

A Depth analysis on the evolution of multicellular organisms



Richa

M.Phil, Roll No: 142128

Session: 2014-15

University Department of Zoology

B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

DECLARATION: I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER / ARTICLE, HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/ OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT/ OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE/UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION. FOR ANYPUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE

Abstract

Multiple eukaryotic lineages that gave rise to plants, fungi, and mammals have developed multicellularity. Theoretically, this entailed cell-to-cell adhesion with an alignment of fitness among cells, cell-to-cell cooperation and specialisation with an export of fitness to a multicellular organism, and (3) in some situations, a change from "simple" to "complex" multicellularity. These three stages help to identify a "unicellular) colonial) filamentous (unbranched) branched)) pseudoparenchymatous) parenchymatous" morphological transformation series that is consistent with trends observed within each of the three major plant clades when mapped onto a matrix of morphologies based on developmental and physical rules for plants. Animal and fungal lineages, on the other hand, exhibit a more straightforward "unicellular) colonial or siphonous) parenchymatous" sequence. In these circumstances, we talk about the roles that ancestral genomic toolkits and patterning modules played in the cooptation, expansion, and subsequent diversity of multicellularity. We come to the conclusion that distinct clades and even some closely related lineages vary in the degree to which multicellularity is attained utilising the same toolkits and modules, and as a result, the degree to which multicellularity is similar across various creatures.

Keywords: Multicellularity, parenchymatous, Organism

Introduction

One of the key topics being discussed in evolutionary biology is the subject of the transition from unicellular to multicellular life. It is important to understand the processes involved in the evolution of colonial organisms into multicellular ones, as well as the prerequisites for this process. A multicellular organism's primary trait is the division of its tissues. This division results in the loss of the capability to specialise for various tasks and implies that the majority of the cells in the organism are specialised for one purpose. A colonial organism's cells could be specialised for a certain purpose, but they might not lose the capacity to specialise in other areas. The unicellular-multicellular transition may take place if circumstances that cause the colony to fully specialise are carried out over an extended length of time. Therefore, it's critical to identify the factors that

lead to a colonial organism's complete specialisation. For instance, it seems sense to suppose that various cell types might be the root of complete specialisation. The impact of external conditions on the colony's behaviour is another intriguing subject. By taking into account the overall amount of energy limitations utilised by the colony of cells, we include these environmental considerations into the model. Basic models that looked at the issue of unicellular to multicellular transition. These models are most effective in describing the evolution of Volvoclean Green Algae, but they can also be used for other lineages. Volvocales green algae was used as an example to demonstrate the concept. Because the Volvocales lineage includes single-cell organisms as well as undifferentiated, soma-differentiated, and germ-soma differentiated organisms arranged according to colony size, these flagellated photosynthetic organisms with coherent glycoprotein cell walls represent the most suitable system in research of the process of transition under study. Volvocales are aquatic animals that dwell in stagnant water and must travel toward light and nourishment by beating their flagella. Therefore, motility is a crucial component of Volvocales' viability. The cell division seen in Volvocales is a palintomy with multiple fission. The fact that species with higher cell specialisation do not all originate from a single origin is another important fact. The outcomes in don't seem to be robust, however. It makes no difference in optimal whether cells are members of the sets of soma-specialized cells or germ-specialized cells since all cells in the colony are considered to have the same identity. Since the model merely demands that the ratio between their cardinality should stay constant, sets of germ and soma-specialized cells shift if we slightly alter any properties that are not explicitly represented in the model. Thus, minor adjustments to the parameters might instantly compel a cell that is soma-specialized to become germ-specialized. This lack of resilience in the linear situation is also due to the fact that no more than half of the cells may be germ- or soma-specialized. These facts have caught our notice, and we provide a new model to address the attractive model proposed previously highlighted lack of robustness..

Evolution of Multicellularity

One of the most notable important shifts in the evolutionary history of life on our planet was the development of multicellular creatures, which was perhaps the most stunning [1,2]. Many issues remain unresolved after decades of study aimed at comprehending this crucial change. These

issues are highlighted and addressed in this Special Issue on "Evolution of Multicellularity," which includes reviews, an opinion piece, and original research papers. These articles examine several facets of the development of multicellularity, from the formation of cellular and organism-level traits to the evolution of important chemical components. They take into account both the early processes at the change from unicellularity to multicellularity as well as the subsequent complexity of multicellular creatures. Together, the publications provide a number of original findings and intriguing new theories that are meant to inspire further study in this field.

