

## **An Assessment on the Contribution of sardar Vallabh bhai Patel in the independence Movement**



**Prashant Kumar**

M.Phil, Roll No: 141045

Session: 2014-15

University Department of History  
B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

**DECLARATION:** I ASAN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER / ARTICLE, HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/ OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT/ OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE/UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION. FOR ANYPUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR ATTHE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE

## Abstract

The sunrise on India's opportunity was brimming with political and financial intricacies. The heads of the nation wound up in an environment overcharged with diverse and disparate criticalities. These had, on the off chance that not addressed rapidly, would have prompted further complexities. Vexed with different issues of shifted aspects, the pioneers needed to figure out available resources to confront the truth and show up at an answer gainful to the country. The issues of minorities, however at the principal occasion appeared of minor nature, emerged to be urgent. Also, Sardar Patel with his exceptional type shrewdness and limit, could show up at an OK answer for reestablish trust in them; in this manner driving them towards the shared objective of public fortitude. All the while, a more essential issue emerged because of the English strategy towards in excess of 500 and odd Princely States, their exact situation after the takeoff of the English was not obviously characterized. The quick aftermath of the opportunity of the nation was the formation of two unmistakable countries, in particular, India and Pakistan. The local sovereigns were permitted to join both of the two proposed nations as per their decision. A nation, contributed with such an enormous number of Free states, could never have longed for political combination in such a climate. All things considered, those sovereigns might have shaped a third power and contributed towards its disintegration as opposed to its further union. Confronted with such a basic and complex circumstance, the mantle of figuring out an answer so as to stay away from the disintegration the Congress party had developed political arousing and 213 majority rule thinking in the personalities of people of all segments of the general public of the nation fell upon Sardar Patel. Sardar's Patel task was to make political cognizance in the personalities of individuals of those states and all the while to convince their rulers to converge with the association of India in order to shape major areas of strength for an India after the takeoff of the English. By tactful strategy, by promising privy handbags, through his cordial counsel, and once in a while by harsh and solid managerial measures, he could prevail with regards to uniting every one of the rulers blending their states into the Indian association with no gore. The last errand of country fabricating consequently made its encouraging in the skilled and solid hands of Sardar Patel.

**Key word:** Republic of India, princely states, Indian Independence Act of 1947, Indian army, integration

## Introduction

Indian governmental issues before 1947 have been differently deciphered by antiquarians. Much thought has been given to the bigger inquiry of the course from which control, drive and change exuded in the pioneer setting. Inside this speculation fall what Stirrs up called the worked on polarities of East and West, custom and advancement, standing and class, status and contract, feudalism and free enterprise, congruity and change, which shaped the premise of nineteenth century thinking about the review and progress of the general public. Later translators of pioneer rule in India - customary communists, neo-communists, enemies of ideologists, Namierists, neO'rMachiaveIlians, moffusilites, kulakites, and subalternists - investigate the idea of society all the more completely, while contrasting in the accentuation they put on the reasons for struggle and the prime deciding factor of progress. Anything the accentuation, whether the emphasis is on the design of Indian culture, or changes in methods of creation or cycles of modernisation, it turns out to be progressively obvious from latest examinations that the job of personal stakes in deciding the level of contention and the course of progress is crucial. This is on the grounds that the one factor forms and molds the contemplations and activities of people and gatherings of various financial foundations, social qualities and political philosophies and it tends to be converted into a saying and language saw similarly by all individuals in all periods. It might comprehensively be characterized as that interest which, either as people or as individuals from monetary, social or political gatherings, individuals will generally shield over any remaining interests. In a broadened society like India personal stake takes various structures and expects various terminologies. With regards to the Indian public development a few examinations have zeroed in on the class or standing factor, or the primordality factor, or the modernisation factor to make sense of the nature or course of patriotism or different developments drawing in individuals. Authentic 7 investigation

being however intricate as it seems to be the single factor approach absolutely has its restrictions. So does a multifactor approach which doesn't draw out the degree to which a specific factor actually oversees interactions at specific periods, specifically regions and between specific members. This study looks to underscore the job of the political factor during a specific period of the public development, explicitly in the style of one specific head of the period - Vallabhbai Patel. A long time back a companion and history specialist, Rajat Beam, gathered the different understandings of Indian patriotism into three general classes - communists, neo-customary and transcendently political. He affirmed that a translation that lays solely on any of these highlights was not liable to make healthy history. It is my conflict, notwithstanding, that in deciphering Patel's job and style of authority the 'transcendently political' plays the most significant and at certain focuses a selective part, and is contributory to a comprehension of the legislative issues of that period and of present day governmental issues.

