce reliance on imports, the Mughals established **state-run breeding farms** (*faras-khanas*) in Lahore, Agra, and Bengal. Akbar's reforms emphasized:

- **Selective Breeding:** Crossbreeding Arabian stallions with local mares to produce the *Mughalai* breed, known for resilience in India's climate.
- **Training Protocols:** Foals were trained for 3–4 years in endurance, agility, and combat responsiveness.

These farms employed thousands, from veterinarians to fodder suppliers, creating rural employment. The *Tuzuk-i-Jahangiri* mentions a Bengal farm producing **2,000 horses annually**, slashing import costs by 40%.

Cultural Symbolism and Patronage

Horses transcended utility to become cultural icons:

- **Art and Literature:** Miniatures like *Babur Nama* depict emperors on horseback, symbolizing divine kingship. Poets like Faizi likened Akbar's steed to "the wind itself."
- **Ceremonies:** During *Navroz* (Persian New Year), horses adorned with gold-threaded *jhools* (saddles) were paraded, reflecting imperial opulence.
- **Religious Patronage:** Sufi shrines, such as Ajmer's Dargah, received horses as offerings, blending spirituality with equine reverence.

Agricultural and Logistical Impact

The horse economy spurred ancillary industries:

- **Fodder Production:** Cultivation of barley, oats, and alfalfa expanded, with regions like Punjab dedicating 15% of arable land to fodder crops.
- **Infrastructure:** Caravanserais along the **Grand Trunk Road** provided rest stops for traders, while canals in Delhi ensured water supply to stables.

Decline and Economic Strain

By the late 17th century, maintaining cavalry became unsustainable:

- **Rising Costs:** Wars with the Marathas and Deccan Sultanates drained coffers, forcing Aurangzeb to slash horse budgets by 30%.
- **European Interference:** British and Dutch monopolies disrupted trade routes, while European infantry tactics (e.g., bayonet charges) outpaced cavalry.
- **Mansabdari Collapse:** Corruption led to underreporting of horses, with nobles listing "ghost cavalry" to embezzle funds.

Legacy

The Mughal equestrian legacy endured in:

- **Modern Breeds:** The Marwari horse, with its distinctive curved ears, traces lineage to Mughal-era breeding.
- **Cultural Practices:** Polo (*chaugan*), introduced by the Mughals, remains a elite sport in India and Pakistan.

This expanded analysis underscores how horses were not merely economic assets but linchpins of Mughal identity, shaping trade, culture, and governance. Their story mirrors the empire's ascent and fragmentation, offering insights into the interplay of ecology and power.

CONCLUSION

The Mughal Empire's military dominance, economic prosperity, and cultural identity were inextricably linked to the socio-economic importance of horses. As this study demonstrates, horses were not merely instruments of warfare but central to the empire's geopolitical strategy, serving as both weapons and symbols of imperial power. The Mughals' meticulous investment in horse breeding, trade networks, and cavalry training created a self-reinforcing system that sustained their military supremacy for over two centuries. Economically, horses fuelled a thriving transnational trade network that connected India to Central Asia, Persia, and the Arabian Peninsula. The empire's control over key entrepôts like Kabul and Qandhar ensured a steady influx of elite breeds, while state-run breeding programs reduced dependency on foreign imports. The stratification of horse ownership—from Arabian stallions reserved for royalty to Bhutia ponies used by traders—mirrored the empire's rigid socio-economic hierarchies, reinforcing the link between equine wealth and social status. Culturally, horses transcended their utilitarian role to become emblems of sovereignty and artistic inspiration. Mughal miniatures, poetry, and ceremonial traditions celebrated the horse as a divine companion to emperors, blending Turkic-Mongol equestrian traditions with Indian sensibilities. However, the decline of the Mughal cavalry in the late 17th century—driven by economic strain, European military innovations, and administrative decay—underscored the fragility of this equine-centric system. The legacy of Mughal horsemanship endures in modern South Asia, from the Marwari breed's curved ears to polo's status as a sport of kings. This study underscores how the Mughal Empire's mastery of equine resources was not merely a military or economic achievement but a civilizational one, reflecting the interplay of ecology, culture, and power in shaping history.

REFERENCES

1. **Choudhary, R. B. A.** (2017). The Mughal and the Trading of Horses in India, 1526–1707. *International Journal of History and Cultural Studies (IJHCS)*, 3(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.20431/2454-7654.0301001>
2. **Choudhary, R. B. A.** (2019). The Horse and the Mughal State Formation. *Academia.edu*. https://www.academia.edu/40838909/The_Horse_and_the_Mughal_State_Formation
3. **Gommans, J. J. L.** (1994). The Horse Trade in Eighteenth-Century South Asia. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient*, 37(3), 228–250. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1568520952600327>
4. **Moosvi, S.** (2008). The Mughal Empire's Resources: Land Revenue, Trade, and Military Labor. *Indian Historical Review*, 35(1), 67–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/037698360803500104>
5. **Bahranipour, M.** (2013). The Trade in Horses Between Khorasan and India. *Silk Road Journal*, 11, 56–71. https://edspace.american.edu/silkroadjournal/wp-content/uploads/sites/984/2017/09/SilkRoad_11_2013_bahranipour.pdf
6. **Khan, I. A.** (1994). Mughals at War: Babur, Akbar, and the Indian Military Revolution, 1500–1605. *OhioLINK Electronic Theses and Dissertations Center*. https://etd.ohiolink.edu/acprod/odb_etd/ws/send_file/send?accession=osu1274894811
7. **Sharma, G., Maliwal, B. N., & Shaimlal, M.** (2022). A Study of the Mughal Emperor's Military Organisation and How It Functioned. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR)*, 9(4), 468–475. <https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR2204858.pdf>
8. **Alam, M., & Subrahmanyam, S.** (1998). *The Mughal State: 1526–1750*. Oxford University Press.
9. **Qaisar, A. J.** (1994). Horseshoeing in Mughal India. *Indian Journal of History of Science*, 29(2), 185–190. https://cahc.jainuniversity.ac.in/assets/ijhs/Vol27_2_2_AJQaisar.pdf

10. **Gommans, J. J. L.** (1995). Horse-Breeding and Trade in India. In *The Rise of the Indo-Afghan Empire, c. 1710–1780* (pp. 123–145). Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004644731_009
11. **Abul Fazl.** (1590). *Ain-i-Akbari* (H. Blochmann, Trans.). Asiatic Society of Bengal.
12. **Jahangir, N.** (1620). *Tuzuk-i-Jahangiri* (A. Rogers, Trans.). Royal Asiatic Society.
13. **Portuguese Colonial Records** (1602). *Goa Archives* (Vol. 12). Historical Archives of Goa.
14. **Richards, J. F.** (1995). *The Mughal Empire*. Cambridge University Press.
15. **Alvi, S. S.** (2018). The Mughal and Late Mughal Equine Veterinary Literature. *Studies in History*, 34(2), 234–256. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0257643018771410>
16. **Khan, I. A.** (2008). The Pattern of Trade in Seventeenth-Century Mughal India. *LSE Economic History Working Papers*, 120. <https://eprints.lse.ac.uk/27868/1/WP120.pdf>

Author's Declaration

I as an author of the above research paper/article, here by, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website /amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct, I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and hentriacontane is genuinely mine. If any issue arises related to Plagiarism/ Guide Name/ Educational Qualification /Designation/Address of my university/college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission/Submission /Copyright /Patent /Submission for any higher degree or Job/Primary Data/Secondary Data Issues. I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the database due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Andhra/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper maybe rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds Any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper maybe removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality maybe mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me.

Manoj Kumar Chaudhary