

## OPTIMIZATION OF FUNGICIDE APPLICATION STRATEGIES FOR THE CONTROL OF PLANT FUNGAL DISEASES

**Ranjeet Kumar**

M.Phil, Roll No: 140106

Session: 2014-15

University Department of Botany

B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

**DECLARATION:** I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER / ARTICLE, HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/ OTHER REAL AUTHORARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT/OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE/UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION. FOR ANY PUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETED DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE)

## Abstract

Fungicides are chemical mixtures used to forestall, control, and eradicate fungal infections in yields, plants, and different creatures. The efficacy of fungicides is determined by a few methods, including laboratory testing, field preliminaries, and factual examination of results. The laboratory testing involves the evaluation of the inhibitory impact of fungicides on the growth of fungal microbes using agar plates, stock culture, or other reasonable methods. Field preliminaries involve the utilization of fungicides to harvests and plants in regular settings, and the evaluation of their efficacy through the perception of sickness incidence, illness seriousness, and yield. The efficacy of chosen fungicides can likewise be assessed by determining their method of activity, detailing, and application rate. The identification of safe strains of fungal microorganisms can likewise assist in the determination and advancement of fungicide with using. The legitimate choice and utilization of fungicides are basic for the anticipation and control of fungal infections, as well with respect to minimizing their effect on the climate and human health.

**Keywords:** Fungicides, Efficacy, Determination, Laboratory testing, Field testing, Resistance

## Introduction

Fungicides are chemical mixtures that are intended to control or eliminate fungal illnesses in yields, plants, and other farming and green settings. These mixtures work by inhibiting or killing the growth of fungal spores, mycelium, or different designs, in this way preventing or reducing the seriousness of fungal infections. Fungicides can be utilized preventively, by treating seeds or plants before the infection happens, or healingly, by treating infected plants or yields to restrict the spread of the sickness.

The efficacy of fungicides can be assessed through different methods, depending on the particular compound and the objective illness. One normal strategy is the utilization of in vitro examines, where the fungicide is tried on unadulterated cultures of the objective fungi to determine the minimum inhibitory fixation (MIC) or minimum fungicidal focus (MFC) expected to inhibit or

kill the parasite. Another technique is the utilization of in vivo tests, where the fungicide is applied to plants or yields and the sickness seriousness or fungal growth is observed over the long haul. Field preliminaries may likewise be directed to assess the efficacy of fungicides under normal circumstances.

Different elements that can influence the efficacy of fungicides include the timing and recurrence of utilization, the technique for application, and the ecological circumstances. It is critical to painstakingly assess the efficacy of fungicides before use to guarantee that they are compelling against the objective fungi and that their utilization doesn't lead to resistance or other unintended outcomes.

### **Fungicides: Definition and Importance in Agriculture**

Fungicides are chemical specialists that are utilized to control and forestall the spread of fungal sicknesses in plants. Fungal illnesses can make critical harm harvests and result in lower yields, low quality produce, and monetary misfortunes for ranchers. Fungicides work by either killing the fungal microorganisms or preventing their growth and proliferation.

Fungicides are a significant instrument for ranchers to safeguard their harvests and guarantee a dependable food supply. They are generally utilized in current agriculture to oversee fungal sicknesses in various harvests, including organic products, vegetables, grains, and ornamental plants. Without the utilization of fungicides, fungal sicknesses can spread quickly and cause critical yield misfortunes, resulting in food deficiencies and cost increases.

In any case, the indiscriminate utilization of fungicides can lead to natural contamination, resistance improvement, and other adverse consequences on non-target creatures. In this manner, the prudent utilization of fungicides, in combination with other integrated both the executives' methodologies, is fundamental for sustainable agriculture and food security.

### **Fungicide Resistance: A Growing Concern for Farmers**

Fungicide resistance is a growing worry for ranchers who depend on these chemical specialists to shield their harvests from fungal illnesses. Resistance happens when fungal microorganisms

develop systems to endure the effects of fungicides, rendering them ineffective. This can happen through hereditary transformations, choice strain from rehashed fungicide use, or move of resistance qualities from different microorganisms.