### **Patel's political sagacity**

The leaders of the princely states were not consistently energetic about incorporating their areas into autonomous India. Some, like the leaders of Bikaner and Jawhar, were spurred to join India out of philosophical and energetic contemplations, however others demanded that they reserved the privilege to join either India or Pakistan, to stay free, or structure their very own association. Bhopal, Travancore and Hyderabad reported that they didn't expect to join either territory. Hyderabad ventured to choose exchange delegates European nations and initiating discussions with the Portuguese to rent or purchase Goa to give it admittance to the ocean, and Travancore highlighted the essential significance to western nations of its thorium holds while requesting acknowledgment. A few states proposed an expansive confederation of princely states, as a third element notwithstanding India and Pakistan. Bhopal endeavored to assemble a coalition between the princely states and the Muslim Association to counter the strain being placed on rulers by the Congress. Indian patriots and enormous portions of the public expected that in the event that these states didn't consent, the majority of individuals and region would be divided. The Congress as well as senior English authorities considered Patel the best person for the errand of accomplishing unification of the princely states with the Indian domain. Gandhi had told Patel, "The issue of the

States is challenging to such an extent that you alone can tackle it". Patel was viewed as a statesman of trustworthiness with the practical keenness and make plans to achieve a fantastic undertaking. He asked V. P. Menon, a senior government employee with whom he had dealt with the parcel of India, to turn into his right-hand man as boss secretary of the States Service. On 6 May 1947, Patel started campaigning the rulers, endeavoring to make them responsive towards exchange with the future government and hinder expected clashes.

Patel utilized social gatherings and informal environmental factors to connect a large portion of the rulers, welcoming them to lunch and tea at his home in Delhi. At these gatherings, Patel made sense of that there was no inborn clash between the Congress and the princely request. Patel conjured the enthusiasm of India's rulers, requesting that they participate in the independence of their country and act as dependable rulers who thought often about the eventual fate of their kin. He convinced the rulers of 565 states of the difficulty of independence from the Indian republic, particularly within the sight of developing resistance from their subjects.

## **Conclusion**

Sardar Patel was instrumental in carrying in excess of 600 princely states to acknowledge the deal of promotion. However, at the hour of consolidating the states into the territory of India, certain intricacies like misconception and hatred created between the decision Congress party and the leaders of the States, the equivalent didn't continue for quite a while yet finished in a blissful note of shared change. As Sardar could produce trust in the personalities of individuals of the different states, his undertaking in bringing back business as usual and solid authoritative set up in the coordinated states became simpler. The mechanics of integration of the states ended up being easy to the point that the complete number of 500 and odd states could be coordinated in a limited capacity to focus time with practically no trace of blood being shed. Sardar's general administration of the integration framework has ended up being infinitely better to some other integration process on the planet outperforming the resourcefulness of Bismark, the extraordinary unifier of Germany. His multipronged assault with distinct target of homogenization of the country, his stage plays in the integration show with the assistance of his Lieutenant like V.P. Menon, U.N. Dhebar, K.M.

Munshi, J.N. Chaudhari, V. Shankar and others has ended up being an extraordinary accomplishment as we can see from the outcomes which have continued in the progressive years. The endeavors of Sardar have driven the country towards democratization and thriving through the 256 financial advances which were taken in the post-integration period. The shortfall of a third power has brought about the fortitude of the nation and we are currently ready for extraordinary commitments before long as one of the perceived countries of the world. Sardar's job in the elements of nationbuilding through the course of integration has made ready for a sparkling fate of the country. "If this integration of princely states" journalists Geoffrey Tyson in 'Nehru' "had not been done with a talented and sure had by the late Sardar Patel the sub mainland could undoubtedly have endured division into a third significant fragment in which the more modest princely states would have gathered themselves round the more remarkable rulers in free blocks, with resultant balkanization of a huge piece of the land". The integration of the princely states consequently acted as a synchronizing peculiarity and laid out a Condition of harmony among disorder and division and fortitude of the recently conceived Indian Association.

