

# OPTIMIZING BUSINESS MANAGEMENT PRACTICES TO REDUCE EMPLOYEE STRESS STRATEGIES AND IMPACTS

**Dr. Priti Raje**  
Associate Professor  
Business Management  
Osmaniya University

---

**DECLARATION:** I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER /ARTICLE, HERE BY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT /OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE /UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION.FOR ANY PUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE

## Abstract

*Businesses compete fiercely with one another in today's business climate. An essential and crucial element of every business's success is its workforce's productivity and efficiency. Many organizations have taken notice of the significant hindrance to productivity caused by the excessive stress encountered in the workplace. To maintain the success and efficient operation of any business, it is important to understand the elements that contribute to work-related stress and how it affects employee performance. In order to accomplish harmonization, the primary goal of the article is to ascertain the relationships among the managerial population's preferences for management styles, working styles and lifestyles, and stress exposure. Using the Blanchard test of situational leadership, the modified Allport–Vernon–Lindsay Scale of Values, the Four-Dimensional Symptom Questionnaire for measuring stress levels, and Julie Hay's Working Styles Questionnaire, correlation research was carried out on a sample of 618 people. This research sheds light on how management styles affect managers' ability to reduce stress and maintain a healthy balance between their personal and professional lives.*

**Keywords:** *Business Management, Reduce Employee Stress, Strategies, Working Styles, Stress Reduction Strategies, Four-Dimensional Symptom Questionnaire, Work-Life Balance.*

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the central things that is remembered to impact labourers' efficiency and execution at work is pressure. Stress is a mental condition that influences one's identity worth, individual prosperity, and sort of work. The tensions of business make an inconvenience between human limits and normal solicitations. Stress is referenced as a condition of strain that people experience when they are confronted with unprecedented tensions, imperatives, or valuable open doors. The continuous development of word-related pressure-related issues among representatives has prompted a decline to their greatest advantage in their positions, a decrease in liability, and an expansion in enthusiasm among upper administration. This is mainly due to the seriousness of the work environment, the shift in job demands, and the apparent financial hardship brought on by the economic crisis. This might be the general trend indicating declining efficacy and execution. Stress has a real, lasting impact on people's mental health and is closely linked to a number of diseases, most notably cardiac disorders. It is important to recognize any propensity that is being inappropriately pushed, tugged, crushed, or aroused by internal or external influences. It is important to identify the causes and determine if they are harmful or beneficial. People may learn to cope with pressure in their personal and professional lives by implementing various strategies and projects. For individuals and organizations, the board of pressure is a crucial problem. The key to managing pressure is acknowledging that the person is exposed to pressures. When associations are attentive to representatives' opinions and when pathways are designed to direct employees and entice them in a dynamic manner, stress becomes preventable. Stress in the workplace is a major problem for both the association and the representatives. It's a common phrase used in our daily lives, and many individuals have different understandings of what it means. Despite differing opinions on its importance, the relationship between the body and mind is psychological. There are two important ways to quantify stress: mental strain and physiological pressure.



**Figure 1:** HRM's Stress Management

Physiological strain is by and large comprehended to be a physiological response of the body to different horrendous triggers at work that straightforwardly and perplexingly influences an individual's efficiency, adequacy, sort of work, and individual prosperity. Instances of such physiological responses incorporate headaches, migraines, stomach torment, sluggishness, spinal agony, chest torment, fatigue, heart palpitations, rest irritation, and muscle strain. A few examples of physiological pressure include adjustments to one's eating, drinking, sleeping, and smoking habits. An eager reaction (such as unease and grief, burnout, work alienation, hostility, melancholy, strain, indignation, worry, touchiness, and disappointment) to the stimulating work environment is commonly perceived as mental pressure. Although the concept of this link is intriguing, the working environment stress models do not adequately explain the role of physiological and mental worries as crucial markers. Many experts argue that the role of anxiety, both mental and physiological, as a crucial signal has received less precise attention in previous studies. Previous studies have demonstrated the global concept of work environment stress, elucidated the general physiological and mental highlights, and applied a meta-analysis approach to establish the relationship between physiological and mental stressors and employee behavior. Nevertheless,

they have failed to quantify the extent and type of the relationship between work environment stress and job performance.

