

HORIZON PHYSICS: BRIDGING THE CLASSICAL AND QUANTUM WORLDS

A. Keshavardhan Goud

Research Scholar

Dr. Priyanka Bansal

Guide Name

[Assistant Professor]

Glocal School of Science, Glocal University

DECLARATION: I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER /ARTICLE, HERE BY DECLARE THAT HE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/ OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT /OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE /UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION. FOR ANY PUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE)

Abstract

As of late, perhaps of the main subject that has been the focal point of inside and out conversation from a logical, philosophical, mental, and existential perspective has been the association between quantum physics and higher mind capabilities, including cognizance. The world we live in is in a state of constant flux, and our lives are made up of waves of energy that are always moving and changing. The quantum revolution cast doubt on the concrete nature of reality, paving the way for the mind to play the dominant role, and creating scenarios with unexpected characteristics. In this article, some intriguing facets of quantum physics are discussed. These aspects have inescapable ramifications for our daily existences and can possibly altogether influence both our present and our future. These discoveries feature the need of creating mental flexibility through care preparing to work on one's capacity to get a handle on feelings, consideration regarding the genuine encompassing reality, mind-set, and in general prosperity all through one's lifetime.

Keywords: Bridging, Quantum Worlds, Horizon Physics, neurobiological, thermodynamic

1. INTRODUCTION

In the latest few years, the subject of the association that exists between quantum physics and higher mind capabilities, for example, cognizance, has been the focal point of a lot of discussion from an assortment of vantage focuses. We have hypothetical physicists that attempt to make sense of the psyche mind issue concerning current physics. Then again, most of mental neuroscientists and neurobiologists accept that the quantum world doesn't matter to the issues that they are endeavoring to tackle.

Can the vast computational capability of the neurons in our brains, which make up consciousness, be described within a strictly neurobiological framework, or is there room in the brain for quantum calculus? Because of the way that natural creatures are comprised of particles and atoms, they are dependent upon the laws of both traditional and quantum physics, with the nonmetric universe filling in as a middle of the road. Quantum physics, in contrast to classical physics, is based on probabilities; however, despite the fact that it still has many unsolved conceptual and interpretative problems, it can make sense of a great many peculiarities that can't be understood when seen from the perspective of traditional physics. Among the strange characteristics of the quantum universe, one in particular sticks out in our memories:

- a) **The duality of waves and particles:**contingent upon the trial arrangement, light and particles act as the two waves (wave viewpoint) and particles (molecule angle).
- b) **The Heisenberg indetermination principle:** which states that it is impossible to accurately determine a quantum object's position and velocity without always allowing for a very small margin of error?
- c) **The phenomenon of entanglement:**This peculiarity opposes our originations of territory and the limited idea of the speed of light, which is as of now perceived to be the most extreme attainable speed (in space, it is 299792,458 kilometers each second). At least two items can be exceptionally corresponded regardless of whether they are isolated by a significant stretch, and the ways of behaving of one of them immediately impact the ways of behaving of the others. Perhaps of the most difficult oddity in quantum hypothesis is entrapment, which recommends an action occurring a good ways off without the requirement

for a go-between. Wilderness' exploration might clear the entryway for the utilization of entrapment in perceptible frameworks, or regular articles.

Despite its imperfections, especially concerning the capability of the cognizant onlooker in the estimation cycle, quantum hypothesis has settled many issues in customary physics and made an abundance of novel and charming innovation applications that were beforehand unbelievable. Since quantum physics is a definitive hypothesis, all that in traditional physics ought to be made sense of with regards to this hypothesis; all things being equal; numerous researchers just view the cerebrum as an old style object. One of the main ones is whether all pieces of the sensory system, which is a texture that is unequivocally coupled to its environmental elements and exists at room temperature, shows quantum perceptible ways of behaving like quantum entrapment, which are additionally connected to the "issue of cognizance."

The idea that reality is tangible and concrete has been challenged by the quantum revolution. Three puzzles that are inextricably intertwined have plagued scientists:

- a) The nature of the universe;
- b) The nature of consciousness;
- c) The origin of the universe and consciousness.

Concerning the connection between quantum qualities and brain science and its suggestions for having a completely mindful existence, there is a newly arising research field. Speaking of quantum psychology, let's discuss lifetime training and well-being for adults, children, and the young through self-education and integration into mainstream systems like the educational system.

