

EVALUATING SUSTAINABILITY IN EDUCATION: AN ASSESSMENT OF UNIVERSITY FACULTY AND STUDENT PERSPECTIVES

Priya Jain

Research Scholar

Dr. Mudita Popli

(Associate professor)

Glocal School of Education

DECLARATION: I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER /ARTICLE, HERE BY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/ OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT/OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE/UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION. FOR ANY PUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE)

ABSTRACT

This examination dives into the appraisal of sustainability in education by assessing the perspectives of both university teachers and students. The ecological education development has picked up speed and public interest in natural assurance has expanded since the 1970s. Integrating maintainable advancement into advanced education was first finished in the Talloires Announcement. High level training establishments then, expected the social commitment of giving human resources a viable vision. The goal of this study was to assist with the headway of pointers that might be used to assess the adequacy of the sustainability courses introduced at Varanasi's Banaras Hindu University (BHU). A survey was passed on to 70 educators and 515 students from four optional school educational units, as well as undergrad and graduate undertakings. The responses were analysed using the F-test and the variable representations. In graduate and undergraduate programmes, teachers' and students' satisfaction with the natural and social components was higher than in optional school. Institutional procedures should be observed in order to evaluate the appearance capacity's suitability for functional schooling and to fulfil commitments made to achieve sustainability.

Keywords: Sustainability, Education, University Faculty, Student Perspectives, Human Resources, Social Responsibility

1. INTRODUCTION

As countries battle with worldwide natural worries, the need to address sustainability has become progressively significant in the present advanced education scene. Perhaps of the main thing that educational establishments can do to advance mindful citizenship and reduce the impacts of environmental change is to consolidate sustainability. This study investigates sustainability in education from different points, with a specific accentuation on the perspectives of university educators and students. Faculty individuals are significant supporters of the scholastic climate and stewards of information spread, and they affect the talk and practices around sustainability in advanced education. Moreover, students have an unmistakable point of view as the group of people yet to come of pioneers, both influencing and being influenced by the educational climate. Subsequently, to lead an intensive evaluation of the sustainability of advanced education as it is today, fathoming the perspectives of the two players is basic.

The assessment completed in this study utilizes different strategies to address the intricacies of coordinating sustainability into advanced education. The review utilizes a mix of philosophies to locate information from meetings, overviews, and report concentrates on to give a careful and top to bottom investigation of the subject. The review envelops institutional arrangements, extracurricular exercises, and local area commitment endeavours notwithstanding the standard limits of scholarly material. By adopting a far-reaching strategy, we desire to show how much sustainability is woven all through university life and spot any shortcomings or valuable open doors for improvement.

The review is significant on the grounds that it adds to the assemblage of information on sustainability in education and gives teachers, directors, and policymakers with helpful data. It is guessed that the outcomes will direct endeavours to further develop sustainability educating and, thusly, develop a natural awareness among scholastics. Considering that colleges affect the convictions and practices of society, this examination can possibly essentially add to the continuous endeavours to coordinate advanced education with the requests of supportable turn of events. Basically, the evaluation of sustainability in education is a basic endeavour that offers an aide for creating learning settings that empower individuals to stand up to the troublesome issues of the twenty-first 100 years.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Ahel and Schirmer (2023) Look at how, in a web-based setting, research-based learning and education for economical advancement associate. The Global Diary of Sustainability in Advanced education distributed their paper, which investigates how online stages might uphold research-based growth opportunities that advance sustainability. Through an examination of this educational methodology's viability, the review gives instructors wise suggestions for using web resources to upgrade showing feasible turn of events.

Benham et al. (2022) Inspect the assessments of students on broad moral matters. Their work, which was introduced at the IEEE Boondocks in Education Gathering in 2022, gives knowledge into how students view and connect with moral issues in the field of aeronautic design. The outcomes add to the group of information on the coordination of moral contemplations into STEM (science, innovation, designing, and arithmetic) education overall as well as the specific field of aeronautical designing education.