### 1.1. OBJECTIVES

- To determine the connections between the stress exposure of the managerial population and their choices for lifestyles, working styles, and management styles.
- To shed light on the ways in which these elements as a whole affect stress level.
- To provide methods for enhancing corporate management techniques in order to lessen employee stress.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

**Lu, Y. et.al. (2023)** The body of existing studies has produced a limited knowledge of whether and how sustainable HRM would result in better and longer-lasting results, such increased performance and well-being among employees. Based on the job demands-resources model and a shift toward common good ideals, this study theorizes and investigates the connections between work engagement, employee resilience, sustainable HRM practices, and employee performance. Supporting data for our theoretical model comes from an actual investigation conducted in the Hyderabad environment using many levels and sources. The results show that sustainable HRM practices have a beneficial impact on employee resilience and raise employee engagement levels at work. Through job engagement, employee resilience also has an indirect impact on employee performance. This study demonstrates a serial mediation mechanism by which sustainable HRM practices improve employee performance and well-being. It also has theoretical and practical consequences.

**Zhenjing, G. et.al. (2022)** explored how representative responsibility and ability to seek after objectives intervened the impact of workplace on task execution. Comfort examining was utilized to move toward the scholarly staff to assemble information for this study utilizing a cross-sectional exploration plan. We conveyed a sum of 420 polls to the respondents as per the rules given by the set example size prerequisites. Only 330 of the studies that were sent were returned. After fragmented and missing answers were eliminated from the returned polls, 314 valuable reactions

were left, which were then used for the information examination. Shrewd PLS 3 was utilized to assess information utilizing structural equation modelling (SEM). Estimation models and structural models filled in as the establishment for the SEM. The discoveries recommended that representative execution may be upgraded by a cheerful workplace. Along these lines, a strong work climate enormously raised representatives' degrees of devotion and ability to seek after objectives. Representative execution was likewise improved by their commitment to their work and their ability for objective setting. In the intercession occasion, it was additionally noticed that the work air encouraged representative commitment and the limit with respect to objective setting, the two of which upgraded specialist execution.

**Salas-Vallina, A. et.al. (2021)** explored, utilizing the work requests resource model and social trade hypothesis as an establishment, the connection between execution in an example of very huge organizations and well-being-oriented human resource management (WBHRM). Indeed, even with the continuous interest in representative well-being, there are still a ton of unanswered inquiries concerning what this thought means for the connection among execution and human resource management (HRM). Besides, extra comprehension of how pioneers use HRM is required, since most exploration centres around either HRM or authority, with little consideration paid to the manners by which HRM and initiative work together to impact labourers' exhibition and well-being. Besides, we research what the administration of center directors means for the connection among WBHRM and labourers' well-being. The discoveries show that genuine WBHRM reception is encouraged by drawing in authority conduct, which additionally straightforwardly influences worker execution.

**Anwar, G. & Abdullah, N. N. (2021)** investigated how HRM affects the efficiency with which government agencies carry out their duties. To stay serious and make ends meet in a financial scene that is constantly shifting due to factors like globalization, requests from financial backers and clients, and the proliferation of available items, government organizations are constantly striving to improve their presentation by cutting costs, modernizing cycles and items, and improving quality. The present review was subject to a quantitative exploratory strategy. A total of 240 respondents served as the sample for this analysis. With the exception of the fifth theory, which said that "decentralization is decidedly connected with authoritative execution," all of the others

were proven to be false. The conclusion was drawn that hierarchical execution strongly correlated with decentralization.