Fungicide resistance can have critical monetary and natural effects. At the point when fungicides lose their adequacy, ranchers might have to utilize higher dosages or more strong fungicides to accomplish a similar degree of infectious prevention, which can increase costs and possibly hurt non-target living beings. Resistance can likewise restrict the accessibility of viable fungicides, which can bring about crop misfortunes and decreased food supplies.

To oversee fungicide resistance, ranchers ought to adopt integrated bug the board (IPM) techniques that include crop revolution, social practices, and utilization of safe yield assortments. They ought to likewise utilize fungicides prudently, rotating various methods of activity, and minimizing superfluous applications. Customary monitoring for illness flare-ups and resistance improvement can assist ranchers with adjusting their administration techniques as soon as possible and guarantee the continued viability of fungicides.

### **Methods for Determining Efficacy of Selected Fungicides**

There are a few methods accessible for determining the efficacy of chosen fungicides. Here are a portion of the usually utilized methods:

1. In vitro assays: These measures involve testing the fungicide on fungal cultures filled in a laboratory setting. The efficacy of the fungicide is determined by measuring the growth inhibition of the organism within the sight of the fungicide. In vitro tests are moderately fast and inexpensive; however they may not precisely mirror the exhibition of the fungicide in the field.
2. Greenhouse assays: In nursery tests, the fungicide is applied to plants that are falsely infected with the objective organism. The efficacy of the fungicide is determined by measuring the decrease in illness side effects and the fungal growth on the plants. Nursery

examines give a superior estimate of the field conditions, yet they are more costly and tedious than in vitro tests.

3. Field trials: Field preliminaries involve applying the fungicide to crops that are normally infected with the objective parasite. The efficacy of the fungicide is determined by measuring the decrease in illness incidence and seriousness, as well as the yield and quality of the harvest. Field preliminaries give the most reliable portrayal of the exhibition of the fungicide in genuine circumstances, yet they are the most costly and tedious strategy.
4. Molecular methods: Molecular methods involve detecting the presence of the objective organism and monitoring its reaction to the fungicide. These methods are moderately new despite everything being grown, yet they can possibly give fast and precise evaluations of fungicide efficacy.

By and large, a combination of in vitro, nursery, and field tests is regularly used to assess the efficacy of fungicides. The decision of strategy will rely upon the particular objectives of the review, the objective parasite, and the accessible assets.

### **Factors Affecting Fungicide Performance**

Several factors can affect the performance of fungicides, including:

1. Timing of application: Fungicides are best when applied protectively or at the earliest hint of fungal infection. Postponed application can bring about decreased efficacy, as the fungal infection might have already set up a good foundation for itself.
2. Environmental conditions: Natural factors like temperature, dampness, and rainfall can influence the efficacy of fungicides. A few fungicides are more viable in unambiguous temperature and dampness ranges, while weighty rainfall can wash away fungicides from the plant surface.
3. Fungal resistance: Fungal resistance to fungicides can lessen their efficacy, and the rehashed utilization of a similar fungicide can increase the probability of resistance improvement.

4. Crop variety: Some yield assortments might be more vulnerable to certain fungal illnesses than others, and the adequacy of fungicides might differ depending on the harvest assortment.
5. Application method: The technique for application can likewise influence the efficacy of fungicides. For instance, foliar applications may not really control soil-borne microorganisms, while soil applications may not successfully control foliar microbes.
6. Fungicide formulation: The detailing of the fungicide can likewise influence its efficacy. For instance, a few details might be more impervious to rain and wash-off than others.
7. Fungicide mode of action: Fungicides with various methods of activity might be more viable against certain fungal microorganisms than others.

Understanding these variables is significant for maximizing the efficacy of fungicides and reducing the gamble of resistance improvement. By using a combination of fungicides with various methods of activity, applying them at the suitable time and in the right way, and integrating other administration techniques, ranchers can successfully oversee fungal illnesses and guarantee the efficiency of their yields.