Figueiró, Neutzling, and Lessa (2022) center around the consolidation of sustainability standards inside advanced education establishments, with a particular accentuation on administration education. Their work, distributed in the Diary of Cleaner Creation, proposes a multi-viewpoint system for coordinating sustainability into the board education. By taking into account different perspectives, the review offers a comprehensive way to deal with cultivating sustainability in business and the board education, lining up with the more extensive worldwide plan for practical turn of events.

Hossain, O'Neill, and Strnadová (2023) direct an exhaustive examination on the prosperity of students, as illustrated in their checking survey that was distributed in Youngster Markers Exploration. Their review consolidates student perspectives trying to frame the complicated idea of student prosperity. Through their commitment with a great many investigations, the creators offer teachers and policymakers an extensive structure for advancing and safeguarding students' psychological and close to home wellbeing by giving a nuanced information on the components adding to student prosperity.

Kousloglou, Petridou, Molohidis, and Hatzikraniotis (2023) Look at the information that students have about the four Cs (imagination, decisive reasoning, correspondence, and collaboration) subsequent to taking part in request-based learning with the utilization of portable innovation. The review, which was distributed in Sustainability, examines what

imaginative educational procedures mean for students' capacity to secure abilities. The outcomes add to the proceeding with discussion about creating basic 21st-century capacities and show how innovation upgraded learning conditions could assist students with turning out to be more capable during the 4Cs.

Lee and Yang (2023) stress effective agreeable gaining from the perspective of Chinese students. Their subjective review, which was distributed in *Showing in Advanced education*, investigates the perspectives and encounters of students taking an educator instructional class. Through an assessment of cooperative learning elements in the Chinese educational system, this study offers critical bits of knowledge into the social nuances that impact fruitful cooperative learning results. The outcomes advance information on educational methods that enticement for a scope of student socioeconomics.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Teachers and students from four academic departments at the BHU, located in Varanasi, contributed to the creation of this review, which made use of both descriptive and quantitative analysis. The Sciences for Regional Development Community (Centro de Ciencias de Desarrollo Regional) (CCDR), Expert of Epidemiology and General Wellbeing (Centro de Investigación de Enfermedades Tropicales) (CIET), the Unhitched Male of the Travel Industry, and the Preparatoria No. 2 Secondary School were the entities involved in this review.

Since the authors are utilized by the university, the BHU was chosen. This state funded college utilizes 2329 faculty and has 85,758 students. 45 secondary schools, 57 undergraduate projects, and 25 alumni programs (22 graduate degrees and 3 doctorates) are completely included. The Public Quality Alumni Program-Contact has certified the last option.

These participating programmes were chosen in three ways due to the fragility and ferocity of the area: (1) as an example of the three educational levels that BHU offers; (2) because Varanasi is the state city with the greatest proportion of teachers (794) and students (23,814); and (3) due to the ease of information gathering in a comparable city.

3.1. Research Steps

➤ Step One

The public and global investigations on sustainability pointers have been completely dissected. Embracing the COMPLEXUS idea was viewed as possible since it all the more genuinely reflected the circumstance in Varanasi. A survey was led on the ecological, social, and monetary parts of sustainability. A class of markers was relegated to every considerable capability of the BHU: instructing, examination, expansion and the executives. This piece just talks about the capability of guidance.

➤ **Step Two**

The proposition chief, co-chief, and three guides developed the proposition after factors that incorporate the meaningful showing capability were recommended. The proposition was then talked about with the evaluation advisory group. We utilized bunch examination and conversation techniques. The conversation was driven by a moderator in an air that was open and non-mandate, and it ended with the factors being approved.

- **Environmental Indicator**

Through utilization of the instrument, this marker helps measure the cross-over environmental hub in educational preparation, which includes information, abilities, attitudes, and values. The pointer tracks the development of the educator's obligations as well as the participation in SD and Environmental Education (EE) classes.