Studies that aim to comprehend transitions from unicellularity to multicellularity or studies that concentrate on the next stage, which corresponds to the formation of complex multicellularity, are the two main approaches used in research into the evolution of multicellularity (i.e., the evolution of macroscopic organisms with multiple cell types, such as animals and land plants). This difference, according to Kin and Schaap [3], is rather arbitrary and that lineages may really display a continuum of various degrees of complexity. This continuum may be helpful information for the exploration of the evolutionary origins of multicellularity. The dictyostelid lineage of social amoebas is one such group. Analysis of this lineage is consistent with a broad hypothesis that is likely applicable to various multicellular lineages [4,5,6,7]: the emergence of distinct cell types in a multicellular decedent may have been sparked by the adaptation of what the authors refer to as "proto cell-types" to specific environmental conditions.

ECMs (extracellular matrices) have been essential in the development of multicellularity. They perform the fundamental physical function of joining cells together in addition to having an impact on morphology and development, shielding cells from the outside environment, and serving as a significant source of molecules for intercellular communication—all crucial tasks for a multicellular organism. A summary of the variety of ECMs found in eukaryotes, their historical development, and the contributions they have made to the formation of multicellularity are given by Kloareg et al. in their publication. The macroalgae, which may be the least known of the many multicellular eukaryotic lineages, are given special attention in this article. A key finding of our investigation is how few ECM components can be linked to the last common ancestor of

eukaryotes, suggesting that these structures have developed separately in each of the main complex multicellular eukaryotic lineages.

The expression of the genome in the many cell types of a multicellular organism is mediated and modulated by transcription-associated proteins (TAPs, also known as transcription factors and other transcription-associated proteins). Petroll et al. compare unicellular and multicellular types of red algae to examine the TAP complement of these organisms in this Special Issue. TAP families were discovered by gene family expansion analysis as potential players in significant red algal evolution events.

The development of the molecular toolkit that went along with the evolution of multicellularity in the Metazoa is the subject of an opinion piece by Patthy. The majority of multidomain proteins involved in cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions, the author notes, have developed via exon shuffling, although transcription factors do not seem to have undergone this process. According to the theory of the creation of "proto cell-types" (see above), as put out by Kin and Schaap, the transcription factors may have developed earlier, potentially before the emergence of multicellularity, which is the reason put forth for this discrepancy.

Last but not least, the article by Isaksson et al. takes a novel approach to the study of the evolution from unicellularity to multicellularity, concentrating on the direct effects of inherited characteristics from the unicellular ancestor on multicellular growth rather than the adaptation of such characteristics in a multicellular context. Based on mathematical modelling, they expect that the multicellular lineage's ability for adaptation and competition with other creatures for a niche would be influenced by the kind of cell division—budding or fission—inherited from the unicellular progenitor. This research highlights that the tempo of multicellularity acquisition is just as essential as the manner of multicellularity acquisition, with lineages that can quickly correct advantageous mutations having an advantage..

Conclusion

Since sequence homologies do not always imply the conservation of function, it is crucial to ascertain the degree to which the specifics of transcription factor regulation and gene network

architecture transfer from one creature to another. The wide range of molecules responsible for cell adhesion and intercellular communication shows that functional homologies are not always the product of genomic or developmental similarity. However, we think that future study will demonstrate that three very diverse plant clades—for example, unicellular) colonial or siphonous) filamentous (unbranched) branching)) pseudoparenchymatous) parenchymatous—achieved multicellularity along a similar morphological transition sequence. We have avoided discussing whether multicellularity offers any kind of selection benefit. Certainly, it seems that way given the existing plethora of multicellular creatures. Additionally, it permits an organism to grow larger than what is permitted by passive diffusion. Although we agree that major evolutionary innovations will not persist within a lineage if they are incompatible with survival, not every transition necessitates a significant or even quantifiable advantage, and phenotypic responses to selection do not always point toward an adaptive advantage. Accordingly, a new theoretical model for filamentous bacteria demonstrates that strains with the same fitness may yield genotypes that vary in cell quantity as a consequence of variations in cell division and death rates or as a result of changes in the environmental carrying capacity. This hypothesis, which has empirical backing, also demonstrates that fitness differences related to morphology are not necessary a priori for the evolution of life cycles with multicellular creatures, although benefits may later develop.