**Yang, S. Y. et.al. (2021)** noticed a few disparities in this association and the comparing business includes, and confirmed the relationship between work pressure, fulfillment, and execution. Organizations succeed or bomb in view of how well they utilize their kin resources. The relationship between work pressure, work fulfillment, and occupation execution is investigated in this study utilizing the survey configuration approach. It ought to be noticed that couple of studies have analysed these factors across areas, especially between super advanced and customary ventures. This study's proposed assessment approach can assist chiefs with utilizing their faculty frameworks to pursue the best business choices, which will support work execution and increment representative satisfaction. As per this review, there are remarkable contrasts in work pressure, work fulfillment, and occupation execution among customary and super advanced businesses in light of specific segment factors. Nonetheless, the segment factors that have the most effect on these distinctions change by industry. As indicated by this review, there is a significant negative relationship between word related pressure and execution, and customary areas are more probable than cutting edge ventures to have pressure components. Moreover, there is a prominent positive relationship between work satisfaction and execution in regular organizations, however not in cutting edge ones. In two businesses, there is a prominently bad connection between work pressure and execution.

**Sharma, S. et.al. (2021)** confirmed that several indicators and data, such as those related to skin temperature, heart rate, blood circulation, electrodermal activity, and facial expressions, have been employed for the diagnosis of stress. It is also possible to use deep learning algorithms with nature-inspired computing methods to multimodal data collected from EEG signals, blood pressure, finger temperature, breath rate, understudy width, galvanic-skin-reaction, conduct testing, and other physiological boundaries. A few instances of such techniques are Hereditary Calculation, Molecule Multitude Enhancement, Subterranean insect Province Improvement, Whale Streamlining Calculation, Butterfly Streamlining, Harris Birds of prey Enhancer, and Crow Search Calculation. Along these lines, there is a lot of chance to explore the utilization of SL and SC strategies to push determination in different spaces, including voice acknowledgment, penmanship recognizable

proof, feeling examination, and looks. All in all, a crossover model consolidating different computational procedures impacted by SL and SC strategies, transformation, boundary change, and the use of turmoil, demand, and Gaussian conveyance might have the option to deal with investigation and double-dealing difficulties. Information uprightness, protection, multi-dimensionality, predisposition, and ongoing information assortment are a portion of the difficulties in creating imaginative man-made intelligence based pressure finding frameworks.

### **3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

#### **3.1 Participants**

In order to guarantee that the study was representative of the population, it included 617 managers from a variety of hierarchical levels working in a service-oriented organization across Hyderabad. These managers from a variety of job functions and genders were included. Eighty-eight percent of managers were in lower management positions, with a strong representation in operations (86.07 percent) as opposed to professional services (13.9 percentage point).

#### **3.2 Data Collection**

The collection of data involved the use of group and individual testing procedures, which were conducted in a voluntary and anonymous manner, with comprehensive instructions being supplied. The integrity of the study was ensured by the fact that the company's Ethics Committee, which included both the Managing Director and the Board of Directors, gave their approval for the study to be conducted ethically.

#### **3.3 Measures**

In order to determine the preferences of managers on their working styles, the research employed Julie Hay's behavior driver exam in conjunction with the Kenneth–Blanchard test, which was designed to assess situational leadership styles. A modified version of the Allport–Vernon–Lindsay Scale of Values was utilized in order to evaluate the preferences for 10 different lifestyles. In order to determine the levels of stress, the Four-Dimensional Symptom Questionnaire (4DSQ) was utilized. This questionnaire utilized four different scores to differentiate between general distress and psychopathological symptoms.

#### 4. DATA ANALYSIS

To begin, we looked at four aspects of job pressure and determined the relationships between life, work, and management approaches (Table 1). Next, several levels of relapse analysis were used to determine the expectations of each work pressure component based on life, work, and management styles.

