

An Examination on the evolution of life on earth



Amit Kumar Jha

M.Phil, Roll No: 140213

Session: 2014-15

University Department of Chemistry

B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

DECLARATION: I ASAN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER / ARTICLE, HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/ OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT/ OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE/UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION. FOR ANYPUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR ATTHE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE

Abstract

Life is a really strange, incomprehensible puzzle. Although there has been a lot of speculative movement, paradigmatic boundaries have been revealed by exploratory quirks, problems, and questions. Therefore, new models that resolve fundamental challenges are needed for the evolution of rational knowledge. Here, I describe a fictitious system that fiscally accommodates check accrued from life assessments. This theory is based on an incontrovertible non-numerical central model and surprises with many highly unusual phenomena, including but not limited to quantum gravity, the state-changing ability of water, and homochirality of sugars and amino acids. It should, but probably provides a predictable explanation. Acid, Homesick Adaptation, Trio Chord, DNA Modification. Hypothetical structures integrate macrocosmic and microcosmic realms, support expected natural principles, and resolve paradoxes surrounding the origin and evolution of cellular life on Earth. ..

Keywords: quantum; gyre; emergence; thermodynamics; singularity; natural law; adaptation; learning and memory

Introduction

An important unsolved puzzle in material science, science, and science is how life maintains the second rule of thermodynamics while formingally complexifying and maintaining its natural control. Handling this problem involves cross-disciplinary expertise and familiarity with common theories, particularly those pertaining to the origin and development of life. Instead of providing an in-depth writing analysis, I only describe a few of these considerations and highlight the conditions that must be met.

There are many versions of the panspermia hypothesis, some suggesting that life originated somewhere in the universe and arrived on Earth via the motion of comets, stars, or planets. The problem with this model collection is that it circumvents problems that are solved by not capturing the basic cellular nuclear beginnings in a preliminary, complete and reliable manner. The original soup hypothesis, also known as the Oparin-Haldane model, postulates that during the Earth's early

evolution, a declining atmosphere provided ideal conditions for the evolution of basic natural mixtures. But while the soup model has been around for quite some time, we still struggle to understand the details of early Earth environments and how and why polymer structures began to evolve. According to the iron-sulphur theory, harsh life began as a mineral bed in a remote sea mud spring. Redox reactions energized compounds and facilitated the emergence of cellular life. However, this concept fails to explain the diversification or complexity of genetic information origins, layer systems, or cellular structures. Last but not least, the RNA (ribonucleic acid disruption) world hypothesis proposes that innate systems based on ribonucleotides evolved before protein and deoxyribonuclein (DNA) disruption systems. I'm here. This hypothesis fails to pinpoint how our understanding of mechanical assembly, genetic code, and biological metabolic pathways was shaped, and does not fit well with central control. To date, no theoretical framework has been developed for understanding life. After all, scientists need tentatively accurate hypotheses to understand what life is and how it works. . Fostering a suitable design that illustrates the natural world in order to understand, anticipate, and manage systems, events, and things is an indication of a valid theory. Since true reality and life itself instinctively imitate such a legitimate conjecture to the extent that the physical, chemical, and natural sciences are significant, the natural world subsumes the theory. What can be usually anticipated of a uniting bioscientific hypothesis has been organized by a couple of inspectors. The ideal theory would not only explain how a live cell functions today, but also shed light on how life has developed throughout history. I employ the unconventional yet crucial gyre—a winding, vortex, whorl, or comparable circular plan—as a middle paradigm for understanding life in the hypothesis put forth in this way. Due to the gyre model's (gyromodel's) many immovable elements. This theory's main premise is that all actual reality may be translated into and represented as signals of a single numerical component, the gyre, and that this component extends from the inferred dormant into the jazz up space and from smaller than usual to meso- to macrocosmic sizes. This substance is fascinating because it exhibits lifelike traits, undergoes morphogenesis, and responds to environmental factors. The gyromodel represents quantized heaps of information, energy, or matter that falter between empowered and ground states around a singularity to represent the spatiotemporal approach to acting and properties of simple particles, divine bodies, particles, manufactured materials, iotas, and structures. The

singularity alters these states in this way by substituting exciting and terrifying powers. The real singularity is depicted as a gyre, revealing the gyromodel's thermodynamic, fractal, and stable relationship. This theory suggests a view of life that challenges accepted beliefs and definitions by fitting the plausible data from quantum gravity to cell division..

