

## **An Overview on Development and life cycles of Crustaceans**



**Vandana Kumari**

M.Phil, Roll No: 150648

Session: 2015-16

University Department of Zoology

B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

DECLARATION: I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER / ARTICLE, HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/ OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT/ OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE/UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION. FOR ANYPUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE

## Abstract

In various stages of their life cycles, Crustacea (or Pancrustacea) have almost explored every conceivable environment, including freshwater, marine, terrestrial ecosystems, and even the air (pterygote insects). Numerous taxa of crustaceans have complicated life cycles that often change their habitat, way of life, or both. By emphasising variations in the life cycles and how various stages in a life cycle are suited to their environments, this chapter will study the enormous variety of crab life cycles. Changes in the life cycles of crustaceans may be rather abrupt, as is the case with many decapods and barnacles, which transition from a pelagic larval stage to an adult benthic stage. The feeding and swimming tactics of taxa that stay in the same habitat throughout development, such as holoplanktonic Copepoda, Euphausiacea, and Dendrobranchiata, alter significantly. Many species transition from an early larval naupliar (anterior limbs) feeding/swimming system that primarily relies on cephalic appendages to a juvenile/adult one that nearly entirely depends on more posterior appendages. The chapter is organised around a variety of developmental themes, including anamorphosis, metamorphosis, and epimorphosis, and it mostly focuses on nondecapods. Few crustacean taxa, according to the argument, can be classified as totally anamorphic and none as entirely metamorphic. Many species exhibit both traits, and some even undergo two separate metamorphoses (as in the case of barnacles) or are fundamentally anamorphic yet undergo numerous discrete morphological changes as they mature (e.g., Euphausiacea and Dendrobranchiata). The Crustacea are almost unmatched in the Metazoa for the variety of lifestyles they exhibit, with many species exhibiting notable shifts in habitat (pelagic vs benthic, marine versus terrestrial) or manner of eating. Probably one of the main reasons for the evolutionary success of Crustacea is that they have such complicated life cycles.

**Keywords:** Euphausiacea and Dendrobranchiata, Crustacea, anamorphosis

## 1. Introduction

Rarely do crustaceans have the same lifestyle their whole lives and remain in the same general environment (e.g., benthic, planktonic, parasitic). In the life cycle of the majority of crab species, there occurs a transition (or many shifts) in habitat and/or lifestyle. Complex life cycles refer to how an animal's life unfolds as distinct developmental periods with opposing morphological,

physiological, behavioural, or ecological characteristics. This chapter examines the life cycles of crustaceans with an emphasis on lifestyle changes, such as changes in movement and eating throughout development, and their relationship to environmental changes (e.g., from pelagic to benthic or from free-living to parasitic).

Classical examples of abrupt life cycle changes include the development of a variety of decapods (such as crabs and lobsters), where the transition from a pelagic larval phase to a benthic phase with bottom-associated, typically crawling adults occurs or the irreversible adult settlement of barnacles. The life cycle of river-dwelling amphidromous caridean shrimps, which frequently have upstream hatching, river drift of larvae to the sea, and again upstream larval/juvenile migration (crawling/swimming along banks at night) to the adult habitat, provides additional examples of spectacular changes in environment during development (Bauer 2011, 2013; see Chapter 8 in this volume). Even land crabs and terrestrial hermit crabs must transition from their larval stage in the ocean to their adult stage on land as part of their life cycle. The parasitic barnacles (Rhizocephala) and tantulocarids, whose development includes complex changes in lifestyle, such as larval stages that are specialised for settling and adults that are modified to absorb nutrients from primarily crustacean hosts, undoubtedly exhibit the most spectacular changes in morphology and lifestyle over the course of the life span.

Less drastic but nevertheless significant alterations may also occur throughout the life cycles of crustaceans. Taxa that spend almost their whole life cycle in the same habitat might modify their feeding/swimming behaviour often. Many species go from a juvenile/adult system that almost solely relies on more posterior appendages to an early larval naupliar feeding/swimming system employing just cephalic appendages. Examples include giant branchiopods like fairy shrimps (Anostraca) and tadpole shrimps (Notostraca), whose young larvae primarily employ naupliar appendages for both eating and movement before both tasks are completely transferred to more posterior appendages as the larvae mature. Other taxa, like the harpacticoid copepods, mystacocarids, and interstitial/benthic cephalocarids, begin with a naupliar feeding and locomotory system that is primarily maintained throughout development, also in adults, but

supplemented by a posterior system, resulting in the two systems operating concurrently from late larvae into the adult.