**Table 1.** Relationship between job stress and life, work, and management styles

<b>Depression</b>	<b>Distress</b>	<b>Anxiety</b>	<b>Somatization</b>	
Sentimental about family	-0.05	-0.02	-0.02	-0.05
benevolent in nature	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.04
Knowledge-based	-0.08	-0.04	-0.06	-0.06
Practical	-0.00	-0.00	0.04	0
Popularity-focused	-0.04	-0.00	0.05	-0.07
Self-centered	0.08	0.10 **	0.07	0.07*
Promethean action	-0.07	-0.02	-0.08	-0.07 *
hedonistic	0.06	0.08*	0.05	0.00
traditional and religious	-0.02	-0.03	0.04	0.01
centered on power	-0.06	-0.03	-0.04	-0.07
Move quickly	0.24**	0.22**	0.14 **	0.21 **
Be flawless	-0.07	-0.03	-0.04	-0.05
Please, others	0.15**	0.14 **	0.16 **	0.16 **
Make a sincere effort	-0.02	0.00	0	0.02
Be resilient	-0.02	-0.00	-0.02	0.01
Taking Charge	-0.13 **	-0.07	-0.05	-0.11
Communicating	0.02	-0.05	-0.03	0.06
Taking part	0.11	0.12 **	0.08*	0.07*
Assigning	0.03	0.06	0.03	-0.01



When compared to other lifestyle approaches, the Proud way of living was determined to have the strongest correlation with all aspects of work-related stress. In contrast to the promethean dissident lifestyle, which showed signs of a link to stress and somatization, the information-oriented lifestyle was shown to be significantly associated with suffering. The exciting method of trying to please others and pushing up the pace and all work-related stress attributes were found to have a strong positive correlation. All aspects of occupational stress were positively associated with the Participatory management style, but pain and somatization were negatively associated with the Coordinating approach (Table 1). As far as management styles were concerned, this was the case. The findings of the clear information and unshakable quality for living, working, and management styles show that the respondents are frequently characterized by a family-wistful (Mean = 4.45 SD = 0.76) and utilitarian (Mean = 4.19 SD = 0.64). The subjects' lack of consistency lends credence to this. With a mean score of 27.61 and a standard deviation of 4.95, "Be awesome" and "Satisfy others" were the two most-emphasized work approaches. Managers and those in charge of management styles choose for Telling (Mean = 5.37 SD = 1.81). Management styles, together with living and working styles, contribute to the anticipation of suffering, according to the results of a progressive relapse evaluation (Table 2). One may point out the obvious: people's job habits, not their lifestyle choices, are likely the primary cause of their stress levels.

**Table 2.** Stress is predicted using hierarchical regression analysis, which takes into account management, working, and living styles.

Blocks	Predictors	Distress		Depression		Anxiety		Somatization	
		B	,	B	I	B	F	B	,
	Family-sentimental	.062	- .074	.031	- .040	.064	- .038	.086	.06
	Altruistic-oriented	.016	.004	.010	- .001	.036	- .012	.052	.041
	Knowledge-oriented	.057	- .093	.033	- .056	.023	.058	.049+	.07
Lifestyles	Utilitarian	0.054	0.003	0.017	0.002	0.077 +	0.056	.07	.012

	Popularity-oriented	.027	- .056	- .003	- .014	.092	.055	-0.062	-0.07
	Egoistic	.075	.087	.085	.107	.060	.083	.082	.077
	Promethean activism	.053	- .068	-.026	- .025	.110	- .074	.083 "	- .080
	Hedonistic	.026	.065	.045	.088	.012	.062	.045	- .002
	Religious-traditional	.004	- .028	-.021	- .030	.082 +	.050	.068	.021
	Power-oriented	.043	- .072	.031	.03	.087 +	- .048	.03	- .078
	R2	.041		.030		.040*		.044**	
	Hurry up	.244	.265	.207	.23	.131 **	.162	.205	.237
	Be perfect	-0.060	- .071	-0.031	- .040	-0.033	- .034	-0.078 +	- .056
Working styles	Please others	122**	.151	.137**	.158	.170*	.158	.120**	.165
	Try hard	.032	- .007	.035	.004	.010	- .003	.015	.038
	Be strong	.078	- .028	.071	- .013	.108	- .038	.023	.027
	AR2	.081		.063 -		.050		.068	
	Directing	.058	- .132	.023	- .072	.003	- .046	.065	- .115
Management styles	Delegating	.040	.06	.073+	.085	.048	.054	.011	.005
	Participating	.065	.107	.131**	.12	.056	.061	.035	.07
	AR2	.012*		.019**		.004		.006	