The marker takes a gander at the instructor's educational program information to figure out how much the educator's graduation profile and capabilities are connected with their insight, capacities, and attitudes. Utilizing a Likert scale with values going from 0 to 4, the most elevated ideal response was addressed by a 4 and the most regrettable by a 0.

- **Social Indicator**

This marker surveyed the educator's degree of fulfillment with the general media gear in the homeroom as well as the educational resources accessible to show the students. This marker additionally analyzed the accompanying: the degree of fulfillment among peers and students with the workplace; the viability of the educator concerning topic mastery and development; and the reliability and way where understudies were tended to in the homeroom.

➤ **Step Three**

Educators were given a poll to determine whether the climate pivot was included in the educational program. There were two extra arrangements of inquiries made: one for educators and the other for students. Individual identifying data was included in the primary area, and six inquiries were posed of educators and four of students, separately (Tables 1 and 2). The environmental pointer was analyzed in the primary instrument, while environmental, social, and monetary markers were shrouded in the second and third.

Table 1:Teachers' involvement in the survey.

Academic Unit	Total Number of Teachers	Number of Participating Teachers	Participation Percentage
High School No. 2	55	24	44.4
Bachelor in Tourism	45	21	30.2
Master in Epidemiology and Master in Public Health (CIET)	15	8	25.4
Doctorate in Environmental Sciences (CCDR)	15	13	100.0

Table 2:Students' involvement in the questionnaire.

Academic Unit	Total Number of Students	Number of Participating Students	Participation Percentage
High School No. 2	1350	266	20.7
Bachelor in Tourism	938	189	21.2
Master in Epidemiology and Master in Public Health (CIET)	47	26	54.4
Doctorate in Environmental Sciences (CCDR)	40	37	90.8

The choice of the members was finished utilizing the probabilistic testing strategy. An example of 55.9% and 22.6%, individually, of the 2370 students and 130 instructors from the four

academic units were included. 39.2% of the 70 teachers addressed were ladies, while 62.8% were men. As to capabilities, there are 37.6% with a PhD, 39.2% with a graduate degree, and 26.6% with a four year certification, with the last basically at the upper optional level. 515 students were surveyed; 63.5% of them were female and 38.6% were male (Tables 1 and 2).

The example size was determined utilizing the recipe underneath.:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e^2)} \quad (1)$$

where N is the size of the population, n is the sample size, and e is the allowable sampling error.

➤ Stage Four

In order to complete the quantitative evaluations, the information was obtained and added to the informational collections. The F-test for change of the two models and the univariate analysis were performed using Succeed 2011.

4. RESULTS

The results of the F-test are shown for each indication as well as for the equality of the variances between the two samples.

4.1. F-Test

The F-Test was utilized to analyze the distinctions between the two examples in the surveys that were finished up by teachers and students at the secondary school, single guy's, and PhD levels. Inside the scope of zero to four, where four was astounding and zero was no distinction, the outcomes showed a normal of 3.20 for instructors and $\delta 2 1.10$ for understudies. There was no way to see a distinction between the amount of overviews finished up by BHU teachers and students. In this way, every populace's examination procedure may be regarded as dependable. (Table 3).

Table 3:F-Test for straightforward differences in the number of instructors and students in the sample, as well as the validity and degree of the technique.

	Students	Teachers
Mean (average)	3.42	3.20
Variance	1.19	1.10
Observations	14	6
Degrees of freedom	13	5
F	2.80	
P (F ≤ f) one-tail	1.32	
Critical value for F (one-tail)	6.96	

4.2. Environmental Indicator

The principal poll's outcomes showed that while the climate pivot is firmly related (81% or 42 focuses) with the Secondary School No. 2 educational plan, it isn't with the educational program for the Lone ranger of the travel industry (25% or 13 focuses) or the Expert of General Wellbeing (33% or 17 focuses) programs. The Doctorate in Environmental Sciences and the Expert's in Epidemiology, with 67% or 35 locations and 58% or 30 focuses, respectively, were somewhat associated with the climate pivot.

