AIRO JOURNALS

Free / Unpaid
Peer Reviewed
Multidisciplinary
International

ISSN: 2320-3714
Volume 3 Issue 3
September 2021
Impact Factor: 10.2
Subject: Engineering

STUDY OF FORENSIC ENGINEERING ON DAMAGE OF THE CONCRETE STRUCTURES

K R Jose,
Research Scholar,
University of Technology, Jaipur

ABSTRACT

The term "forensic engineering" refers to the application of engineering principles to the investigation of failures and other performance concerns. Because of this, forensic engineering requires both technical expertise and familiarity with proper procedure. In such a case, it is the responsibility of forensic underpinning and attentive designers to identify the specific causes that inspire disappointments and obligations that lead to such outcomes. Forensic engineering can also be seen as a reality-discovery skill useful for identifying duty-related disappointments. Deterioration of concrete structures can be brought on by a wide variety of different methods. A structural failure is when the capability of a structural system or component is reduced to the point where it can no longer serve its intended purpose in a manner that is both safe and secure. In addition, there are currently no available guidelines that centrally feature the requirements that lead to these losses. Thus, the authors acknowledge the need to systematise the available experience of forensic underpinning engineering through the development of guidelines or frameworks that would guide and aid the forensic designers in carrying out their responsibilities.

Keywords: Forensic, Engineering, System, Losses, Concrete.

I. INTRODUCTION

Forensic engineering can be characterized as the use of engineering sciences to the examinations of disappointments and additionally execution issues. Subsequently, forensic engineering bargains with specialized aptitude as well as with information on the legitimate methods. In this unique circumstance, forensic underlying and additionally considerate designers have the part of recognizing the specialized causes that incite disappointments and obligations that causes these disappointments. Further, forensic engineering could be considered as a reality discovering aptitude for distinguishing obligations related disappointments. Neighbourhood specialists, legitimate bodies and engineering experts are consistently mentioned to do forensic assessments. Each gathering has embraced its own methodology and built up its own techniques with an end goal to both frameworks the reasons for disappointment and shed light on which

ISSN:2320-3714
Volume 3 Issue 3
September 2021
Impact Factor: 10.2
Subject:Engineering

gathering may be mindful. This is because of the nonappearance of a very much formed system to direct forensic examination related with strengthened concrete structures. Also, no specific rules are yet accessible which have at their heart featuring the obligations that cause these damages. In this manner, the creators accept that there is a need to systemize the accessible experience of forensic underlying engineering through building up manuals or structures, which would guide and help the forensic designers to attempt their obligations.

II. FORENSIC STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING:

It is the application of engineering sciences to the examination of failures or performance problems and is a highly specialised field of engineering practise. The term "engineering failure analysis" was coined by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers. It calls for technical expertise in engineering as well as knowledge of legal procedures. When viewed through the lens of engineering, forensic engineering is concerned with the investigation and reconstruction of various types of failures.

Goals of Structural Failure Investigations

- in order to discover what led to the failure.
- to match the assertions made by witnesses or injured parties with the evidence that was physically collected.
- to determine whether or not an unlawful or unethical behavior was the primary cause.
- to assess the extent of the damage to materials, products, or structures and to calculate the cost of repair.

Failure Of Structures

The structural failure can be divided into:

1. Structural distress is defined as a reduction in the strength or load response of a structure, which may prevent the structure from being used in the manner for which it was designed.
2. Structural Collapse is the massive movement of main members or a considerable component of a structural system, which is manifested by the development of rubble as a result of the breakage of the members themselves and elements supported by the members themselves.

According to Gerald Leonards' (1992) definition of the word, "failure," an unacceptable gap exists between the performance that was expected and the performance that was actually seen. This concept can be used to explain both major and little failures, such as roof leaks and electrical shorts, therefore it is quite versatile. The preceding definition, which was offered by Gerald Leonard's, has been approved for use by the ASCE Technical Council on Forensic Engineering.