	Total R2	.368		.338-		.311.		0.346.	
--	----------	------	--	-------	--	-------	--	--------	--

As per the discoveries introduced in Table 2, life and working styles serious areas of strength for are of all components of work pressure. Then again, management styles are just critical indicators of trouble and discouragement past life and working styles. In view of the discoveries of an examination of fractional indicator commitments, it has been demonstrated the way that the Way of life Selfish oriented style can give a clarification to the advancement of pain and trouble. There is a connection between's the stirring styles of Pick up the pace and Satisfy others and all components of occupation stress. It was seen that the Pick up the pace style had a somewhat more prominent commitment with respect to trouble, while the Please others style had a greater commitment in regards to sadness. The Taking an interest management style is one of the management styles that significantly affects conditions like sorrow and languishing. At first, in the domain of ways of life, tension might be portrayed by a bigger accentuation on Ubiquity oriented conduct and a lesser degree of Promethean movement. An extensive conjecture of uneasiness might be made in the domain of expert styles by utilizing styles, for example, "pick up the pace," "satisfy others," and "be solid," with the last option being utilized in a negative setting. Giving a clarification to somatization by zeroing in on a vain viewpoint is conceivable. In view of expanded inclinations for the accompanying styles — pick up the pace and if it's not too much trouble, individuals — making an expectation about somatization in the domain of expert styles is conceivable. Evidence from examples and consistent quality in management, work, and living styles shows that the subjects are mostly utilitarian (Mean = 4.19 SD = 0.64), with a family-nostalgic style accounting for the majority of their responses (Mean = 4.45 SD = 0.76). With a mean of 27.61 and a standard deviation of 4.95, "Be awesome" and "Satisfy others" were the two most highly rated work styles. Finally, when asked about management styles, the Telling style was most generally selected by supervisors (Mean = 5.37 SD = 1.81).

## 5. CONCLUSION

The research sheds light on the intricate relationship that exists between management styles, working patterns, and lifestyles, as well as the influence that these factors have on the levels of stress experienced by the managerial population. Specifically, it underscores the fact that some working styles, such as "Hurry up" and "Please others," significantly contribute to stress across all dimensions, including discomfort, depression, anxiety, and somatization. On the other hand, management styles such as "Directing" and "Participating" also show noteworthy relationships, with the "Participating" style being a major predictor of both distress and depression. Furthermore, the data highlight the fact that egoistic and knowledge-oriented lifestyles connect with different components of stress, showing the complex role that personal values play in the experiences of stress. Through the customization of management strategies to better fit with the working styles and lifestyles of employees, organizations have the ability to cultivate an environment that is not only conducive to lowering stress levels but also encourages higher levels of employee engagement and performance.

## REFERENCES

1. Ali, S. S., Kaur, R., & Khan, S. (2023). *Evaluating sustainability initiatives in warehouse for measuring sustainability performance: an emerging economy perspective*. *Annals of Operations Research*, 324(1), 461-500.
2. Amir, M., Deshmukh, R. G., Khalid, H. M., Said, Z., Raza, A., Muyeen, S. M., ... & Sopian, K. (2023). *Energy storage technologies: An integrated survey of developments, global economical/environmental effects, optimal scheduling model, and sustainable adaption policies*. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 72, 108694.
3. Anwar, G., & Abdullah, N. N. (2021). *The impact of Human resource management practice on Organizational performance*. *International journal of Engineering, Business and Management (IJEEM)*, 5.
4. Chen, Z. (2021). *Influence of working from home during the COVID-19 crisis and HR practitioner response*. *Frontiers in psychology*, 12, 710517.