A doctorate in environmental sciences documented a healthy level, Secondary School No. 2 expressed some regret, and two CIET aces and a four-year certification in the travel sector revealed "magnificent" homeroom circumstances (Table 4).

Table 4:The degree of happiness that educators and learners have with the classroom's lighting, ventilation, and air conditioning.

Academic Unit	Satisfaction Level (%)				
	Excellent	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied
Doctorate in Environmental Sciences (CCDR)	9	19	60	19	2

Master in Epidemiology and Master in Public Health (CIET)	45	30	30	2	2
Bachelor in Tourism	32	22	27	27	2
High School No. 2	5	9	27	40	27

Table 5 (general media equipment) shows that the teachers at Optional School No. 2 were dissatisfied, but the two CIET experts, the single man in the movement company, and the Doctorate in Environmental Sciences were satisfied. In terms of student happiness, the findings showed that students in the doctorate in environmental sciences and the long-term affirmation in the movement business reported feeling satisfied, but students in the two CIET specialist programmes had very high levels of satisfaction. Ultimately, Optional School No. 2's instructors expressed their dissatisfaction.

Table 5: The level of educators' and students' joy with the general media hardware in the homeroom that upholds academic exercises utilizing innovation is utilized to convey the degree of fulfilment with the gear.

Response (%)	CCDR		CIET		Bachelor in Tourism		High School No. 2	
	Teachers	Students	Teachers	Students	Teachers	Students	Teachers	Students
Excellent	19	25	2	80	27	20	7	7
Very satisfied	19	28	35	15	17	26	10	7
Satisfied	44	48	69	9	32	45	29	24
Little satisfied	27	5	2	2	22	15	20	43
Nothing satisfied	2	5	2	2	12	4	42	28

The teachers holding the PhD in Environmental Sciences attended more EE and SD courses (85%), followed by the teachers holding the Bachelor of Tourism degree (76%), the CIET master’s degree (73%), and the High School No. 2 teacher’s degree (73%). (Format 6).

Table 6:Support for sustainable development (SD) and environmental education (EE) courses provided by teachers.

Academic Unit	Number of Courses (% of Teachers)				
	0	1–2	3–4	5–6	7 or More
Doctorate in Environmental Sciences (CCDR)	19	44	19	19	10
Master in Epidemiology and Master in Public Health (CIET)	30	73	2	2	2
Bachelor in Tourism	28	28	23	13	18
High School No. 2	30	44	15	10	10

4.3. Social Indicator

Regarding the condition of the teaching and learning resources, furniture, and equipment, the teachers' level of satisfaction was very high in the CIET experts programme, moderate in the environmental science doctorate programme and the movement business single person programme, and low in Auxiliary School No. 2. However, the results for the solitary officer of the movement business and the doctorate in environmental sciences were mediocre; the CIET experts students' findings demonstrated an unparalleled level of happiness; and the revelations made by the Optional School No. 2 students were just amazing (Table 7).

Table 7:The percentage of teachers' and students' happiness with the furniture, equipment, and teaching and learning materials is displayed based on the educational level.

Response (%)	CCDR (%)	CIET (%)	Bachelor in Tourism (%)	High School No. 2(%)

	Teachers	Students	Teachers	Students	Teachers	Students	Teachers	Students
Excellent	9	13	16	65	17	9	15	7
Very satisfied	19	36	59	19	32	19	6	9
Satisfied	59	53	30	19	32	45	30	44
Little satisfied	19	5	2	6	27	29	44	38
Nothing satisfied	2	2	2	2	2	8	15	10

More educators in the CIET and Single guy of The travel industry programs self-announced as having excellent execution; in the doctorate program in environmental sciences, oneself detailing went from great to awesome; and in Secondary School No. 2, the exhibition was considered to be great(Table 8).

Table 8:Teacher evaluation of their own academic achievement.