III. DETERIORATION OF CONCRETE STRUCTURES

Deterioration of concrete structures can be brought on by a wide variety of different methods. Among these are the following:

- Corrosion of the reinforcement, which lowers the strength of the reinforcement

AIRO JOURNALS
Free / Unpaid Peer Reviewed Multidisciplinary International
ISSN: 2320-3714
Volume 3 Issue 3
September 2021
Impact Factor: 10.2
Subject: Engineering

- Reactions that influence the concrete itself (alkali-silica reaction (ASR), frost attack, sulphate attack, Thomasite and postponed ettringite production) – all of which have the potential to degrade the strength of the concrete

- Reinforcement corrosion is the most typical form of deterioration that can occur in concrete buildings. This may be a consequence of:

    1. Carbonation: This occurs when carbon dioxide in the air combines with calcium hydroxide present in the cement paste, which results in a decrease in the pH of the concrete. Because of this, the protective oxide layer that surrounds the reinforcement will be broken down. Environments with a relative humidity of between 50 and 70 percent are optimal for the carbonation process (i.e. neither too wet or too dry).

    2. Chlorides - chlorides are known to impede the mechanism that is responsible for maintaining the protective oxide layer around the reinforcement. When the concrete is predominantly dry but occasionally moist, chlorides are able to infiltrate it with the greatest speed. Chlorides may be present in the mixture; however, doing so has been illegal in the United Kingdom for the past four decades. Chlorides may also be found in de-icing salts or in marine habitats.

IV. WHY FORENSIC ENGINEERING?

The question of why a structure failed always arises after its collapse, and investigators use this question to determine the cause of the collapse. In addition to the professional and legal necessity of determining the cause of the failure, there is also the must to learn lessons from it that would enable subsequent designers, builders, or fabricators to avoid the dangers of the failed structure and create better solutions. There is a need to learn lessons from it that would facilitate subsequent designers, builders, or fabricators to avoid the dangers of the failed structure and create safer alternatives.

Failure Investigation and Design Process

"an ability to produce a cost-efficient load-bearing scheme in line with a set of principles established by building standards, for minimal design cost," is one of the fundamental requirements of structural design. In most cases, the first step in the design process involves the designer thinking about many potential design concepts. The designer will then produce a single design out of what could be many viable alternatives through the use of simplifying performance assumptions and an iterative process. This design will strike a balance between various competing variables including adequate performance, cost, and physical constraints. As a result, design is a process of synthesis that makes use of consisting of information to likely loads, structural behaviour, and the capacity of material attributes. The use of these assumptions, which are conservative and have been formalised over the years, has resulted in systems that are effective and typically safe. It would be horribly inefficient and time intensive to build structures by attempting to perfectly forecast the loads they will carry, how they will behave, and the qualities of their material

ISSN:2320-3714
Volume 3 Issue 3
September 2021
Impact Factor: 10.2
Subject:Engineering

components. Given the unknowns that surround the structure's construction and the loads that it will carry, it is of uncertain utility to really attempt to anticipate these parameters to a high level of precision throughout the design process. This is due to the fact that the structure will carry unknown loads. Consequently, one of the most important aspects of the design process is the management of these unknowns, as opposed to the research of them.

It should go without saying that this process plays a part in the design of new structures, but it should also go without saying that this process has a variety of significant responsibilities to play in the entire response to structural failure. Regardless of whether or not legal action is taken, it may be necessary, for instance, to implement a remedy based on technical design in order to repair a failure that is not catastrophic and return the structure to the level of performance that was initially planned for it. Similarly, in legal disputes, the satisfactory settlement of a dispute may depend on the specifics of a design engineer's solution to resolve the issue. Alternatively, when the cause of the problem has been identified, expert testimony may be required to ascertain whether the engineer that originally designed the structure did so with the degree of reasonable skill and care expected of a practising engineer. This is a role for which engineers that typically utilise the design process are excellently placed because they are familiar with the design process. As a result of these characteristics, an engineer who customarily makes use of the design process looks to be the most suitable choice for determining the reason for the failure. However, despite the fact that the engineer could have design experience that is pertinent to the structure that is being considered, challenges still exist, and they can be seen when a number of the most important parts of the design process are examined in detail

