

## An Effects of Decolonization on Indian Society



**Ravindra Kumar**

M.Phil, Roll No: 141004

Session: 2014-15

University Department of History

B.R.A Bihar University, Muzzaffarpur

**DECLARATION:** I AS AN AUTHOR OF THIS PAPER / ARTICLE, HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE PAPER SUBMITTED BY ME FOR PUBLICATION IN THE JOURNAL IS COMPLETELY MY OWN GENUINE PAPER. IF ANY ISSUE REGARDING COPYRIGHT/PATENT/ OTHER REAL AUTHOR ARISES, THE PUBLISHER WILL NOT BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. IF ANY OF SUCH MATTERS OCCUR PUBLISHER MAY REMOVE MY CONTENT FROM THE JOURNAL WEBSITE. FOR THE REASON OF CONTENT AMENDMENT/ OR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUE WITH NO VISIBILITY ON WEBSITE/UPDATES, I HAVE RESUBMITTED THIS PAPER FOR THE PUBLICATION. FOR ANYPUBLICATION MATTERS OR ANY INFORMATION INTENTIONALLY HIDDEN BY ME OR OTHERWISE, I SHALL BE LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE. (COMPLETE DECLARATION OF THE AUTHOR AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS PAPER/ARTICLE)

## Abstract

Due to common experiences with colonialism across the world, education has to be decolonized and made more Indigenous. The need to carefully consider the ways that education has served hegemonic interests will help to inform future educational initiatives and serve as a form of reparation for those Indigenous peoples who have suffered the terrible effects of colonialism in a world divided by on-going conflict that is fuelled by issues of power and control. International organisations like the United Nations support current initiatives to reclaim, restore, and revive endangered traditions alongside a variety of national-level strategies. Decolonizing education means recognising the effects of colonialism on education and striving to disrupt colonial processes, institutions, and structures in educational environments. For example, we mean both formal education as structured through Western schooling and other forms of education such as those traditionally practised within Indigenous families and communities when we use the term "education" in these descriptions broadly to name the sociocultural task of understanding ways of knowing and being (epistemological and ontological systems) and the on-going formation and transmission of knowledge's. When it comes to socio-political levels, decolonizing education falls into broader understandings of decolonization and indigenous development.

**Keywords:** Decolonization, Indian Society, Education, indigenous development.

## Introduction

Decolonization is a historical process that is unique to the nations that were freed from Western colonial control, especially India, which had been the largest colony ever founded by a Western Power. It seems to have originated in India when the British colonial authorities handed over authority to the nationalist elites of that country in 1947. Thus, the independence of India, which is the fruit of a protracted battle to remove the colonial yoke, serves as the beginning point of the decolonization of Indian history. According to this perspective, the liberation struggle served as a precursor to India's post-colonial growth.

## Prelude to Decolonization

A protracted struggle for independence culminated in 1947 with the independence of India, but not before Pakistan was formed and certain portions of English India were taken. While it

would be absurd, indeed, unnecessary, to recapitulate the history of Pakistan's founding here, it is crucial to discuss the rise and development of Indian public awareness throughout the process of everything working out in opposition to the provincial authority in order to attain autonomy. Financial Underpinnings of the War for Independence (a) With the English conquest of Bengal in the eighteenth century, English imperialism began to spread throughout India, reaching its zenith with the suppression of the Revolt of 1857, which was marked by the establishment of the Crown's rule in India. In the second half of the nineteenth century, Indians began to become more aware of the outside world. This understanding gave rise to Indian patriotism.

### **Formation of Indian National Congress and its movements**

In response, the Indian Public Congress was established with the stated goal of fostering Indian patriotism by uniting all of the public inclinations and aspirations generated during the course of the nineteenth century. But at that time, Hindus dominated Indian patriotism and it adopted a Hindu perspective. In any event, the Congress led the fight for independence, which was the consequence of a mass movement, up to the achievement of autonomy in 1947. However, the first Muslim working class, which was founded as a result of the Aligarh movement and other organizations, was unable to remain confident in the movements led by the Hindu working class over the security of the arrangements for their line of work. Contrary to custom, the Hindu campaign made no unquestionable effort to dispel such Muslim concern.