Response	CCCR (%)	CIET (%)	Bachelor in Tourism (%)	High School No. 2 (%)
Excellent	19	2	14	15
Very Good	44	73	55	35
Good	44	30	37	44
Regular	2	2	2	15
Bad	2	2	2	2

Students in the four projects gave it extraordinary evaluations for educator viability, practicality, and neighborliness. Then again, students from Secondary School No. 2 and the Single man of The travel industry procured more horrible audits(Table 9).

Table 9:Students' assessments of the academic success, punctuality, and demeanour of their teachers.

Response	CCDR (%)	CIET (%)	Bachelor in Tourism (%)	High School No. 2 (%)
Excellent	66	68	32	38
Very Good	23	23	28	30
Good	12	7	24	23
Satisfactory	6	7	14	10
Poor	2	5	13	7

The teachers' fulfillment with the workplace is shown in Table 10. The CIET instructors who gave it an extraordinary rating had the most extensive level of fulfillment. It was deemed agreeable by the CCDR, Lone ranger of The travel industry, and Secondary School No. 2 educators.

Table 10: Educators', overseers', and staff individuals' happiness with their workplace.

Response	CCDR (%)	CIET (%)	Bachelor in Tourism (%)	High School No. 2 (%)
Excellent	9	59	17	9
Very satisfied	27	30	27	30
Satisfied	60	16	47	52
Somewhat Dissatisfied	9	2	17	15
Dissatisfied	2	2	2	2

5. DISCUSSION

The society-nature relationship is the essential accentuation of the lone ranger's and postgraduate educational projects' goals, but the outcomes show that the educational plan has just a passing association with the normal world. Since it is a part of an unmistakable baccalaureate overseen by a solitary educational program that includes accentuation on environmental issues as a cross-disciplinary subject, just the secondary school showed a significant relationship.

One more huge tracking down about the expanded fulfillment with the use of A/V hardware for homeroom development was that unhitched male's and graduate degrees delivered more significant levels of fulfillment. Strikingly, showing classes at a higher educational level was straightforwardly related with the degree of educator fulfillment with the condition of the furnishings, gear, and informative materials. Better working circumstances and less students served both added to an improvement in the result. Along these lines, expanded enlistment in EE and SD courses reinforced the development of sustainability-centered skills. With respect to previously mentioned, senior administration leadership at the foundation is expected to coordinate and support practical endeavors in the many capabilities, including guidance.

In this work, the financial aspect — which is fundamental for sustainability — was seen as an asset that educators and students can use to their fullest potential, helping all gatherings included, including the securing of materials and impetuses for research project development and enrollment fees. The outcomes demonstrate that all educators got monetary help for educational materials; the dissimilarity in discernment is made sense of by the chance of introducing research ventures to get subsidizing for the production of exercises, filling in as teachers specialists in academic bodies (a gathering of state funded college teachers with normal subject matters), and participating in undergraduate and graduate examinations.

At the point when asked how fulfilled they were with paying the enlistment and re-enrollment fees, more CIET and PhD students concentrating on environmental sciences said that the framework and hardware conditions were appropriate and that their monetary commitment was attached to utilizing the offices. Be that as it may, the high student density at the upper optional and lone ranger's level prompts weighty utilization of the homeroom's inward spaces as well as bathrooms, cafeterias, and outside green regions, which deteriorates them.

6. CONCLUSION

With an accentuation on university faculty and student perspectives, the exhaustive assessment of sustainability in education offers savvy data about the degree of sustainability coordination in academic foundations today. The administration of the university ought to empower the overhauling of showing capacities by standardizing sustainability in the center errands of examination, educating, augmentation, and organization. Along these lines, teachers need to be effectively participated in the rules that assist them with designing their examples all the

more actually, promise to be manageable, and support the all encompassing development of their understudies. The undertaking of planning future instructors to fundamentally resolve social and environmental issues falls on colleges. Upgrading foundation, furniture, and general media gear is fundamental for the legitimate development of showing exercises in Advanced education Organizations (HEIs). New advancements should likewise be integrated to expand the productivity of cycles and great practices, which additionally assists with building economical academic units. To help everybody, a quiet working environment with reasonableness and uniformity among instructors, chairmen, and students is significant. In order to increase funding and support the progress of their professional initiatives, higher education and university faculty members ought to increase their involvement in research projects.