## **Reproduction and Life cycles of Crustaceans**

In crustaceans, the sexes are typically, but not always, separated. Simultaneous hermaphroditism is a trait shared by the majority of individual barnacles, and in certain groups, the males are substantially smaller than the hermaphrodites when they do appear. These "dwarf" guys cling to the bigger individuals' mantle cavities and fertilise their eggs there. Some members of the Notostraca order (tadpole shrimps) are hermaphrodites as well; amid the developing eggs in their ovaries are sperm-producing lobes. Some shrimp species often experience a change in sex during their lives. For instance, some individuals in *Pandalus montagui*, a member of the Decapoda order, start off as males but eventually develop into functional females after around 13 months. *Rhyscotoides* isopods exhibit a similar transition from male to female as they age.

There are many and sometimes drastic structural or behavioural variations between the sexes in the Crustacea; the males of certain groups may be so minuscule that they are hard to locate on the much bigger female. Particularly in certain of the parasitic copepods, this is true. In the female genital canal of *Gonophysema gullmarensis*, the male is located in a tiny pouch. However, the males are often bigger than the females and can have considerably larger pincers in many of the more sophisticated decapods, including crabs and lobsters. The male having clasping organs, which are used to grasp the female during mating, is another example of sexual dimorphism. You may find almost any appendage adapted for this use. Male appendages may be changed to help transport sperm to the female directly. The sperm are often contained in a container, or spermatophore. Male decapods' first and second abdominal appendages as well as the highly modified fifth legs of male copepods of the order Calanoida are both employed to transmit spermatophores. These copepods can precisely position spermatophores close to the female duct openings. The sperm that will shortly fertilise the eggs are forced out of the spermatophores by a swelling of specific sperm. Some crustaceans are parthenogenetic, which means that they generate eggs that develop without being fertilized by a sperm. This differs from normal sexual

reproduction, which includes the union of a sperm with an egg. Many branchiopods, certain ostracods, and some isopods are capable of doing this.

### **On “Metamorphosis” Within Crustacea**

Only small subsets of crustaceans, like anostracans, undergo strictly anamorphic, or progressive, growth. Instead, development may be described as mostly anamorphic in many species, such as thecostracans, branchiurans, and malacostracans, but interrupted by moults between two instars that include considerable morphological or behavioural alterations. The word "metamorphosis" is often used to describe these sudden alterations. The transition from a naupliar phase to the cyprid (a settling stage) in most cirripedes and the distinctive shift from a zoal phase to the decapodid (e.g., megalopa in Brachyura), a transitional stage between the planktonic and benthic phase of the life cycle, are two well-known examples of crustaceans that undergo metamorphic changes during their development.

We will examine the balance between anamorphic and metamorphic growth in a variety of crustacean specimens. In certain species, the potential adaptive relevance of metamorphosis will also be assessed. But first, it's important to analyse what the term "metamorphosis" truly means. A "profound change in morphology (usually accompanied by behavioural and functional changes over the life cycle of an organism)," as defined generally by Martin et al. (2014b). This definition correctly avoids addressing the crucial issue of how significant a morphological change has to be in order to be classified as a metamorphosis. Additionally, it ignores an issue related to the moulting behaviour of arthropods that is unique to them. Particularly, the development of the internal anatomical structure may be more slow than that of the cuticle on the outside (e.g., when internal changes begin well ahead of an eventual metamorphic moult and continue afterward).

Here, it is advised that the term "metamorphosis" be used largely based on alterations in the exterior cuticle for practical reasons. Cuticular information may be found in the literature for a wide variety of crustaceans and is easier to find than information on internal anatomy. Furthermore, it is proposed that a "metamorphosis" requires a "profound change in morphology" that involves a sudden change from one stage to another (or within a few stages) about which

appendages are especially used for eating or movement. Other smaller changes, such as those that only involve the addition of new somites, appendages, or other body parts between two moults or the acceleration or delaying of the development of some morphological traits but not others (heterochrony), but do not involve significant changes in feeding or locomotion, can be referred to as "developmental jumps" if they occur frequently over a short period of time."

## Conclusion

This chapter has examined the variety of crustacean (mostly nondecapod) life cycles with an emphasis on modifications to the life cycles and how various stages in a life cycle are adapted to their environments. The Crustacea exhibit among the Metazoa an almost unparalleled variety of lifestyles throughout their life cycle. For instance, several crab species significantly alter their method of movement and feeding strategy throughout growth, which is often accompanied by substantial environmental changes (e.g., from pelagic to benthic or from free-living to parasitic). However, even taxa that remain mostly in the same habitat and evolve gradually (anamorphic), such as those that live freely in the sea or between sand grains, often undergo considerable changes in their feeding method and mode of mobility.