1.1 Brain And Quantum Computation

The mind is a famously hard to examinations convoluted quantum framework, except if one is working with profoundly romanticized models or "at the breaking point models." The cerebrum is a unimaginably complicated reality, perhaps the most perplexing known to people. Common sense gauges got from a similar example for a solitary molecule, when applied to endless great

many collaborating particles, display differences running in size. For this reason computational hypotheses are utilized to acquire brain relationships of the mind's quantum activities.

Carrying out quantum calculation is testing; it utilizes entrapment by guaranteeing that the framework merges to the determination with a serious level of likelihood. In its most essential structure, a quantum PC changes over the beginning condition of a few qubits — what might be compared to traditional pieces — into a last state with a probabilistic result by keeping up with the likelihood through a progression of remotely controllable quantum rationale entryways.

In processing, there is the issue of commotion, or what is known as de lucidness, which is a consistent however can be to some degree moderated by unambiguous techniques. The significant weaknesses of quantum registering seem to give a troubling picture to its likely use in the mind; for example, the size of pre-and post-synaptic receptors and different components that underlie brain volatility permits them to be viewed as traditional items.

The ORCH-OR model proposed by Roger Penrose and Stuart Hameroff is one of the most notable speculations for quantum physics in the mind. Consciousness is one of the primary topics that split scientists: is it best understood as a straightforward byproduct of information processing operations (and hence potentially repeatable on a computer) or as something that arises from unique features of the brain?

Per Penrose, consciousness would result from probabilistic effects of the quantum sort. Since certain members of the scientific community believe that the brain is not a suitable medium for quantum phenomena, his claim has faced criticism on both a philosophical and scientific level. These concerns should be reexamined in light of the recent findings of a number of mechanisms that seem to be influenced by quantum mechanics, including the sense of smell and photosynthesis.

This worldview recommends that quantum vibrations in microtubules inside cerebrum neurons, vibrations that have been confirmed by in vivo mind studies, would support cognizance. As proof

of their effect on cerebrum works, the quantum vibrations of microtubules can be connected to specific electroencephalographic rhythms that can't be portrayed in differently.

Data handling in the cerebrum depends on two fundamental biophysical processes: the making of activity possibilities and synaptic transmission by means of the synaptic cut. They comprise of thousands of particles and particles of synapses associated in a manner that stretches out across many micrometers. The two cycles obliterate reasonable quantum states, which infer that neurons may just send and get old style data, as indicated by typical brain handling.

Neuroscientists accept that quantum perspectives are pointless and that the sensory system doesn't utilize quantum calculations, in spite of the way that quantum calculations are definitely more remarkable than ordinary traditional calculations. Various computational numerical tasks connected with changes in synaptic loads, pre-synaptic movement, and dendrites are as of now accessible for neurons.

1.2 The Retro-Causal Quantum Theory

The possibility that quantum hypothesis must be retro causal assuming that appropriate presumptions are made has as of late gotten crisp support from hypothetical physics. Retro wariness implies that when somebody picks the estimation setting for doing an estimation, for instance, an action on a molecule, his choice might influence the properties of that molecule (or another molecule) previously; that is, a choice made in the present might influence something before. In any case, this doesn't suggest that signs can be imparted by the future to the past (considering thermodynamic reasons and disregarding tachyonic particles).

To account for observations of particles located a great distance apart, when each particle appears to know the other's measurement instantly, the action at distance (entanglement) has been the only reasonable explanation. If one were to accept the notion that particle measurements may affect other particles' behavior in the past, then simply a retroactive effect would be required rather than an action at a distance.

How Price, a leading proponent of quantum mechanics' retro capacity, presented a case arguing that any quantum theory presuming that:

- a) The quantum world is time even, implying that the actual cycles characterized by similar actual regulations can be done to and fro. For instance, changing t in the situations of movement to $-t$ should allow retroactive results.
- b) These two focuses support the presence of the quantum state. Retrocause is professed to be more coherent given that worldly balance gives off an impression of being a principal actual evenness.