The role of autocatalysis during abiogenesis

Regarding the OOL conversation, there is just one overarching, startling truth on which there is broad consensus: the genesis of life was initiated by some sort of autocatalytic material structure. Both of the opposing narratives in the OOL's deeply entrenched discussion, "replication first" or "processing first," which have fluctuated for a very long time, elaborate on that autocatalytic person. The "processing first" perspective emphasizes the emergence of cyclic associations, as stated by Kauffman in the 1980s and reminiscent of the metabolic cycles found in all persistent life, while the "replication first" perspective burdens the occupation of oligomeric compounds, which express that autocatalytic limit through their ability to self-copy. This idea can be traced back nearly a century to Troland. In relation to this, we have argued that these two methods are not entirely without significance. The possibility exists that both oligomeric compounds and cyclic connections served as fundamental building blocks during the genesis of life, offering a perceptive angle on this age-old question. However, since it is understood that autocatalysis had a significant role during the period of abiogenesis, it follows that studying autocatalytic systems in general may aid in identifying the criteria that determine their material lead, regardless of how they were made. In reality, as we will now show, the widely accepted theory that the earliest stages of life emerged from some type of prebiotic autocatalytic communication can be shown to provoke extensive discussions into the science community and to the astounding revelation that the patterns of abiogenesis and natural advancement are unmistakably related to one another. When extended out, that association will enable the hidden factors that drove the appearance of life on Earth to be discovered without needless reliance on conjectural significant hypotheses regarding the precise reasoning behind those primordial systems..

Conclusion

In science and speculation, the principle of parsimony stipulates that the model of a discernment or group of discernments that is the clearest, plainest, and most constrained is better and rationally correct. This requirement is upheld by my theoretical framework, which unifies a significant percentage of accumulated legal evidence into a fluid, legal, and cohesive specific construction. All things considered, this catholic hypothesis provides a creative and perfect explanation for the origin, progression, and nature of life in the cosmos. I sincerely propose my speculation as a practical framework for understanding life..

References

1. Gilbert, W.; de Souza, S.J.; Long, M. Origin of genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1997, 94, 7698-7703. 622. Swanson, W.J. Adaptive evolution of genes and gene families. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 2003.
2. Rodriguez-Trelles, F.; Tarrío, R.; Ayala, F.J. Origins and evolution of spliceosomal introns. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 2006.
3. Catania, F.; Lynch, M. Where do introns come from? *PLoS Biol.* 2008.
4. Brisson, D. The directed mutation controversy in an evolutionary context. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 2003.
5. Cairns, J.; Overbaugh, J.; Miller, S. The origin of mutants. *Nature* 1988.
6. Lenski, R.E.; Mittler, J.E. The directed mutation controversy and neo-Darwinism. *Science* 1993.
7. Cheng, J.; Kapranov, P.; Drenkow, J.; Dike, S.; Brubaker, S.; Patel, S.; Long, J.; Stern, D.; Tammana, H.; Helt, G.; et al. Transcriptional maps of 10 human chromosomes at 5-nucleotide resolution. *Science* 2005.
8. Huertas, P.; Aguilera, A. Cotranscriptionally formed DNA:RNA hybrids mediate transcription elongation impairment and transcription-associated recombination. *Mol. Cell* 2003.
9. Nowacki, M.; Vijayan, V.; Zhou, Y.; Schotanus, K.; Doak, T.G.; Landweber, L.F. RNA-mediated epigenetic programming of a genome-rearrangement pathway. *Nature* 2008.
10. Storici, F.; Bebenek, K.; Kunkel, T.A.; Gordenin, D.A.; Resnick, M.A. RNA-templated DNA repair. *Nature* 2007.

11. Jenkins, F.J.; Roizman, B. Site-specific mutagenesis of large DNA viral genomes. *BioEssays* 1986.
12. Duffy, S.; Shackelton, L.A.; Holmes, E.C. Rates of evolutionary change in viruses: Patterns and determinants. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2008.
13. Dunning Hotopp, J.C.; Clark, M.E.; Oliveira, D.C.; Foster, J.M.; Fischer, P.; Munoz Torres, M.C.; Giebel, J.D.; Kumar, N.; Ishmael, N.; Wang, S.; et al. Widespread lateral gene transfer from intracellular bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes. *Science* 2007.
14. Timmis, J.N.; Ayliffe, M.A.; Huang, C.Y.; Martin, W. Endosymbiotic gene transfer: Organelle genomes forge eukaryotic chromosomes. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2004.
15. Bergthorsson, U.; Adams, K.L.; Thomason, B.; Palmer, J.D. Widespread horizontal transfer of mitochondrial genes in flowering plants. *Nature* 2003.
16. Mathews, C.K. DNA precursor metabolism and genomic stability. *FASEB J.* 2006.
17. Niida, H.; Shimada, M.; Murakami, H.; Nakanishi, M. Mechanisms of dNTP supply that play an essential role in maintaining genome integrity in eukaryotic cells. *Cancer Sci.* 2010.
18. Hakansson, P.; Hofer, A.; Thelander, L. Regulation of mammalian ribonucleotide reduction and dNTP pools after DNA damage and in resting cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006.
19. Chabes, A.; Stillman, B. Constitutively high dNTP concentration inhibits cell cycle progression and the DNA damage checkpoint in yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2007.

Author's Declaration

I as an author of the above research paper/article, here by, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything other wise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website/amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally I have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and the entire content is genuinely mine. If any issue arise related to Plagiarism / Guide Name / Educational Qualification / Designation/Address of my university/college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission / Submission /Copyright / Patent/ Submission for any higher degree or Job/ Primary Data/ SecondaryData Issues, I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the data basedue to some technical faultor hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time

of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Aadhar/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Address Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper may be rejected or removed I website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the website or the watermark or remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me

Amit Kumar Jha