The Hindu bourgeois were making some progress toward achieving the public bourgeoisie's position in the economy, while the Muslims were lagging behind. The English government had long observed this conflict between Hindus and Muslims in India, and they no longer felt it was safe to submit to the once-steadfast and reliable Hindus; instead, they discovered that Muslims, who had once been a threat to them, were more reliable than the Hindus in terms of extending the existence of their capital in India.

### **Objective of the study**

- To endeavors and examine the job of social brain research in India in giving an emancipatory structure to making a successful social movement for social change.

- To isolate the historical backdrop of social brain science in India into two groups: current and conventional, and endeavors to basically look at its contemporary picture which is by all accounts got from a shallow history as opposed to a profound and basic one.

### **Decolonization of State and Government**

The largest frontier state in the world became the largest conservative state with the adoption of the constitution on January 26, 1950. Since independence, the state has mostly been founded on the results of elections, marking a dramatic transition from expansionism to decolonization. However, the structure of majority rule combines the four wings of the government, organisations, armed forces, and police. Government: In accordance with the constitution's provisions, the people of a country elect their representatives to the State-run administrations in open and fair elections that take place at regular intervals. However, the Congress was favoured to win all general elections from 1952 to 1971 and to hold public authority in the middle from 1952 to 1977 with Jawaharlal Nehru and Mrs. Indira Gandhi (1966–1977) serving as Heads of state in succession. This was due to the Congress' authority in the public movement, its holding the rein of force at the hour of move, and its capacity to approach a constitution and implement focal power over different regions and royal states.

With his idea of a communist model of society, his "Panchshil" strategy in international affairs, his initiative in the Nonpartisan Culmination of the world during the Cold War, and with his actual mainstream viewpoint, Jawaharlal Nehru, the principal State Head of Post-Provincial India, laid the groundwork for the popularity-based structure of the post-pioneer India. Even if his "Panchsil" was shown to have failed in the Sino-Indian border conflict, his clarion appeal may still ensure Indian unity. The Nehruvian system has been expanded throughout the Lal Abrader era. Nevertheless, under his State leader transport leadership, India successfully fought the Indo-Pak conflict in 1965.

### **Tagore and Decolonization**

The chief point of this Part is to arrange Tagore with regards to the series of De-colonial movements that began to accept a coordinated patriot character towards the finish of the nineteenth 100 years in Bengal, and proceeded with endlessly in assortment of structures till

the autonomy of India. Here I wish to express that I have purposely utilized the term 'de-colonial' to signify the long anticolonial battles in India. In this section, it has been contended with shifting level of representations that Tagore began to turn out to be effectively and reliably associated with the patriot governmental issues of the country as soon as 1886. As a matter of fact, as a young fellow of 25, he used to be seen holding gatherings with the conspicuous Congress heads of the time in spite of the way that he was not a conventional individual from the Indian Public Congress. His most memorable critical political discourse was conveyed before people in general because of the proposed Indian Boards Bill, which had been postponed in the English Parliament on 21 February 1890.

The Bill-which would be regulation in 1892-was recognition of the well-established request of the Indian Public Congress to incorporate non-official (Indian) individuals in the Indian Committees and furthermore to present some system of political race or determination rather than direct assignment to pick the members. In the gathering of Bengal Land Holders' Relationship at the Emerald Theater House held to challenge the Bill on 15 May that very year, Tagore read out Mantri Abhishek, taking side with a large number of the Congress chiefs in inviting the Bill on a fundamental level. However brimming with vacillations and specific level of malleability showed, the public location definitely implied that a youthful and maturing essayist was overall effectively brought into the patriot political talks of the time. It is likewise vital for note that Tagore didn't mince his words while taking on the majestic bosses administering India on the 24 ground with serious abusive measures and their respondents in the papers and other abstract circles. Here, it would be relevant to specify that arranging Tagore in the quick setting of the quickly developing Indian patriot legislative issues should be superseded with a brief framing of the historical backdrop of the provincial regulatory designs particularly since the mid19th century. It is unnecessary to think exhaustively after series of rich grants delivered the over beyond 50 years in Indian scholarly world, that set of experiences itself is a text, a talk and a site for the vast majority new openings, contestations, exciting bends in the road.