A strong test exhibiting that the quantum state is ontic — a condition of the real world — as opposed to epistemic — a condition of information — was created by Knee through the improvement of a calculation. It would essentially affect our insight into the basics of quantum hypothesis in the event that retro causality is a component of the quantum universe. This would exhibit that quantum hypothesis is unfinished and that retro causality might be one of the lacking parts that total it.

2. CONSCIENCE, GRACE, WELL-BEING WILL, AND POSITIVITY

Is it possible for our ideas to alter reality and the path that events take? If we start to think differently, we could end up with a different world than the one we currently inhabit. Future reality will be equivalent to lived reality on the off chance that thought designs stay unaltered. This is because emotions do not alter and so do not alter the filter through which reality is codified. The only way to break this chain and start thinking differently about the past is to consciously act. We must turn our ideas and emotions into what we want to be but aren't currently in order to change our reality. We must have dreams and visions.

Our ideas are in line with what we have already experienced. Our mentality is shaped by the past. We need to go back in time, relive the events, and get rid of the bad polarity. Instead of thinking about what we want, we must become what we want to be now and become what we want to be tomorrow. It's the attitude we've chosen to approach life that counts, and we are the

only ones capable of modifying it to our advantage or disadvantage. In order to create the kinds of conscious experiences that foster resilience and wellbeing and advance global health, we must acquire knowledge of both art and science.

The mind is comprised of consciousness, information processing, and self-organization, a regulatory process. It is trainable to affect our body, mind, and emotions. The foundation of wellbeing is human knowledge and respect, which fortify bravery, happiness, and inner serenity. The diminishing of infections and the upgrade of wonderful mental and physiological states are made conceivable by mindfulness, which includes the entire being as of now on all levels — physical, close to home, mental, social, and profound. Being in the now encourages advancement and prosperity.

Prolonged stress shortens telomeres, impairs cognitive function, and makes it harder to control emotions—but only if resilience mechanisms don't offset the negative effects. Happiness appears to boost the telomerase enzyme and preserve telomeres over time. Upper prescriptions are currently the most broadly involved treatments for discouragement, yet new examination shows that regular antidepressants like mindfulness, empathy, and a couple of other fundamental mindsets can likewise be very viable in setting off the creation of these synthetic compounds in the cerebrum.

Maturing related mental weakening is a typical event. Besides, various non-hereditary factors essentially influence the wellbeing and capability of the cerebrum. Through pressure the executives methods, a sound eating routine, and mental activity, a right way of life can limit the gamble variables of mental deterioration, including functional preparing. It can likewise encourage an outlook that changes over solid cerebrum ways of behaving into way of life propensities that can upgrade prosperity over the course of life.

Ringer's Disparity restricts how much perceptible relationships between's different frameworks in tests that can be made by nearby practical hypotheses like old style physics. Practically all conceivable nearby hypotheses of normal occasions can be tried against quantum mechanics in a solitary exploratory setup, as per Ringer's unique hypothesis and more grounded varieties of it.

These two choices, be that as it may, definitely give discernibly various outcomes. In this paper, we utilize two traditional like mathematical models with a limited number of "exploratory" races to investigate the likelihood of breaking the Chime Disparity. All neighborhood estimation results in the main model (stochastic situation) are autonomous and irregular across analyze runs. In the subsequent model, or hostile to connected situation, any remaining foreordained results are arbitrary and free, and the recreations' outcomes for the settings they select are generally against corresponded. Remember that these models are just mathematical reproductions of the two most outrageous likely trial results. The discoveries offer a factual starting point for surveying the significance of discoveries from genuine examinations, similar to the quantum coin explore that is canvassed in the part that follows. Normally, one must just anticipate the actual trials — not these mathematical reproductions — to keep away from specific defects that could somehow allow Ringer's Disparity infringement in the setting of an old style try. These incorporate the opportunity-of-decision, fair example, and territory escape clauses.

We likewise stress that, while our trial arrangement might assist nearby practical hypotheses with making sense of noticed infringement of Chime's disparity; its fundamental objective is to restrict the acknowledgment of precise infringement of neighborhood authenticity in a particular arrangement of rehashed estimations harshly. However, to do this, we needed to devise a strategy for ordering and assessing the information from an old style explore, which by definition ca exclude factors that are ontologically integral.