## References

1. Ashton, S.R. (1982), *British Policy towards the Indian States, 1905–1938*, London Studies on South Asia no. 2, London: Curzon Press, ISBN 0-7007-0146-X
2. Bhargava, R. P. (1991), *The Chamber of Princes*, India: Northern Book Centre, ISBN 978-8172110055
3. Brown, Judith M. (1984), "The Mountbatten Viceroyalty. Announcement and Reception of the 3 June Plan, 31 May-7 July 1947", *The English Historical Review*, 99 (392): 667–668
4. Copland, Ian (1987), "Congress Paternalism: The "High Command" and the Struggle for Freedom in Princely India", in Masselos, Jim, *Struggling and Ruling: The Indian National Congress 1885– 1985*, New Delhi: Sterling Publishers, pp. 121–140, ISBN 81-207-0691-
5. Copland, Ian (1993), "Lord Mountbatten and the Integration of the Indian States: A Reappraisal", *The Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History*, 21 (2): 385–408, doi:10.1080/03086539308582896

6. Copland, Ian (1997), *The Princes of India in the Endgame of Empire, 1917–1947*, Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, ISBN 0-521-57179-0
7. Eagleton, Clyde (1950), "The Case of Hyderabad Before the Security Council", *The American Journal of International Law*, American Society of International Law, 44 (2): 277–302, doi:10.2307/2193757, JSTOR 2193757
8. Fifield, Russell H. (1950), "The Future of French India", *Far Eastern Review*, 19 (6): 62–64, doi:10.1525/as.1950.19.6.01p0582b
9. Fifield, Russell H. (1952), "New States in the Indian Realm", *The American Journal of International Law*, American Society of International Law, 46 (3): 450–463, doi:10.2307/2194500, JSTOR 2194500
10. Fisher, Margaret W. (1962), "Goa in Wider Perspective", *Asian Survey*, 2 (2): 3–10, doi:10.1525/as.1962.2.2.01p1537e
11. Fisher, Michael H. (1984), "Indirect Rule in the British Empire: The Foundations of the Residency System in India (1764–1858)", *Modern Asian Studies*, 18 (3): 393–428, doi:10.1017/S0026749X00009033
12. Furber, Holden (1951), "The Unification of India, 1947–1951", *Pacific Affairs*, Pacific Affairs, University of British Columbia, 24 (4): 352–371, doi:10.2307/2753451, JSTOR 2753451
13. Gandhi, Rajmohan (1991), *Patel: A Life*, Ahmedabad: Navajivan Publishing House
14. Ganguly, Sumit (1996), "Explaining the Kashmir Insurgency: Political Mobilization and Institutional Decay", *International Security*, The MIT Press, 21 (2): 76–107, doi:10.2307/2539071, JSTOR 2539071
15. Gledhill, Alan (1957), "Constitutional and Legislative Development in the Indian Republic", *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies*, University of London, 20 (1–3): 267–278, doi:10.1017/S0041977X00061838
16. Gray, Hugh (1971), "The Demand for a Separate Telangana State in India", *Asian Survey*, 11 (5): 463–474, doi:10.1525/as.1971.11.5.01p0113d

17. Guha, Amalendu (1984), "Nationalism: Pan-Indian and Regional in a Historical Perspective", *Social Scientist*, 12 (2): 42–65, doi:10.2307/3517093, JSTOR 3517093
18. Gupta, Ranjan (1975), "Sikkim: The Merger with India", *Asian Survey*, 15 (9): 786–798, doi:10.1525/as.1975.15.9.01p0110k
19. Hardgrave, Robert L. (1983), "The Northeast, the Punjab, and the Regionalization of Indian Politics", *Asian Survey*, 23 (11): 1171–1181, doi:10.1525/as.1983.23.11.01p0095g
20. Karan, Pradyumna P. (1960), "A Free Access to Colonial Enclaves", *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 50 (2): 188–190, doi:10.1111/j.1467-8306.1960.tb00345.

#### **Author's Declaration**

I as an author of the above research paper/article, here by, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything other wise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website/amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally I have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and the entire content is genuinely mine. If any issue arise related to Plagiarism / Guide Name / Educational Qualification / Designation/Address of my university/college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission / Submission /Copyright / Patent/ Submission for any higher degree or Job/ Primary Data/ Secondary Data Issues, I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues .I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the data base due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Aadhar/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Address Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and there submission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or erroror anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me.

**Prashant Kumar**