## Reference

1. Barnard, J.L. and Camilla L. Ingram, 1986. The Supergiant Amphipod *Alicella gigantea* Chevreux from the North Pacific Gyre. *Journal of Crustacean Biology* 6(4):825–839.
2. Brusca, R. C. and G. J. Brusca. 2003. The Crustacea. In: Brusca R.C. and G. J. Brusca, *Invertebrates*. Sunderland, MD: Sinauer Associates; pp. 511–587.
3. Ferrari, F., Fornshell, J., Vagelli, A., Ivanenko, V., and Dahms, H. 2011. Early Post-Embryonic Development of Marine Chelicerates and Crustaceans with a Nauplius. *Crustaceana* 84 (7): 869–893.
4. Koenemann, S., Hoenemann, M., and T. Stemme (Eds). 2009. *World Remipedia Database*. Available online at <http://www.marinespecies.org/remipedia>. Accessed May 24, 2012.

5. Koenemann, S., Schram, F., Iliffe, T., Hinderstein, L., and A. Bloechl. 2007. Behavior of Remipedia in the Laboratory, with Supporting Field Observations. *Journal of Crustacean Biology* 27(4):534–542.
6. Landry, M. and R. Hassett. 1982. Estimating the grazing impact of marine microzooplankton. *Marine Biology*, 67(3): 283–288.
7. Martin, J., Davis, G. 2001. *An Updated Classification of the Recent Crustacea*. Los Angeles, CA: Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County. Science Series 39.
8. Smith, J. S. Some amazing facts about ostracods. Online. Accessed July 3, 2012.
9. Smith, J. S. Ostracod Biology. Online. Accessed July 3, 2012.
10. Turner, J. 2004. The Importance of Small Planktonic Copepods and Their Roles in Pelagic Marine Food Webs. *Zoological Studies* 43(2): 255–266.
11. Fossat, P., Bacque-Cazenave, J., De Deurwaerdere, P., Cattaert, D., and Delbecque, J.-P. 2015. Serotonin, but not dopamine, controls stress response and anxiety-like behavior in crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 218: 2745–2752.
12. Fossat, P., Bacque-Cazenave, J., De Deurwaerdere, P., Delbecque, J.-P., and Cattaert, D. 2014. Anxiety-like behavior in crayfish is controlled by serotonin. *Science*, 344: 1293–1297.
13. Huber, R., and Delago, A. 1998. Serotonin alters decisions to withdraw in fighting crayfish, *Astacus astacus*: the motivational concept revisited. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, 182: 573–583.
14. Imeh-Nathaniel, A. I., Rincon, N., Orfanakos, V. B., Brechtel, L., Wormack, L., Richardson, E., Huber, R. et al. 2017. Effects of chronic cocaine, morphine and methamphetamine on the mobility, immobility and stereotyped behaviors in crayfish. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 332: 120–125.
15. Joseph, R. M., and Carlson, J. R. 2015. *Drosophila* chemoreceptors: a molecular interface between the chemical world and the brain. *Trends in Genetics*, 31: 683–695.
16. Kasumyan, A. O., and Doving, K. B. 2003. Taste preferences in fish. *Fish and Fisheries*, 4: 289–347.

17. Kawai, N., Kono, R., and Sugimoto, S. 2004. Avoidance learning in the crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) depends on the predatory imminence of the unconditioned stimulus: a behavior systems approach to learning in invertebrates. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 150: 229–237.
18. Key, B. 2015. Fish do not feel pain and its implications for understanding phenomenal consciousness. *Biology and Philosophy*, 30: 149–165. Key,
19. B., Arlinghaus, R., Browman, H. I., Cooke, C. J., Cowx, I. G., Diggles, B. K., Rose, J. D. et al. 2017. Problems with equating thermal preference with “emotional fever” and sentience.
20. Rey et al. (2015) Fish can show emotional fever: stress-induced hyperthermia in zebrafish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B*, 284: 20160681.

#### Author's Declaration

I as an author of the above research paper/article, hereby, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website/amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally I have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and the entire content is genuinely mine. If any issue arise related to Plagiarism / Guide Name / Educational Qualification / Designation/Address of my university/college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission / Submission /Copyright / Patent/ Submission for any higher degree or Job/ Primary Data/ Secondary Data Issues, I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the data base due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who find trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, if I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Aadhar/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Address Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be considered for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me

**Vandana Kumari**