2.1 Information Has Its Price

The science of the tiniest objects, such as molecules, atoms, electrons, protons, neutrons, photons, etc., is known as quantum physics or the microcosmos. Natural laws govern how things behave in the microcosmos, and these laws appear to contradict our reliable, daily experience. This is particularly true of our scientifically grounded conviction in an impartial, local reality. Without some kind of data move, or sign, happening between them to relate their results non-locally, no sane person and with typical, sound judgment thinking might at any point have anticipated, for example, that two isolated coins would constantly have the option to show a

contrary outcome: heads versus tails or tails versus heads with 100 percent unwavering quality. Notwithstanding, under unambiguous trial conditions, quantum items can be shown to display unequivocally this sort of conduct.

The exactly affirmed nonlocal authenticity of the quantum world and the similarly as-experimentally settled nearby authenticity of the traditional world can't be sanely accommodated in a self-reliable, thorough, and logical way. The irritating issue of quantum trap and instant transportation is every now and again dismissed while endeavoring to involve these ideas as allegories to make sense of a few traditional discoveries, as impossible to miss recuperating procedures and mental events. To outline: While it isn't unthinkable that the human psyche in a uniquely prepared mental condition of mental retention, dream, stream, contemplation or daze, and so forth, might some way or another biochemically separate specific macromolecules from their thermodynamic climate inside the mind, permitting them to partake in nonlocal, quantum peculiarities, i.e., to restrain the de cognizance of the Psi-capability of the whole framework, this nonim probability is profoundly speculative and doesn't permit quantum physics to be utilized to just make sense of such nonphysical things as, say, clairvoyance, as many creators could jump at the chance to think.

Data is a product, naturally. Quantum physics characterizes the least non-debatable one as follows: Estimating anything generally disturbs something different, regardless of whether something is taken note. This is particularly obvious while completing a choice or communicating a goal. For delineation, think about the notable twofold cut try: Light streams in from the left through a solitary, restricted opening, enlightening a screen with two cuts that might be independently shut once more. At the point when the two openings are left open, obstruction groups show up on the screen, affirming that light has a wave-like quality. These groups evaporate and one is left with just a single enlightened stripe, as though light were a molecule, in the event that one of these cuts is shut. Then, at that point, and really at that time, assuming it is obscure which heading these light particles might have taken, quantum obstruction happens. Not regardless of whether an eyewitness could know this data, what is important is whether they could in any capacity — regardless of whether just hypothetically — decide the course these

particles could have voyaged. Regardless of where they or kind of cutting edge hardware they have available to them, no one ought to have the option to figure out which of the two potential ways these light particles have continued for impedance to be recognized. Expressed in an unexpected way, the event of wave-like obstruction requires the absolute separation of the framework from its (thermodynamic) environmental elements, with the end goal that no undercover data move happens. For instance, the discharge of an electromagnetic or other sign could hypothetically give data about the heading that the light particles are voyaging.

3. THE CLASSICAL WORLD IS QUANTUM

To the extent that the information produced by this calculation veers off from nearby authenticity, an old style peculiarity joined with a calculation to reliably execute a deft choice of pseudo-integral information could be viewed as quantum physical. Since the B and C Disparity consolidate restrictive entropies between estimation results, it very well might be utilized to test breaks of nearby authenticity in any hypothesis and is subsequently completely functional. Consequently, the inquiry is the manner by which to see whether the genuinely important results, i.e., the pseudo-correlative realities of an old style peculiarity, go against neighborhood authenticity or not. Expressed in an unexpected way, how quantum is the old style world?

4. QUANTUM COIN STUDY DESIGN

To address the previously mentioned requests, we led the ensuing two outrageous quantum coin programmatic experiences in which we exclusively chose one of the two factors, an and a', from framework A, and moreover for framework B. (More down, with regards to a related gedanken try, we will return to this quantum coin reproduction).

- 1. Stochastic Case:** Make a 0 ("Tails") or a 1 ("Heads") multiple times indiscriminately for the given result. Compose every one of these qualities, consistently, into the significant segment of information: a, a, b, b'. Slowly, this cycle will create a total information network of irregular results with no vacant cells.