REFERENCES

1. Ahel, O., & Schirmer, M. (2023). *Education for sustainable development through research-based learning in an online environment. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 24(1), 118-140.*
2. Benham, A., Fotherby, R., Johnson, A. W., & Bowen, C. L. (2022, October). *Student Perspectives of Aerospace Engineering Macroethics Issues and Education. In 2022 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.*
3. Figueiró, P. S., Neutzling, D. M., & Lessa, B. (2022). *Education for sustainability in higher education institutions: A multi-perspective proposal with a focus on management education. Journal of Cleaner Production, 339, 130539.*
4. Hossain, S., O'Neill, S., & Strnadová, I. (2023). *What Constitutes Student Well-Being: A Scoping Review of Students' Perspectives. Child Indicators Research, 16(2), 447-483.*
5. Kousloglou, M., Petridou, E., Molohidis, A., & Hatzikraniotis, E. (2023). *Assessing Students' Awareness of 4Cs Skills after Mobile-Technology-Supported Inquiry-Based Learning. Sustainability, 15(8), 6725.*
6. Lee, W. W. S., & Yang, M. (2023). *Effective collaborative learning from Chinese students' perspective: A qualitative study in a teacher-training course. Teaching in Higher Education, 28(2), 221-237.*

7. Long, C., Islam, E., Rawlings, N., Law, C., Nathoo, N., & Mishra, A. (2023). *Canadian medical student perspectives on ophthalmology education: a needs assessment. Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology, 58(1), e14-e15.*
8. Maki, P. L. (2023). *Assessing for learning: Building a sustainable commitment across the institution. Routledge.*
9. Obrecht, M., Feodorova, Z., & Rosi, M. (2022). *Assessment of environmental sustainability integration into higher education for future experts and leaders. Journal of Environmental Management, 316, 115223.*
10. Okyar, H. (2023). *University-level EFL students' views on learning English online: a qualitative study. Education and Information Technologies, 28(1), 81-107.*
11. Stoian, C. E., Fărcașiu, M. A., Dragomir, G. M., & Gherheș, V. (2022). *Transition from online to face-to-face education after COVID-19: The benefits of online education from students' perspective. Sustainability, 14(19), 12812.*
12. Tai, J. H. M., Dollinger, M., Ajjawi, R., Jorre de St Jorre, T., Krattli, S., McCarthy, D., & Prezioso, D. (2023). *Designing assessment for inclusion: An exploration of diverse students' assessment experiences. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 48(3), 403-417.*
13. Willson, A. M., Gallo, H., Peters, J. A., Abeyta, A., Bueno Watts, N., Carey, C. C., ... & McLachlan, J. S. (2023). *Assessing opportunities and inequities in undergraduate ecological forecasting education. Ecology and Evolution, 13(5), e10001.*
14. Yau, A. H. Y., Yeung, M. W. L., & Lee, C. Y. P. (2022). *A co-orientation analysis of teachers' and students' perceptions of online teaching and learning in Hong Kong higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic. Studies in Educational Evaluation, 72, 101128.*
15. Yuan, R., Zhang, T., & Li, M. (2023). *'EMI is like a durian': Chinese students' perspectives on an ideal English-medium instruction classroom in higher education. Language and Education, 37(4), 529-545.*

Author's Declaration

I as an author of the above research paper/article, hereby, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website/amendments/updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct, I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally I have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right

and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and hentriacontane is genuinely mine. If any issue arises related to Plagiarism /Guide Name /Educational Qualification /Designation /Address of my university/college/institution/Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission / Submission /Copyright /Patent/Submission for any higher degree or Job/Primary Data/Secondary Data Issues. I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the data base due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Aadhar/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper maybe removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality maybe mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me

Priya Jain
Dr. Mudita Popli