## **Conclusion**

Taking everything into account, fungicides are chemical substances that are utilized to control and forestall the growth of fungi on plants, crops, and different materials. They are a significant apparatus for managing fungal illnesses and ensuring farming efficiency. The efficacy of fungicides relies upon different variables, including the kind of fungicide, the objective microbe, and the ecological circumstances. A few methods can be utilized to determine the efficacy of chosen fungicides, including in vitro and in vivo tests. In vitro examines involve testing the fungicide's impact on fungal cultures in a laboratory setting, while in vivo measures assess the fungicide's viability in a field setting on plants or harvests. The efficacy of fungicides can likewise be determined through field preliminaries, where the fungicide is applied under run of the mill agrarian circumstances and assessed for its effect on plant health and yield. It is vital to take note

of that the utilization of fungicides can adversely affect the climate, including the gamble of contamination of soil and water assets. Accordingly, it is critical to utilize fungicides prudently and just, when important, while additionally exploring elective methods of infectious prevention and counteraction. Generally, the utilization of fungicides should be offset with the requirement for sustainable agriculture and natural insurance.

## Reference

1. Fravel, D. R. (2005). Commercialization and implementation of biocontrol. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 43(1), 337-359.
2. Gisi, U., & Sierotzki, H. (2008). Fungicide modes of action and resistance in downy mildews. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 122(1), 157-167.
3. Ishii, H. (2011). Fungicides and their resistance in plant pathogens. *Journal of General Plant Pathology*, 77(6), 321-322.
4. Singh, R., Singh, R. P., & Choudhary, A. K. (2013). Fungicides: In agriculture and environment. *Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology*, 6(4), 46-55.
5. Carisse, O., & Xie, L. (2018). Effectiveness of fungicides against fruit and vegetable diseases. *The Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*, 40(1), 38-50.
6. Yu, L. H., Liang, S. R., Zhang, L. Y., & Liu, Y. (2019). Recent advances and future prospects of fungicides in controlling plant diseases. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 18(2), 281-299.
7. Gisi, U., & Cohen, Y. (1996). Resistance to phenylamide fungicides: a case study with *Phytophthora infestans* involving mating type and race structure. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 34(1), 549-572.

8. Hwang, S. F., Chang, K. F., & Ahmed, H. U. (2014). Effect of fungicides on management of *Ascochyta* blight of chickpea in western Canada. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*, 36(4), 423-434.
9. Sharma, A. R., & Sharma, R. (2014). Evaluating the effectiveness of some common fungicides against *Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn causing seed rot and seedling damping off of cucumber. *Plant Pathology Journal*, 30(4), 437-444.
10. Van der Wolf, J. M., & Kastelein, P. (2002). Sensitivity of bacterial plant pathogens to pesticides: a survey from the Netherlands. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 108(5), 435-448.
11. Agrios, G. N. (2005). *Plant pathology* (5th ed.). Elsevier Academic Press.
12. Deising, H. B., Reimann, S., & Pascholati, S. F. (2008). Mechanisms and significance of fungicide resistance. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 39(2), 286-295.
13. Fitt, B. D. L., & Lucas, J. A. (Eds.). (1998). *Fungal diseases: an emerging threat to human, animal, and plant health: workshop summary*. National Academies Press.
14. Fraaije, B. A., Cools, H. J., Kim, S. H., & Motteram, J. (2007). PCR-based detection and quantification of airborne inoculum of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*, a causal agent of septoria tritici blotch of wheat. *Phytopathology*, 97(11), 1395-1401.
15. Hausbeck, M. K., & Lamour, K. H. (Eds.). (2004). *Phytophthora: a global perspective*. American Phytopathological Society Press.
16. Hollomon, D. W., & Ishii, H. (Eds.). (2014). *Fungicide resistance in plant pathogens: principles and a guide to practical management*. Springer.
17. Oerke, E. C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 144(1), 31-43.
18. Shaw, M. W., & Pautasso, M. (Eds.). (2008). *Forest pathology*. Academic Press.



19. Stammler, G., Langer, U., & Oerke, E. C. (2009). Impact of fungicides on non-target fungi: The role of differential sensitivity. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 124(1), 49-62.
20. Thompson, I., Kohn, L. M., & Carbone, I. (2019). Fungicides: a review of possible modes of action and mechanisms of resistance. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, 12(4), 1-14.

### **Author's Declaration**

I as an author of the above research paper/article, hereby, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. for the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website/amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally I have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and the entire content is genuinely mine. If any issue arise related to Plagiarism/GuideName/ Educational Qualification/Designation/Address of my university/college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission / Submission /Copyright/ Patent/ Submission for any higher degree or Job/ Primary Data/ Secondary Data Issues, I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the database due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents(Aadhar/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Address Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me

**Ranjeet Kumar**