References

1. Pires, N. D., and Dolan, L. 2012. Morphological evolution in land plants: new designs with old genes. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 367: 508–518.
2. Prochiantz, A., and Joliot, A. 2003. Can transcription factors function as cell- cell signalling molecules? *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 4: 814–819.
3. Ramsay, N. A., and Glover, B. J. 2005. MYB–bHLH–WD40 protein complex and the evolution of cellular diversity. *Trends Plant Sci.* 10: 63– 70.
4. Ratcliff, W. C., Denison, R. F., Borrello, M., and Travisano, M. 2012. Experimental evolution of multicellularity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 109: 1595–1600.

5. Risser, D. D., Wong, F. C. Y., and Meeks, J. C. 2012. Biased inheritance of the protein PaTN frees vegetative cells to initiate patterned heterocyst differentiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 109: 15342–15347.
6. Rossetti, V., Filippini, M., Svercel, M., Barbour, A. D., and Bagheri, H. C. 2011. Emergent multicellular life cycles in filamentous bacteria owing to density-dependent population dynamics. *J. Roy. Soc. Interface* 8: 1772– 1784.
7. Smukalla, S., et al. FLO1 is a variable green beard gene that drives biofilm- like cooperation in budding yeast. *Cell* 136: 729–737.
8. Saunders, G. W., and Hommersand, M. H. 2004. Assessing red algal supraordinal diversity and taxonomy in the context of contemporary systematic data. *Am. J. Bot.* 91: 1494–1507.
9. Schaller, G. E., Shiu, S.-H., and Armitage, J. P. 2011. Two-component systems and their co-option for eukaryotic signal transduction. *Curr. Biol.* 21: R320–R330.
10. Schirrmeister, B. E., Antonelli, A., and Bagheri, A. C. 2011. The origin of multicellularity in cyanobacteria. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11: Article number 45. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2148-11-45
11. Schlichting, C. D. 2003. Origins of differentiation via phenotypic plasticity. *Evol. Dev.* 5: 98–105.
12. Schoch, C. L., et al. 2009. The Ascomycota tree of life: a phylum-wide phylogeny clarifies the origin and evolution of fundamental reproductive and ecological traits. *Syst. Biol.* 59: 224–239.
13. Seiler, S., and Justa-Schuch, D. 2010. Conserved components, but distinct mechanisms for the placement and assembly of the cell division machinery in unicellular and filamentous ascomycetes. *Mol. Microbiol.* 78: 1058– 1076.
14. Suga, H., Dacre, M., de Mendoza, A., Shalchian-Tabrizi, K., Manning, G., and Ruiz-Trillo, I. 2012. Genomic survey of premetazoans shows deep conservation of cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases and multiple radiations of receptor tyrosine kinases. *Sci. Signal.* 5: Article number ra35. DOI: 10.1126/scisignal.2002733
15. Sun, G., Yang, Z., Ishwar, A., and Huang, J. 2010. Algal genes in the closest relatives of animals. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 12: 2879–2889.

16. Torii, K. U. 2012. Two-dimensional spatial patterning in developmental systems. Trends Cell Biol. 22: DOI: [org/10.1016/j.tcb.2012.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2012.06.002)
17. Valentine, J. W. 1978. Evolution of multicellular plants and animals. Sci. Am. 239: 140–158.
18. Velicer, G. J., Kroos, L., and Lenski, R. E. 1998. Loss of social behaviors by *Myxococcus xanthus* during evolution in an unstructured habitat. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 95: 12376–12380.
19. Wang, F., Vandepoele, K., and van Lijsebettens, M. 2012. Tetraspanin genes in plants. Plant Sci. 190: 9–15. West-
20. Eberhard, M. J. 2003. Developmental Plasticity and Evolution. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Author's Declaration

I as an author of the above research paper/article, hereby, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website/amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally I have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and the entire content is genuinely mine. If any issue arise related to Plagiarism / Guide Name / Educational Qualification / Designation/Address of my university/college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission / Submission / Copyright / Patent/ Submission for any higher degree or Job/ Primary Data/ Secondary Data Issues, I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the data base due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Aadhar/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Address Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the



Free / Unpaid
Peer Reviewed
Multidisciplinary
National

ISSN: 2321-3914
Volume 3 Issue 3
September 2021
Impact Factor: 11.9
Subject: Zoology

website or the watermark of remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me

Richa