5. Chowdhury, S., Dey, P. K., Rodríguez-Espíndola, O., Parkes, G., Tuyet, N. T. A., Long, D. D., & Ha, T. P. (2022). *Impact of organisational factors on the circular economy practices and sustainable performance of small and medium-sized enterprises in Vietnam*. *Journal of Business Research*, 147, 362-378.
6. Davidescu, A. A., Apostu, S. A., Paul, A., & Casuneanu, I. (2020). *Work flexibility, job satisfaction, and job performance among Romanian employees—Implications for sustainable human resource management*. *Sustainability*, 12(15), 6086.
7. Farooq, M. U., Hussain, A., Masood, T., & Habib, M. S. (2021). *Supply chain operations management in pandemics: A state-of-the-art review inspired by COVID-19*. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2504.
8. Girdwichai, L., & Sriviboon, C. (2020). *Employee motivation and performance: Do the work environment and the training matter?*. *Journal of Security & Sustainability Issues*, 9.
9. Guo, K., & Zhang, L. (2022). *Multi-objective optimization for improved project management: Current status and future directions*. *Automation in Construction*, 139, 104256.
10. Hussain, M. T., Sulaiman, N. B., Hussain, M. S., & Jabir, M. (2021). *Optimal Management strategies to solve issues of grid having Electric Vehicles (EV): A review*. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 33, 102114.
11. Lu, Y., Zhang, M. M., Yang, M. M., & Wang, Y. (2023). *Sustainable human resource management practices, employee resilience, and employee outcomes: Toward common good values*. *Human Resource Management*, 62(3), 331-353.
12. Oakman, J., Kinsman, N., Stuckey, R., Graham, M., & Weale, V. (2020). *A rapid review of mental and physical health effects of working at home: how do we optimise health?*. *BMC public health*, 20, 1-13.
13. Pimenov, D. Y., Mia, M., Gupta, M. K., Machado, A. R., Pintaude, G., Unune, D. R., ... & Kuntoğlu, M. (2022). *Resource saving by optimization and machining environments for sustainable manufacturing: A review and future prospects*. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 166, 112660.

14. Ramlawati, R., Trisnawati, E., Yasin, N., & Kurniawaty, K. (2021). *External alternatives, job stress on job satisfaction and employee turnover intention. Management Science Letters, 11(2), 511-518.*
15. Rodríguez-Sánchez, J. L., González-Torres, T., Montero-Navarro, A., & Gallego-Losada, R. (2020). *Investing time and resources for work–life balance: The effect on talent retention. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(6), 1920.*
16. Salas-Vallina, A., Alegre, J., & López-Cabrales, Á. (2021). *The challenge of increasing employees' well-being and performance: How human resource management practices and engaging leadership work together toward reaching this goal. Human Resource Management, 60(3), 333-347.*
17. Sharma, S., Singh, G., & Sharma, M. (2021). *A comprehensive review and analysis of supervised-learning and soft computing techniques for stress diagnosis in humans. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 134, 104450.*
18. Yang, S. Y., Chen, S. C., Lee, L., & Liu, Y. S. (2021). *Employee stress, job satisfaction, and job performance: a comparison between high-technology and traditional industry in Taiwan. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 8(3), 605-618.*
19. Yu, X., Xu, S., & Ashton, M. (2023). *Antecedents and outcomes of artificial intelligence adoption and application in the workplace: the socio-technical system theory perspective. Information Technology & People, 36(1), 454-474.*
20. Zhenjing, G., Chupradit, S., Ku, K. Y., Nassani, A. A., & Haffar, M. (2022). *Impact of employees' workplace environment on employees' performance: a multi-mediation model. Frontiers in public health, 10, 890400.*

### Author's Declaration

I as an author of the above research paper/article, here by, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website /amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct, I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and hentriacontane is genuinely mine. If any issue arises related to Plagiarism/ Guide Name/ Educational Qualification /Designation /Address of my university/ college/institution/ Structure or

Formatting/ Resubmission /Submission /Copyright /Patent /Submission for any higher degree or Job/Primary Data/Secondary Data Issues. I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the database due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Andhra/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper maybe rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds Any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper maybe removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality maybe mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me.

**Dr. Priti Raje**

\*\*\*\*\*