Maybe the greatest organizations of all, the English East India Organization were established in 1600, as The Organization of Dealers of London Exchanging into the East Indies under the immediate direct of the Sovereign in 1600. It arrived up in India with the foundation of a plant

in Masulipatnam on the Eastern bank of India in 1611 and the award of the freedoms to lay out a production line in Surat in 1612 by the Mughal Head Jahangir. The English government's immediate interest in India was plainly clear when Thomas Roe, a chosen MP in the Place of House, was shipped off India as a diplomat to the court of the Incomparable Mughal Head, Jahangir at Agra from 1615 to 1618. The chief object of Roe's central goal was to acquire insurance for the East India Organization's production line at Surat.

In 1640, subsequent to getting comparative consent from the Vijayanagar ruler further south, a subsequent plant was laid out in Madras on the southeastern coast. Bombay, not a long way from Surat, a previous Portuguese station talented to Britain as endowment in the marriage of Catherine of Braganza to Charles II, was rented by the Organization in 1668. After twenty years, the Organization laid out a presence on the eastern coast too; far up that coast, in the Ganges stream delta, a manufacturing plant was set up in Calcutta. Since, during this time different organizations — laid out by the Portugese, Duthch, French and Danish — were correspondingly growing in the locale, the English Organization's mediocre starting points on beach front India offered no signs to what might turn into an extended presence on the Indian subcontinent.

## **Conclusion**

As a researcher I really do not know whether the hypothesis and its problematic could be properly dealt with to come to a conclusion that the study has been successful in resulting in a thesis. Because as I pause- and I say it consciously that indeed, I pause, as in my humble estimation the study is quintessentially incomplete. It may be recalled that I had started to conceive of the hypothesis- Tagore, society, culture and decolonization- some 15 years ago while writing a book chapter on responses to Shakespeare in colonial Bengal; since then I was toying with it and was also teaching few things of Tagore to my students at Jadavpur University since 2005. I became formally and actively involved with the hypothesis- reading Tagore as a writer/thinker of decolonization during the turbulent phase of Indian nationalist movement from late 19th century from June 2014 when I had already taught for 9 years at Jadavpur University. I started dealing with various aspects of the problematic and even published few papers on it; but when I actually started giving a concrete shape to the dissertation about nine months ago many new issues began to emerge. It was indeed quite difficult to deal with every

aspect of the problematic though all of them appeared absolutely pertinent to the hypothesis. And hence, in this short conclusion instead of recapturing the points of contention in the dissertation already discussed, I would like to raise the some of the unfinished agenda in the study or, to be quite blunt, I would like to draw on the deficiencies of the instant dissertation. The first one has already been mentioned towards the end of the introduction to the dissertation the problem or the researcher's inability to express the Tagore texts in English language translations.

## References

1. Adams, D. W. (1995). *Education for extinction: American Indians and the boarding schoolexperience, 1875–1928*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.
2. Alfred, G. T. (2009). *Peace, power, righteousness: An Indigenous manifesto*. New York: Oxford University Press.
3. Almeida, D. (1998). Indigenous education: Survival for our children. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 31(1), 6–10.
4. Archibald, J. -A. (2008). *Indigenous storywork: Educating the heart, mind, body, and spirit*. Vancouver, BC: UBC Press.
5. Armitage, A. (1995). *Comparing the policy of Aboriginal assimilation: Australia, Canada, and New Zealand*. Vancouver, BC: UBC Press.
6. Armstrong, J. C. (1987). Traditional Indigenous education: A natural process. *Canadian Journal of Native Education*, 14(3), 14–19.
7. Ashcroft, B., Griffiths, G., & Tiffin, H. (2000). *Post-colonial studies: The key concepts* (2nd ed.). Abingdon, NY: Routledge. Aylsworth, L., & Trovato, F. (2012). *Demography of Indigenous people*. *Historica Canada*.
8. Baldwin, J. (1959). *Nobody knows my name*. New York: Dell. Battiste, M. (1998). *Enabling the autumn seed: Toward a decolonized approach to Aboriginal knowledge*,