As recently expressed, pick one section from every one of the gatherings (a, a') and (b, B) for every result; for example, pick an and b' (as opposed to an and b, or an and b, or a' and b') as per some choice rule, for example, by deliberately using an irregular number generator or by making a sharp, reasonable deduction. This distinguishes the pseudo reciprocal information subset, which for this situation comprises of cells an and b'. The excess, supposed "stowed away information" is found in the leftover, unselected cells, which for this situation comprise of cells a' and b.

Each outcome for a given result is irregular, including the decision of supplementing observables and the qualities 0 or 1.

2. Anti-correlated Case: For each conceivable outcome, select one section, an or a', indiscriminately (or cleverly; see above), and comparably, assign just a single segment, b or b', to contain the pseudo free information. (Of course, the extra cells in this outcome contain what we have come to allude to as covered up information values.)

Presently haphazardly make every one of the pseudo free qualities an or a' as a 0 ("Tails") or a 1 ("Heads"). Then, at that point, the worth of the related pseudo integral cell b or b', separately, to be hostile to connected with the pseudo corresponding cell an or a', and the worth of the excess secret cell to be irregular. In like manner, all chose (pseudo-reciprocal) cells have ideal enemy of relationship among's them, and all non-chose (stowed away) cells contain arbitrary outcomes. Once more, we have a full information framework (= no unfilled cells) albeit just 50% of the information is dared to be truly significant, in particular, the pseudo corresponding information.

A bunch of 10'000 tests was completed for each situation for every one of the two previously mentioned limits: Stochastic Case and Hostile to related Case. The data deficiency, not entirely set in stone for every PC analyze as proposed in the investigation of B and C in the accompanying strategy:

$$H_Deficit_Pseudo = H(A|B | hd) - \{H(A|B' | pd) + H(B'|A' | pd) + H(A'|B | pd)\} \text{ bits (1)}$$

Where hd and pd , the abbreviations for buried information and pseudo correlative information, separately, are utilized. In the segment on Bayes' Hypothesis underneath, we will carefully describe the situation on the meaning of $H_Deficit$.

It ought to be noticed that B and C examine a data deficiency in their reenacted Harsh Gerlach tests utilizing the Data Ringer Imbalance and two particular measurable dispersions. To best delineate this, we should take a gander at the situation where $\Theta=900$: Every one of the three terms $\{H(A|B')+H(B'|A')+H(A|B)\}$ are determined involving the probably ensnared circulation for point $\Theta/3 = 300$ between the relating sets of unit vectors (a, B) , (b', a') , and (a, b) . The single term $H(A|B)$ is determined involving the probably non-ensnared circulation for point $\Theta=900$ between the comparing sets of unit vectors (a, b) . Thus, we register each of the three terms $\{H(A|B')+H(B'|A')+H(A|B)\}$ utilizing the evidently entrapped dispersion pd , and the single term $H(A|B)$ utilizing the probably non-caught conveyance hd .

At the point when two objective amounts are recuperated from the joint probabilities drawn from the secret information, they pass on more data than four objective amounts whose insights are removed from joint probabilities acquired from the pseudo correlative information, if $H_Deficit_Pseudo>0$. If so, we would rather not utilize the term entrapment and on second thought propose discussing a synchronicity between occasions, an expression taken from profound brain research.

In numerical terms, $H(A | hd)$ is the greatest worth that can be appointed to $H_Deficit_Pseudo$. This is the situation when, in the pseudo reciprocal information, each snippet of data about A is as of now present in B', each snippet of data about B' is now present in A', each snippet of data about A' is now present in B, and no snippet of data about A is available in B in the secret information. Thusly, the expression.

$$Index_Deficit = Max(H_Deficit_Pseudo)/H(A | hd) \tag{2}$$

Is a proportion of how much a progression of trials disregards nonlocal authenticity? For this situation, the information grid with the biggest $H_Deficit_Pseudo$'s $H(A | hd)$ esteem is used. An extra metric that is additionally valuable is

Index_Norm =

$$\text{Max}(H_Deficit_Pseudo) / \{\text{Max}(H_Deficit_Pseudo) - \text{Min}(H_Deficit_Pseudo)\} \quad (3)$$

Upon request, the authors will provide an MS-EXCEL programmer for computing the above quantities inside automated sets of 10,000 experiments.