Design process objective

The purpose of the design process is not to establish a causal relationship but rather to recognise and create opportunities for improvement in engineering. Although it is not recommended that design engineers approach the identification of cause and effect with the goal of developing solutions, many are simply unfamiliar with the forensic process. As a result, they may find themselves falling back usually completely ignorant of the transition-on the process that they typically utilise in their role as designers. Although it is not suggested that design engineers approach the identification of causation with the goal of developing solutions, many are simply unfamiliar with the forensic process. It is therefore not shocking that engineers can gravitate toward providing solutions to help fix the failure, or rely on identifying the reason of failure in the shape of "I wouldn't have designed the structure in this manner, so this must be related to the cause of failure." In other words, it is not surprising that engineers can gravitate forward into offering solutions to rectify the failure.

Simplifying performance assumptions and evidence

During the design process, a suitable design solution is created by the application of simplifying assumptions, and the process errs on the side of conservatism wherever it is prudent to do so. This is one of the design process's major strengths when it comes to new or remedial design, but when it comes to failure inquiry, this is one of the process's critical weaknesses. Instead of depending on simplified performance assumptions, the investigator in charge of a failure inquiry needs to determine

ISSN:2320-3714
Volume 3 Issue 3
September 2021
Impact Factor: 10.2
Subject:Engineering

the actual loads, actual structural behaviour, and actual material attributes at the time of the breakdown. This problem can be made significantly worse by the sometimes large disparities that exist between the simplifying performance assumptions and the actual performance of the structures in question. Therefore, the accurate determination of the cause of failure is dependent on verifiable evidence (for example, the failure surface of a bolt or the cracking patterns in concrete members). However, even though the collection and analysis of verifiable evidence is essential to the failure investigation process, it is not an integral part of the design process. Because of these restrictions, the way that an engineer normally takes to causality studies is affected. Engineers typically use the design process. Even while identifying the causes of the problem is an essential goal, the inquiry may automatically shift its emphasis to the process of developing potential solutions due to the implicit nature of the design process. In a similar vein, the engineer might not effectively collect and analyse the physical evidence, opting instead to depend on assumptions that are more straightforward.

A. Forensic Process

The use of the forensic procedure, which seeks to objectively determine the technical reason or causes of failure by making use of the evidence that is available, is the most important step in figuring out what caused the structural breakdown. In its most basic form, it can be summed up as the application of the scientific method to the analysis of a failure. According to what is written in the book Forensic Engineering Analysis by Noon, "a forensic engineer depends largely upon the actual physical evidence collected at the site, verifiable facts relating to the matter, and well-proven scientific concepts." [Citation needed] The forensic engineer will then interpret the physical evidence and facts by applying recognised scientific procedures and concepts. The forensic process of collecting evidence, developing failure hypotheses, testing each hypothesis against the collected evidence, and determining the most likely cause of failure is an analytical process, not a synthesis process. This process begins with collecting evidence, moves on to developing failure hypotheses, and concludes with determining the most likely cause of failure. The following is a description of the implementation of the forensic process: "First, meticulous and detailed observations are made." After that, an explanation for the observations is developed into a working hypothesis by using the observations as a basis. After then, either additional experiments or observations are carried out in order to evaluate the predictive power of the working hypothesis. Noon continues by stating that “as more observations are collected and studied, it may be necessary to modify, amplify, or even discard the original hypothesis in favour of a new one that can account for all of the observations and data.” Noon says this in the following way: “as more observations are collected and studied, it may be necessary to modify, amplify, or even discard the original hypothesis.” A hypothesis is not deemed to be legitimate unless it can provide an explanation for all of the important observations and data, unless it can be demonstrated that the data or observations were wrong.