- language, and education. *Canadian Journal of Native Education*, 22(1), 16.
9. Battiste, M. (2013). *Decolonizing education: Nourishing the learning spirit*.
  10. Saskatoon, SK: Purich. Bell, D., Anderson, K. D., Fortin, T., Ottmann, J., Rose, S., Simard, L., . . . Raham, H. (2004). *Sharing our success: Ten case studies in Aboriginal schooling* (Vol. 18). Kelowna, BC: Society for the Advancement of Excellence in Education.
  11. Beresford, Q. (2003). *Separate and unequal: An outline of Aboriginal education 1900–1990s*. In Q. Beresford & G. Partington (Eds.), *Reform and resistance in Aboriginal*
  12. Bishop, R. (2008). *Te Kotahitanga: Kaupapa Māori in mainstream classrooms*. In N. K. Denzin, Y. S. Lincoln, & L. T. Smith (Eds.), *Handbook of critical and Indigenous methodologies* (pp. 439–458). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
  13. Bishop, R., Berryman, M., Wearmouth, J., Peter, M., & Clapham, S. (2011). *Te Kotahitanga: Maintaining, replicating and sustaining change in phase 3 and 4 schools* (2007–2010).
  14. Wellington, New Zealand: Ministry of Education. Boler, M., & Zembylas, M. (2003). *Discomforting truths: The emotional terrain of understanding differences*. In P. Trifonas (Ed.), *Pedagogies of difference: Rethinking education for social justice* (pp. 110–136). New York: Routledge.
  15. Bouvier, R. (2013). *Foreword*. In M. Battiste (Ed.), *Decolonizing education: Nourishing the learning spirit* (pp. 8–12).
  16. Saskatoon, SK: Purich. Brean, J. (2016, January 15). “Cultural genocide” of Canada’s indigenous peoples is a “mourning label,” former war crimes prosecutor says. *National Post*.
  17. British Columbia Ministry of Education. (2001). *Over-representation of Aboriginal students reported with behaviour disorders*. Victoria, BC: Government of British Columbia, Aboriginal Education Branch and Special Program Branch.



18. Brock-Utne, B. (2000). Whose education for all?: The recolonization of the African mind. *Studies in Education/Politics* 6. New York and London: Taylor Francis Group.
19. Cajete, G. (1994). *Look to the mountain: An ecology of indigenous education*. Durango, CO: Kivaki Press.
20. Cajete, G. (1999). *Native science: Natural laws of interdependence*. Santa Fe, NM: ClearLight.

### **Author's Declaration**

I as an author of the above research paper/article, hereby, declare that the content of this paper is prepared by me and if any person having copyright issue or patent or anything otherwise related to the content, I shall always be legally responsible for any issue. For the reason of invisibility of my research paper on the website/amendments /updates, I have resubmitted my paper for publication on the same date. If any data or information given by me is not correct I shall always be legally responsible. With my whole responsibility legally and formally I have intimated the publisher (Publisher) that my paper has been checked by my guide (if any) or expert to make it sure that paper is technically right and there is no unaccepted plagiarism and the entire content is genuinely mine. If any issue arise related to Plagiarism / Guide Name / Educational Qualification /Designation/Address of my university/college/institution/ Structure or Formatting/ Resubmission / Submission /Copyright / Patent/ Submission for any higher degree or Job/ Primary Data/ Secondary Data Issues, I will be solely/entirely responsible for any legal issues. I have been informed that the most of the data from the website is invisible or shuffled or vanished from the data base due to some technical fault or hacking and therefore the process of resubmission is there for the scholars/students who finds trouble in getting their paper on the website. At the time of resubmission of my paper I take all the legal and formal responsibilities, If I hide or do not submit the copy of my original documents (Aadhar/Driving License/Any Identity Proof and Address Proof and Photo) in spite of demand from the publisher then my paper may be rejected or removed from the website anytime and may not be consider for verification. I accept the fact that as the content of this paper and the resubmission legal responsibilities and reasons are only mine then the Publisher (Airo International Journal/Airo National Research Journal) is never responsible. I also declare that if publisher finds any complication or error or anything hidden or implemented otherwise, my paper may be removed from the website or the watermark of remark/actuality may be mentioned on my paper. Even if anything is found illegal publisher may also take legal action against me

**Ravindra Kumar**