5. CONCLUSION

The fundamental role of the mind and the idea that there is more to reality than just what is seen were made possible by quantum mechanics. Though we still don't fully understand consciousness, we also need to take into account what exists beyond the human experience. On the off chance that cognizance is an arising normal for an exceptionally coordinated network, all things considered, every complicated framework, not simply people, have some negligible degree of cognizance, regardless of whether it varies in quality from that of people. There are many facets of quantum physics that have application to psychotherapy, and there are also many similarities between quantum physics and psychoanalysis. All of that aids in our comprehension of the nature, functions, and traits of consciousness. These are novel and highly intriguing intersections that have the potential to transform numerous sciences, including neuroscience, psychology, physics, and many more. Life presents us with options and decisions that, when we think about how they will affect our actions and future, get harder and harder to make. Establishing a life centred around optimism and well-being, as well as rigorous work on will and action through the development of awareness and cognitive resilience, is essential.

REFERENCES

1. Atmanspacher H, Römer H, Walach H. *Weak Quantum Theory: Complementarity and Entanglement in Physics and Beyond. Found. of Phys* 2002; 32: 379-406
2. Chopra, D. (2015). *Quantum Healing (Revised and Updated): Exploring the Frontiers of Mind/Body Medicine. New York: Bantam Books.*
3. Chopra, D., Kafatos, M C. (2017). *You Are the Universe: Discovering Your Cosmic Self and Why It Matters. New York: Harmony Books.*
4. Clauser JF, Shimony A. *Bell's theorem: experimental tests and implications. Rep Progr Phys* 1978; 41: 1881-1927
5. Collins D, Gisin N, Linden N, Massar S Popescu S. *Bell Inequalities for Arbitrarily HighDimensional Systems. Phys Rev Lett* 2002; 88(4): 040404-1-4
6. Di Sia, P. (2014). *Analytical Nano-Modelling for Neuroscience and Cognitive Science. Journal of Bioinformatics and Intelligent Control*, 3(4), 268-272.
7. Di Sia, P. (2014). *Present and Future of Nanotechnologies: Peculiarities, Phenomenology, Theoretical Modelling, Perspectives. Reviews in Theoretical Science, American Scientific Publishers*, 2(2), 146-180
8. Di Sia, P. (2017). *Quantum Physics, Metaphysics, Theism: Interpretations, Ontologies, Theological Remarks. World Scientific News*, 74, 106-120.
9. Di Sia, P., Licata, I. (2016). *Nano-Modelling and Computation in Bio and Brain Dynamics. Bioengineering*, 3(11), (7 pp), doi:10.3390/bioengineering3020011.
10. Emerson, R. W. (2016). *The Conduct of Life. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform (CreateSpace.com).*
11. Hameroff, S., Penrose, R. (2014). *Consciousness in the universe: a review of the 'Orch OR' theory. Physics of Life Reviews*, 11(1), 39-78.
12. Michler M, Mattle K, Weinfurter H, Zeilinger A. *Interferometric Bell-state analysis. Phys Rev A* 1996; 53: R1209-R1212
13. Scheidl T, Ursin R, Kofler J, Ramelow S, Ma X-S, Herbst T, Ratschbacher L, Fedrizzi A, Langford N, Jennewein T, Zeilinger A. *Violation of local realism with freedom of choice. Quantum Physics* 2008; arXiv:0811.3129v1:1-15.

14. Schmid GB. *Much Ado about Entanglement: A Novel Approach to Test Nonlocal Communication via Violation of 'Local Realism'*. *ForschendeKomplementärmedizin / Research in Complementary Medicine* 2005; 12: 214-222
15. Selleri F, Tarozzi G. *Quantum Mechanics Reality and Separability*. *Rivista del Nuovo Cimento Serie 3* 1981; 4: 1-53.

Author's Declaration

I as an author of the above research paper/article, hereby, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website/amendments/updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct, I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and hentriacontane is genuinely mine. If any issue arises related to Plagiarism/ Guide Name/ Educational Qualification/ Designation/ Address of my university/ college /institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission /Submission /Copyright /Patent/Submission for any higher degree or Job/Primary Data/Secondary Data Issues. I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the database due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents(Andhra/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper maybe rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I acceptthe fact that As the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds Any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper maybe removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality maybe mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me

A. Keshavardhan Goud
Dr. Priyanka Bansal