The use of a design process by itself is fraught with many of the difficulties that are avoided by this technique. The goal of the process is to determine what caused the failure, and the process is driven by determining whether or not a failure hypothesis can be ruled in or out based on specific evidence and generally accepted

ISSN:2320-3714
Volume3 Issue3
September 2021
Impact Factor: 10.2
Subject:Engineering

engineering principles. Simplifying assumptions is not allowed during this phase of the process. In other words, the process of forensic investigation focuses on gaining an understanding of how the structure actually functioned, as opposed to making predictions about how the structure would have performed based on how it was designed. In conclusion, the investigator is better able to conduct the investigation in a forensically sound manner with the help of the separation of evidence collection and the development of hypotheses, as well as the rigorous testing of each hypothesis against the evidence. This ensures that the investigation will not only withstand the scrutiny of engineering peers, but also, if necessary, the exacting demands of the legal system.

V. CONCLUSION

These conclusions give an indication of the processes used by investigators generally working on smaller and more straightforward investigations. A large portion of studies and investigates in the field of forensic primary engineering centre around contextual analyses to introduce systems and techniques for arriving at lawful specialized choices. In the context of the law, forensic engineering is a fact-finding mission with the objective of determining the most likely reason or causes of a failure. There should not be a widespread tragedy as a result of this. Inadequate protection from the elements. These factors typically combine to frustrate the engineer who is investigating the failure and increase the likelihood that the cause of the failure will be incorrectly identified. This could potentially lead to repeated failures, inappropriate rehabilitation strategies, legal challenges, and/or skewed dispute outcomes. The amount of time it takes for corrosion to begin is extremely sensitive to even quite slight losses in cover. In conclusion, the investigator is better able to conduct the investigation in a forensically sound manner with the help of the separation of evidence collection and the development of hypotheses, as well as the rigorous testing of each hypothesis against the evidence. This ensures that the investigation will not only withstand the scrutiny of engineering peers, but also, if necessary, the exacting demands of the legal system.

References:

1. Rekha Shinde and Kundan Meshram (2020),” Investigation of Building Failure Using Structural Forensic Engineering”, International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research

2. Kamaluddin, & Wahyono, A.H. & Iskandar, Theresia (2019) FORENSIC ENGINEERING ANALYSIS OF BUILDING STRUCTURES IN INDONESIA: A CASE STUDY OF THE COLLAPSE OF THE MAHAKAM II KUTAI KARTANEGARA BRIDGE IN EAST BORNEO. Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences 85 375-385. 10.18551/rjoas.2019-01.46

3. Verbiest, (2018), “A structured approach to forensic structural investigations of concrete damages”.

4. D.S.Bhosale (2013), “FORENSIC INVESTIGATION FOR SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES IN STRUCTURE”, International Journal Of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 4, Issue

5. Krishnamurthy (2007), “Forensic Engineering in Structural Design and Construction”, CD Preprints of Structural Engineers World Congress

ISSN: 2320-3714
Volume 3 Issue 3
September 2021
Impact Factor: 10.2
Subject Engineering

6. Forensic engineering of fire-damaged structures Jeremy Ingham Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Civil Engineering 2009 162:5, 12-1

7. A. Tiwari, V. Mehrotra, S. Goel, K. Naman, S. Maurya and R. Agarwal, "Developing Trends and Challenges of Digital Forensics," 2021 5th International Conference on Information Systems and Computer Networks (ISCON), Mathura, India, 2021, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/ISCON52037.2021.9702301

8. Freddy Soman, Dr. Raveendranath P. K, 2021, Forensic Investigation of Concrete Structures and Structural Rehabilitation, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY (IJERT) NCIIE – 2021 (Volume 09 – Issue 06),

9. Krishnamurthy (2007), "Forensic Engineering in Structural Design and Construction", CD Preprints of Structural Engineers World Congress

10. Broomfield, John P. "Modelling the Rate of Deterioration of Reinforced Concrete Structures." Paper presented at the CORROSION 2011, Houston, Texas, March 2011.

Author's Declaration

I as an author of the above research paper/article, hereby, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website/amendments/updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally I have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and the entire content is genuinely mine. If any issue arise related to Plagiarism / Guide Name / Educational Qualification/ Designation/Address of my university/college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission / Submission /Copyright /Patent/Submission for any higher degree or Job/ Primary Data/Secondary Data Issues, I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the data base due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Aadhar/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Address Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me

K R Jose